

Adobe Connect: 26 members

Adrian Carballo - LACRALO

Greg Shatan

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair)

GZ KABIR

Nick Wenban-Smith,

Christopher Wilkinson

Heather Forrest

Nominet

Dave Kissoondoyal

Javier Rúa-Jovet

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC

David McAuley

Jonathan Agmon

Rahul Gosain

Dev Anand Teelucksingh

Katrin Ohlmer

Robin Gross

Ejikeme Egbuogo

Kavouss Arasteh

Sophie Hey

Gnanajeyaraman Rajaram

Liz Williams ccnsa

Susan Payne

Luca Barbero

Timothy Asiedu

Yrjö Länsipuro

On audio only:

none

Apologies:

Alan Greenberg

Kristina Rosette

Marita Moll

Justine Chew

Maureen Hilyard

Staff:

Emily Barabas

Steve Chan

Terri Agnew

Terri Agnew: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic Names at the Top Level on Wednesday, 25 July 2018 at 05:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

Terri Agnew: agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_v4pHBQ&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0A1gn-H4xR2EBk&m=aqyHxre7vb0wTxGnQIzHPXgWybl4UFPymSZIbXy2ql&s=aADxZBGlt2xk0-7lpWgKsKJm0lthOsVJcPmHgkovcXA&e=

Kavouss Arasteh: Hi Terri

Kavouss Arasteh: How are you this early morning

Terri Agnew:Hello, quiet this early of the day here :)

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:Hello everyone

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Robion

Christopher Wilkinson:Good morning C.

Kavouss Arasteh:GNSO and its work tracks without Robin has less sense

Ejikeme Egbuogu:Hello everyone

Kavouss Arasteh:hi Robin

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hi all good very early morning from cold Buenos Aires

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Olga

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Hi Kavouss!

Kavouss Arasteh:In some oriental calulture to say good morning or greeting someone is a matter of courtesy and opotional BUT to reply is almost mandatory

Kavouss Arasteh:I saluted someone but no reply was given

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Robin for the second time

David McAuley:quite an echo here

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):Terrible echo but could hear you Kavous

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hello to all!

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:Was it Kavouss?

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Low turnout?

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:This is a difficult time for the Americas

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Yes.

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:I mean its the middle of the night for em

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:me

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Its early early morning here (1 AM).

Kavouss Arasteh:Thank you again Olga for your kind reply

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:@Javier indeed 1am in Trinidad and Tobago

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hey @Dev! great to "see" ya!

Greg Shatan:I probably will fall away early since it's past my bedtime....

Dave Kissoondoyal:Hello everyone

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hello @Dave, @Greg

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Greg

Katrin Ohlmer:Good Morning!

Timothy Asiedu:Good morning everyone.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:The idea is to reverse engineer our thought process; to exercise deductive reasoning, from detail (problem) back to conclusion (possible solution for that specific, concrete identified issue).

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Sorry to have been delayed in joining the AC Room

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Staff please note I received an apology from Marita Moll, who is on a plane somewhere.

Terri Agnew:Thanks Javier, noted

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hi again @CLO

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thanks @Terri

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hello @Kavouss

Javier Rúa-Jovet:The issue is to ID any problems that were truly experienced in application process.

Katrin Ohlmer:Problem: City opposed application. Examples: .spa Possible solution(s): Require a pre-check to avoid delays and increase predictability.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hand by @Greg

Kavouss Arasteh:Hello Javier

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hello @Kavouss and thanks for comments!

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:May be we do not have other examples but they may come up in the next round, more spas

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Yes, go to root causes and deduct upwards into general proposals or solutions.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:root

Rahul Gosain:Sorry for joining in late

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hello @Rahul

Kavouss Arasteh:Matin ,I agree with you that we should not work on abstract. Then first we have to identify the problem and after look for solution

Javier Rúa-Jovet:exactly @Kavouss. Thx!

Rahul Gosain:Hello Javier and everyone!

Kavouss Arasteh:Ouzur departure point should always be the 2012 ERound, what problem we have identified for that round

Kavouss Arasteh:For capital city, the example given by Alexander such as .Paris ,who we have to contact and in what sequence? France or Texas State ?

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hand by

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand by @Dev, who has not spoken.

