Attendance: (33 Members)

Alexander Schubert	Jim Prendergast
Anne Aikman-Scalese	Karen Day
Avri Doria	Kavouss Arasteh
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Kurt Pritz
Christa Taylor	Martin Sutton
Christopher Niemi	Maxim Alzoba
ChristopherWilkinson	Phil Marano
Donna Austin,	Raymond Zylstra
Gemma Keegan	Robin Gross
Gg Levine	Rubens Kuhl
Greg Shatan	Rudy Mendoza
Heather Forrest	Sara Bockey
Jamie Baxter	Sophie Hey
Jeff Neuman	Steve Chan
Jim Prendergast	Tom Dale
	Vanda Scartezini

Audio Only:	Apologies:
Phil Buckingham	Susan Payne
Taylor Bentley	Annebeth Lange
	Javier Rua-Jovet

Staff:
Berry Cobb
Steve Chan
Julie Hedlund
Emily Barabas
Trang Nguyen
Michelle DeSmyter

AC Chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group meeting on Monday, 16 July 2018 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_uoNHBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwweh

Vanda Scartezini:hi all. I am traveling - my sister died and I am in the way to another State, so will get the option of just listen to the meeting due the car noise in the road. thank you

Vanda Scartezini:I am hear to you clearly

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Everybody

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

Vanda Scartezini:yes Jeff

Vanda Scartezini:hi Kavouss, Maxim

Vanda Scartezini:I am just in listen mode

Vanda Scartezini:hope my LAN will work during the trip

Christopher Wilkinson:could we have an audio test.

Steve Chan:@Christopher, the call has begun. If you are still not hearing anything, there may be an issue with your audio.

Robin Gross:I hear Jeff.

Karen Day:Interesting that we don't see the list of telephone numbers for dial in callers any longer the way we used to.

Steve Chan:role of application comment jeff

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Jeff: can we get some clarity on the timing of the CCT Review Final Report?

Christopher Wilkinson:Jeff.How will the WG appoint the members of the subgroups reveiewing comments received? CW

Michelle DeSmyter:absolutely - checking now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Kavouss while we are checking your connection did you want to try and tyoe your question or statement here?

Christopher Wilkinson:OK. Thsnks.

Kavouss Arasteh:pls redial

Michelle DeSmyter:redialing now

Karen Day:1 sec pls

Michelle DeSmyter:Kavouss is back on

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Karen before you dig in shaall we go to Kavouss??

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):guess not, Sorry Kavouss, is it possible for you to type your matter briefly here? we may be able to deal with it more promptly that way...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Thx Karen!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes Proceeds is the matter for another group to work with

Kavouss Arasteh:Isupport that idea to examine ways and means to avoid being faced with an impass that push us to recourse for auction

Jeff Neuman:This particular item overlaps with the next topic as well - Changes to applications.

Kavouss Arasteh:Perhaps we could have a resume of caes that were tied pusihng us towards AUCTION

Kavouss Arasteh:iN ORDER WORDS WHAT WERE THE ORGIN AND CAUSE OF BEING OBLIGED TO TAKE THE aUCTION PROCESS

Vanda Scartezini:I beleive YES, should be allowed

Vanda Scartezini:reduce volume

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):ouch!

Michelle DeSmyter:yes

Vanda Scartezini:much

Martin Sutton:Would it be helpful to seek feedback from those that ended up in contention sets and whether they would have used an option to change their application if it were available?

Vanda Scartezini:@martin - I have talked with some reason why I am in favor

Christopher Wilkinson:let K GO FIRST

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Sound is distorted

Martin Sutton:@Vanda, thanks, useful to know.

Vanda Scartezini:i am hearing yu CHRIS

Karen Day:I"m hearing loud distortion

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Deafening

Kavouss Arasteh:there seems to be some distortion

Christopher Wilkinson:JEFF: GO TO ANOTHER SPEAKER. I shall try again later!

Greg Shatan:That was some loud typing....

Vanda Scartezini:ok

Kurt Pritz:Before recommending that applicants can change the applied-for TLD to avoid contention, we should fully understand why that was not done in the round 6 years ago. Such a mechanism was carefully considered at that time. Dan Halloran or Karen Lentz could articulate it well.

