

RDS-WHOIS2 RT
Plenary Call #34
16 July 2018 – 15:00 – 16:30 UTC

Review Team Members:

Alan Greenberg, Dmitry Belyavsky, Volker Greimann, Lili Sun, Susan Kawaguchi, Stephanie Perrin, Carlton Samuels, Cathrin Bauer-Bulst, Erika Mann

Apologies:

Thomas Walden

Observers:

ICANN Org:

Alice Jansen, Brenda Brewer, Jean-Baptiste Deroulez, Lisa Phifer, Amy Creamer, Trang Nguyen

Meeting materials may be found on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/c4RHBQ>.

The RDS-WHOIS2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll-call, SOI updates

Volker Greimann provided an update to his Statement of Interest.

Action item: Volker Greimann to update his written SOI.

2. Subgroups Status Update

a. Presentation of subgroup report assessment, and recommendation assessment tools

ICANN org introduced two tools. The first one is the [subgroup report gap assessment tool](#), which identifies gaps for all of the subgroup reports, and focuses on the subsections of each subgroup report, up to but not including the individual recommendations which are covered by the first tool.

The second one, the [recommendation assessment tool](#), focuses only on recommendations, using a table to flag missing fields (if any) within each recommendation.

These tools will be a solid basis for future discussions at the face-to-face meeting.

Action item: All rapporteurs to review the assessment tools and to attempt to fill any gaps. Rapporteurs to submit their subgroup final report **by Sunday 22 July 23:59 UTC**.

b. Rec. #10: Proxy/Privacy Services

Volker Greimann informed the review team that there were no updates made to the draft report since the engagement session at ICANN62. No subgroup call will be scheduled prior to the face-to-face meeting.

Action items:

- Subgroup members to take a last look at the subgroup report and submit comments via email or confirm they agree with the subgroup draft report as is.
- Volker to address any concerns raised in a final draft to be sent to the full RT by the end of this week.

c. Rec. #11: Common Interface

Volker Greimann informed the review team that there were no updates made to the draft report since the engagement session at ICANN62. Members discussed the current situation and came to the conclusion that if the ICANN WHOIS portal is not working, which is said to be the one people should rely on, there is a problem the subgroup should tackle.

Action items:

- Subgroup members to review the subgroup report, and submit comments via email or confirm they agree with the subgroup draft report as is.
- Susan to propose edits to address increase in common interface timeouts since GDPR.
- Volker to address any concerns raised in a final draft to be sent to the full RT by the end of this week (22 July 2018).

d. Rec. #3: Outreach

Alan Greenberg underlined that this recommendation cannot be considered fully implemented considering that the outreach part was not done, and is tempted at this point to lower the implementation assessment to partially implemented. Alan Greenberg added that other sections of the report are stable and do not need further updates.

Action item:

- Alan to update the subgroup report to fill gaps.

e. Subgroup 5: Safeguarding registrant data

No comments were submitted by the review team on the subgroup report, and is therefore considered final.

Action item: Send all final subgroup reports to be incorporated into the draft RT report by **Sunday 22 July 23:59 UTC**.

3. Face-to-Face Meeting #3

ICANN org presented the [first draft of the face-to-face meeting #3 agenda](#).

Decisions reached: Alan to present the section on IDNs

Action item: Firm up the timeline at the meeting and allocate for the discussion a minimum of 20 minutes.

Action item: ICANN org to check whether catering delivery can be advanced for the meeting to start at 8AM (Brussels time) on Friday.

4. A.O.B.

a. Timeline adjustment

Alan Greenberg suggested there is no need to update the timeline at this time but adjust and confirm it at face-to-face meeting #3.

b. WHOIS1 Implementation Gap Analysis

Alan Greenberg asked whether:

1) a summary should appear in the report to compare where the review team sees the implementation status and where ICANN did;

2) a recommendation should be made to ATRT3 that ICANN formally look at the gap analysis and try to understand why there was such a difference.

And proposed to discuss this further on another call.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: **Yes**