Emily Barabas:@Kavouss, in the case of Paris, as it is a capital city, the support/non-objection needed to be obtained from the relevant French authorities, as Paris is the French capital city

Kavouss Arasteh:Have we resolved the problem of capital city? as I see we are discussing NON Capital city?

Emily Barabas:We are currently discussing non-capital cities

Javier Rúa-Jovet:That is a good action item, @Greg

Kavouss Arasteh:Greg, I have a bitter experience from Questionnaires that were issued in the past as non sufficient responses and sometimes from non actual responsible entities

Liz Williams ccNSO:It might be helpful to look at, for example, Johannesburg, Durban and Cape Town, Sydney, Melbourne, Vancouver. All are large cities but none are capital cities. Agree with Greg.

Kavouss Arasteh:Big? In what sense, Population ?

Christopher Wilkinson:How was the question of multiple places with the same name resolved in the case of .paris - ?

Kavouss Arasteh:There is a need to respond to my concerns about questionnaires

Liz Williams ccNSO:@ Christopher...no one from other Paris' applied...so there was no contention. The resolution of contention between applicants is a separate issue which also needs resolving.

Steve Chan:@Christopher, Paris is a capital city name, so approval was only required from the relevant government or public authorities of Paris, France.

Katrin Ohlmer:We had several applications in the last round for non-capital city names which have multiple place names around the globe but I do not know of any problems.

Rahul Gosain:Sorry I seem to have lost my audio but it's something local!

Terri Agnew:@Rahul, please let me know if a dial out on the telephone would be helpful

Liz Williams ccNSO:@Steve...that isn't quite the same thing. If any other applicant, apart from the Paris, France people, had applied then you're AOK but, in the case of non-capital cities, multiple applicants we need better guidelines.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Kavouss has concerns about @Greg's idea of a fact finding questionnaire; we should hash this out.

Steve Chan:@Liz, the response was specifically to Paris only.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:I like the idea of questionnaires, but WT member experience here is fundamental, and @Kavouss seems to have had a negative experience in the past.

Steve Chan:Although that would have applied to other capital city names of course (in 2012)

Kavouss Arasteh:Matin

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hand by @Steve, and there is an unanswered question on questionnaires by @Kavouss.

Kavouss Arasteh:I was before the Greg, He Spoke and it isurn

Emily Barabas:Note that the geographic names panel in the 2012 round did an evaluation of each applicant in Initial Evaluation to determine if a string was a geographic term

Kavouss Arasteh:It is my turn

Javier Rúa-Jovet:and @Steve

Liz Williams ccNSO:Questionnaires are a perfectly valid way of gathering information as long as the hypothesis is correctly framed, that the questions are accurately drafted and there is, in this, an open opportunity to add narrative responses that describe situations not envisaged in the questionnaire. More broadly, we also need to understand who the questionnaire is directed to and what response rate would be reasonable to see if the results had validity.

Steve Chan:thanks Javier

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Good point @Liz, that goes to @Kavouss' concern

Liz Williams ccNSO:Kavouss has identified exactly the areas which would need further thought...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Steve jump in...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:(after @kavouss)

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand bu @Dev

Javier Rúa-Jovet:by

Katrin Ohlmer:@Steve: It would be helpful if ICANN org could provide more details about the geographic names panel work - e.g. how did they identify a geo name, what happened if they identified a string as geo name, did they inform someone...

Kavouss Arasteh:Dev, Could you give examples for cases you have mention with thanks

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:The panel did not consider some geogaphic names as geographi and did not review them as that,eg. Patagonia - Amazon

Heather Forrest:Apologies to all for joining late

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Welcome @Heather

Heather Forrest:Thanks Javier

Emily Barabas:Hi Dev, I think there are two categories of terms we are talking about here. The first is terms that were reserved. The second is terms that are considered geographic terms and may have additional rules in the AGB.

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Derar Colleagues, pls provide examples for each case

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Echo

Katrin Ohlmer:@Olga: That is my impression, too - even for clearly geographic names - so it would be helpful to understand the process and improve it.

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Martzin

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Pls direct the debate to one point at a tiome

Terri Agnew:@Kavouss, I am not hearign an echo, are you still hearing it?