Sara Bockey:very good

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):excellent Greg

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 greg

Jeff Neuman:Good point - Deviations must avoid similarity
Christopher Wilkinson:Greg is saying very much what I had in mind to say. Auctions distort the market in favour of the most well funded.

Karen Day:@Greg that was alos WT3, but I think if we apply the rules consistently the 2 processes could coincide - no plurals and allow app changes

Vanda Scartezini:good point Kurt, if we could listen to their explanation

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: Making decisions based on criteria like diversity or community focus may not be within the scope of ICANN's mission and impinges on the Principle of Applicant Freedom of Expression. Re: "within the ICANN mission", suggest this question be posed to ICANN Legal COMMENT

Vanda Scartezini:kavouss - a list of first one entering takes the name? no auction in this alternative... will work?

Martin Sutton:Perhaps an option is to avoid auctions altogether and use a lottery mechanism.

Christa Taylor:If two applicants are trademarked names, perhaps they should be able to change an application as it helps reduce the gaming concern

Greg Shatan:Digital Archery!

Christopher Wilkinson:In 1997 the EU formally opposed a lotterary as initially proposed by th IAHC! Back to the future. NO.

Gg Levine (NABP):The ability to change an application seems to make more sense than having someone have to decide which application is "better."

Martin Sutton:It could encourage applicants to resolve issues/change applications if the "lottery" is last resort.

Alexander Schubert:Lottery? So yo submit 10 applicatins for 1 string and can be quite sure to get it?

Donna Austin, Neustar:What if you have 5 or 6 applications in contention?

Alexander Schubert:Lottery is a no-go.

Kavouss Arasteh:By definition, An auction is a process where potential buyers place competitive bids on assets or services..The question is that does acution violate balance and equiotable opportunity?

Rubens Kuhl:One of the issues coming out of changing application to resolve contention is that if the string is changed, the whole string evaluation needs to be restarted almost from scratch.

Kavouss Arasteh:What do yiou mean by "if the string is changed"?

Martin Sutton:@Rubens, contention sets are identified early on, so extended evaluation could be the route for changed application.

Kavouss Arasteh:Under which circumstances it changed

Alexander Schubert:An example for "changed strings"? You apply for .weed and change to .pot?

Kavouss Arasteh:Under what circumstances contention triggered?

Michelle DeSmyter:yes

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Alexander, what if there is also a .pot?

Vanda Scartezini:yes robin

Kavouss Arasteh:Is there a list of all applications ended to AUTION AND THE REASONS FOR THOSE?

Donna Austin, Neustar:At what point would applicants be given the option to change the 'string'? When all applied-for strings were made public or after?

Steve Chan:@Kavouss, are you looking for a list of strings the complete an auction of last resport? If so, you can find that here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__gtldresult.icann.org_applicationstatus_auctionresults&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcr wll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_ 5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=TKA3SPQu1K0xYbnCeJaegA8X2_bIdi2gTPvZcqVWA8Y& s=ovX0oBudhYoAmIzbp500Xnovtk6nZctgJWHJEyDIczU&e=

Kavouss Arasteh:rASING THE ARGUEMNET THAT THE INIRTIAL APPLICATION WAS ERRONEOUS SEEMS SOME SORT OF OVERRIDING THE OTHERS'S APPLICATIONS

Kavouss Arasteh:iN SUCH CASE THE PROCESS SHOULD RESTART

Alexander Schubert:Donna: presumably you couldn't change to other applied for strings. But when I apply for .muenchen as non-geo - knowing there is another application; then I change to .coin (if nobody else applied for it)? So you can create joker applications?

Kavouss Arasteh:sOORY FOR CAP

Kavouss Arasteh:aPPOLOGIZE

Kavouss Arasteh:Chaning the application on the ground that the initial application was incorrect seems over riding other rights

Kavouss Arasteh:OTHER'S RIGHT

Christopher Wilkinson:What proportion % of applications involved contentions? Does criterion #4 open the door to a cascaede of other reconsiderations?

Greg Shatan:Any changed string would need to bear a clear and close relationship to the original string, to avoid "wildcard" applications.

Steve Chan:A summary of feedback received on this subject is on slides 13 and 14. They are now unsynced for you to review on your own.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Agree Greg

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Noted Greg

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Robin, just for clarity, are you only talking about 'changing a string' in this discussion?

Kavouss Arasteh:Could we make a list of admitted changes?

