

Work Track 5 Meeting

Work Track 5 Co-Leaders: Olga Cavalli (GAC), Annebeth Lange (ccNSO), Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC), Martin Sutton (GNSO)



11 July 2018

Agenda

1

Welcome/Agenda
Review/SOI Updates
(5 mins)

2

Recap of ICANN62
sessions (10 mins)

3

Principles: Non-
Capital City Names
(20 mins)

4

Principles: Terms not
in the 2012 AGB
(20 mins)

5

AOB (5 mins)

Welcome/Agenda Review/SOI Updates

Agenda Item #1

Recap from session 1

Agenda Item #2

Recap of ICANN62

- ⦿ **Session 1**: Breakout groups discussed specific questions related to non-capital city names:
 - Should there be universal protections for non-capital city names?
 - What are the relevant government/public authorities?
 - Does intended usage of the string matter?
 - Also, non-capital city name process from 2012

- ⦿ Some of the Session 1 feedback received:
 - Support for universal protections – based on local or national laws, UN lists. Should respect their historical, sometimes unique, identifiers.
 - Against universal protections – no universal definition of city. No basis for this level of protections. Local laws only applicable in jurisdiction.
 - Relevant govt/public authorities – could depend on which city applicant is targeting. Or may be dependent upon a certain list of non-capital city names.

Recap of ICANN62

- ⦿ Some of the Session 1 feedback received, continued:
 - Definitive list of protected terms - support for a list, but unclear if there is such a list. Some suggestions of using UN lists, population, airport locations.
 - Should usage matter – some support for existing rules, where govt/public authority approval needed only when used as a city name. If approval is needed regardless of usage, difficult to determine what entity can grant approval (e.g., all cities with the same name?).

Recap of ICANN62

- ⦿ **Session 2:** Discussed principles as they relate to non-capital city names.
 - Purpose: principles may be used as a way to evaluate potential proposed solutions and help the group focus on high-level goals.
 - Sought additional proposals “in the middle” between extremes.

- ⦿ Some of the session Session 2 feedback:
 - Suggestion that rather than meeting in the middle, it could be about improving the parts of the existing process that did not work as well as they could have.
 - General support for the principles, with the addition of “simplicity”
 - How do we create incentives for parties to work together?

Recap of ICANN62

- ⦿ **Results of the sessions:**

- ⦿ Interactive discussions validating many of the key points and positions raised in the Work Track but from new participants.
- ⦿ Additional new points and ideas raised; Some common ground identified on principles.
- ⦿ Input from the Cross-Community sessions is included in the working document.

Revisiting Principles: Non-Capital City Names

Agenda Item #3

Principles and Next Steps

- ⦿ **Reminder: Why focus on Principles?**
 - **If we agree on the principles, we can test our potential changes against them to make sure we are on the right track.**
- ⦿ During the Cross-Community Sessions, some support was expressed for several principles, detailed on the following slides. Does the Work Track still feel that these are valid? Summary of proposed principles:
 - Allow for new non-capital city gTLDs
 - Increase predictability for all parties
 - Reducing the reasons and likelihood for conflict within the process, as well as after delegation
 - Simplicity – simple to understand, follow, and implement
- ⦿ **What other principles should be considered?**
- ⦿ **Would it be helpful to look at some of the solutions proposed in the Work Track for non-capital city names in light of these principles?**

Principles: Terms Not in the 2012 AGB

Agenda Item #4

Principles: Terms Not in the 2012 AGB

- ⦿ We have previously discussed potential categories of strings not included in the Applicant Guidebook that may be considered geographic names.
- ⦿ Do the principles identified for non-capital city names also apply to potential solutions for non-AGB terms?
 - Allow for new gTLDs
 - Predictability
 - Reducing the reasons for conflict
 - Simplicity
- ⦿ If yes, why? If no, what principles might we apply?

Any Other Business

Agenda Item #5