Kavouss Arasteh 2:strong echo

Liz Williams ccNSO:And the points Olga make are correct but it wasn't the fault of the applicant to legitimately and carefully apply for things that were "allowed" under the rules. We might be moving to some language around "be careful, do more diligent research and triple check before you apply"....

Terri Agnew:@Kavouss, I will have the operator dial back out to you to see if we can clear up the echo on your line, as we are not hearing it.

Kavouss Arasteh 2:An string is used as a city name? Pls provide example

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:@Liz fully agree, the challenge is how to make it enforceable in the next round rules

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thanks @Steve

Katrin Ohlmer:Thx, Steve!

Liz Williams ccNSO:@Olga...I doubt we can "make" anyone do anything but they would be foolish to make the same mistakes as others made in previous rounds. I'll think on how to frame some language that may address that.

Steve Chan:@Dev, my response was probably imprecise. In the instances where the string could NOT be applied for by anyone, and there was a defined list, there were programmatic measures in place. Adding in a notification of sorts for geographic names that COULD be applied for could be something new that this WT could recommend?

David McAuley:Sorry if I missed this, have the slides been posted

Terri Agnew:@David, yes, on the agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_v4pHBQ&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=agyHxre7vb0wTxGnQIzHPXgWybld4UFPymSZIbXy2ql&s=aADxZBGlt2xk0-7lpWgKsKJm0lthOsVJcPmHgkovcXA&e=

David McAuley:Thanks Terri

Javier Rúa-Jovet:thanks @Terri

Kavouss Arasteh 2:We need to be careful not generalized a one time happened with limited scope

Liz Williams ccNSO:Whoever did the slides...great job...because it sets out where we can find consensus?

Kavouss Arasteh 2:What we mean by authorities

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Liz, maybe you wish to expand on possible consensus points, from your point of view?

Liz Williams ccNSO:@Olga...some pre-application webinars? Some scenarios of previous rounds? I do think though that anything in public for applicants may not work where applicants want to keep their ideas confidential and, therefore, take risks through the application process. Which then means that the application process needs to be very clear and precise.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:echo...

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:@Liz yes webinars, but then if not clearly stated in the rules then applicant may not do that

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Christopher is that an old hand?

Katrin Ohlmer:Why do we discuss about "restrictions" (which is an interesting framing - "conditions" would be more neutral - IMHO) if we have not identified the issues from 2012 yet?

Liz Williams ccNSO:@Olga...so I would take the opposite approach which is that anything is possible if it is not prohibited by the rules but we are heading towards the same principles where are clarity, transparency and predictability...and being very clear about any objection process...

Olga Cavalli - Co-chair GAC:@Gregg you are right but the example fits also for non capital city names

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Always a challenge indeed... we can of course but try...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Please @all those that have not spoken, or posted in chat, feel free to do so. There are no bad questions or opinions!

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:@Steve - yes, if the notification when applying was a notice that the string applied was a geographic name (ISO 3166 alpha 3, city names, whatever we decide are geographic names) then the application form would ask does the application has appropriate support, if the applicant has it in hand or is applying of behalf of a relevant govt authority, then they complete that part of the form and if not, then the applicant can decide what to do (contact relevant govt authority to get non-objection docs or not proceed with the application)

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand by @Rahul

Javier Rúa-Jovet:cant hear you @rahul

Rahul Gosain:Yes

Terri Agnew:@Rahul, your mic is not active, please let us know if a dial out on telephone is needed

Terri Agnew:To activate your mic, top tool bar, select the telephone icon and follow prompts

Rahul Gosain:I suggest that we try and maintain the provisions of the AGB2012 and try and use those as a starting point to extend and preclude and see how we can avoid the problems which took place, could be a sensible approach

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Time Check: 20 mins to go.

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Martin, Are we still considering to issue questionares?

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Questionaire should be simple ,straightrforward and precise

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Thanks Martin a great deal discussed so far today...

Rahul Gosain:Ok I am now back on audio

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Rahul, yes. This is precisely why we have to do some detailed fact finding on actual problems. Tools to populate ths data can be questionares or other ways in the list.

Rahul Gosain:Yes

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thx @Martin

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Thanks Martin for good and efficient conduct of the debate

Kavouss Arasteh 2:No problem for Advisory Panel but we should have a balance composition which applies all types of diversity

Rahul Gosain:Is soemthing being shared?