Robin Gross:No Donna, what should we allow to be changed, why, and how, etc?

Jeff Neuman:So are there changes we should not allow

Christopher Wilkinson:Jeff: I gree. limit gaming.

Kavouss Arasteh:We need to have a list of allowable changes

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: Maybe change to a Pre-approved Service (as per Work Track 4 recommendation) should be allowed? What is the relationship between this process and the existing ICANN change processes followed by registries today? COMMENT

Greg Shatan:With apologies, I have to leave as I am hosting an ICANN62 Readout in NYC.

Rubens Kuhl:And .madrid got a 2 year penalty due to that.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Thx for joining Greg have a good meeting with the readout

Rubens Kuhl:There was two allowed change of strings, Kerri Logistics and Dot Dot Africa

Rubens Kuhl:(were)

Alexander Schubert:Strings have no "."

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I reffered to non working ALP process for GEOs

Trang Nguyen:Yes, changes to RSPs were allowed.

Michelle DeSmyter:no

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):nope

Karen Day:no sound

Christa Taylor:I connect another way

Rubens Kuhl:I think one application changed technical provider. Actually, the original technical provider would the applicant itself, but they later realized they would fail technical evaluation.

Christa Taylor:2 min

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):it was well described by CORE at Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs PDP Working Group on Wednesday, 11 October 2017 at 17:00 UTC

Alexander Schubert:How would changing RSPs or Directors of Board solve string contention?

Steve Chan:All, you can find details about the change request process, including some statistics about those changes. in the New gTLD Program Implementation Review Report here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_program-2Dreview-2D29jan16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIP qsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=TKA3SPQu1 K0xYbnCeJaegA8X2_bIdi2gTPvZcqVWA8Y&s=LfpSoZaINmmtqxnsDrUY8Gq8mU7QWgPTS 7vDIm9C7Ic&e=

Michelle DeSmyter:Christa, please let me know if you need a dialout.

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Trang, up to what point in the process was this allowed without requiring re-evaluation?

Steve Chan:See page 35

Kavouss Arasteh:We really to agree on the list of items which do not results to acuction i.e allowed

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Michele, please add to Notes that me and Rubens refered to ALP process

Trang Nguyen:@Donna, all the way up until contracting. All changes to RSPs required re-eval.

Rubens Kuhl:Exactly, Michele/Julie.

Donna Austin, Neustar:thanks Trang

Kavouss Arasteh:by establishing the allowable changes the issue will be better managed

Kurt Pritz:(1) We should take into account the fact that we know which changes were allowed but we don't have access to the change requests that were rejected and that might affect our thinking; (2) since we cannot anticipate all the types of change requests that might be submitted, does it make sense that we use criteria (as ICANN did) rather than try to enumerate the different types of changes. Maybe we could evaluate and possibly amend the criteria

Christopher Wilkinson:Christopher Wilkinson: Well, from a WT5 point of view, if a country changes its name during the application process (it has recently happened) then a change in the string should be allowd. CW

Christa Taylor:No, there is no number for Canada

Jeff Neuman:At the end of the day, I think it will have to be criteria, but having a good idea of the types of things we want and dont want to allow will help with the criteria

Rubens Kuhl:Some rejected change requestes ended up in Request for Reconsideration and/or mentioned in IRPs, so we can find out about some, although not all, of them.

Christa Taylor:Coming form the perspective of CQ questions may assist in what we might be allowable changes. Additionally, one change could be for when applicants submit an application for a subsidiary without realizing the need for financial statements. Allowing the applicant to change to the parent company might be worthy of consideration.
Rubens Kuhl:I have to drop now... and Adobe was giving BA to me anyways, so I'll try listening for the whole meeting again.

Steve Chan:Here is the Program Implementation Review Report again: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_files_program-2Dreview-2D29jan16-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIP qsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=TKA3SPQu1 K0xYbnCeJaegA8X2_bIdi2gTPvZcqVWA8Y&s=LfpSoZaINmmtqxnsDrUY8Gq8mU7QWgPTS 7vDIm9C7Ic&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Thx for joining Rubens

Christa Taylor:sorry

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Great discussion on all this today, thanks everyone.

Steve Chan:Nope, nothing on our end

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff, It is possible to have the list of all cases turned to auction and the reasons therto?

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all...

Robin Gross:thanks, bye!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Bye for now