Rahul Gosain:I cant get what is being shown

Kavouss Arasteh 2:Olga, GAC Geographic Group should be mobilized to address some of thgese issues

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):@Rahul there are slides on display, you may have to log back in

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hand by @Rahul

Rahul Gosain:Ok

Greg Shatan:if the list in the repository is short, it will be easy to maintain.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:10 min check

Greg Shatan:This is not supposed to be a wish list. Fepending on the standard applied, the list could be quite short.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:please louder

Javier Rúa-Jovet:cante hear you Rahul

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):Unable to hear

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):poor audio

David McAuley:too low

Terri Agnew:@Rahul, are you able to turn up your mic?

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Cant hear @rahul

Terri Agnew:let me know if a dial out on the telephone would be helpful

Rahul Gosain:Yes

Liz Williams ccNSO:go to the next caller...

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):We are unable to hear clearly, perhaps add comment to chat?

Javier Rúa-Jovet:very difficult to make out your point @rahul

Christopher Wilkinson:Absent a repository, the gazeteers (index) of relevant international and national atlases would be a good start for applicant research. This has to include the IDN place names.

Rahul Gosain:Am I audible?

Liz Williams ccNSO:@Greg +1...the supposition in the dot points are assuming restrictions rather than openness.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:we could barely hear you @Rahul

Javier Rúa-Jovet:LOL

Rahul Gosain:Well I wanted to repeat the point made during the CC session by Dir (.berlin) regarding the lack on control when things go to the market

Rahul Gosain:Dirk

Susan Payne:+1 Greg

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):Time check - 4 mins\

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Time check

David McAuley:Good point by Greg about balance and boundaries

Javier Rúa-Jovet:4 mins to go and we must discuss some scheduling issues...

Kavouss Arasteh 2:What righht you are taking about Greg?

David McAuley:wow - bad connection

Christopher Wilkinson:The call audio is breaking up.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):ouch!

Katrin Ohlmer:The implementation guidance should help to improve the issues we had in 2012 - like predictability and clarity.

Terri Agnew:muted the line

David McAuley:thanks Terri - that kline was awful

David McAuley:line

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Thx Terri

Kavouss Arasteh 2:why ouch ?

Greg Shatan:tKavouss, the "right" to sop an application from moving forward.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@kavouss, just an expression regarding the fact that noise hurt the ears.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):the feedback or line noise from a phone line was painfully loud in my headset Kavouss that is why... And Terri muted the offending line

Greg Shatan:Sounded like Rahul was connected by shortwave radio....

Emily Barabas:The next call will be 8 August. Now that the call is 90 mins instead of 60 mins. Due to conflicts at the 14:00 time slot for a 90 min call, the co-leaders propose having the call at 13:00 instead.

Rahul Gosain:Just trying to switch to microwave instead!

Greg Shatan:i have not seen any such exchanges recently and none on this call....

Javier Rúa-Jovet:I have only seen civility here @kavouss. I missed any attack and would have called it out if we had perceived it.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Yes, Staff meetings at 15 UTC

Rahul Gosain:Could someone assist me with checking my microphone at the end of the call please?

Martin Sutton (Co-Leader):thx Emily

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thx @Emily.

Terri Agnew:@Rahul, yes, I stay on after the call to do mic check

Rahul Gosain:Thanks @TERI

Rahul Gosain:Sorry @Terri

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thank you @Christopher.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (PDP CoChair):Olga and Martin thanks for your call leadership today, quite a lot discussed, thanks everyone for all your worthy contributions... Bye for now...

Emily Barabas:@Christopher, the latest version is from Tuesday. This was circulated with the agenda on Tuesday and is also on the wiki:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_NGSP_Work-2BTrack-2B5-253A-2BGeographic-2BNames-2Bat-2Bthe-2BTop-2DLevel&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=agyHxre7vb0wTxGnQIzHPXgWybl4UFPymSZlbXy2qI&s=0k0ub0OPIfsJKxedzvavxq2JUEsOnVoE9wjwx6Uv2A&e=

David McAuley:thanks Martin and Olga and all

Javier Rúa-Jovet:good night, good morning to all

Dave Kissoondoyal:Thanks and bye

Dev Anand Teelucksingh:thanks everyone, take care all

Katrin Ohlmer:thanks any bye