

Task	Topic	Questions	Scoping Limitations	Dependencies	Notes
1. Purposes for Processing Registration Data	A. Purposes outlined in Sec. 4.4.1-4.4.13 of the TempSpec	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Are the purposes enumerated in the TempSpec valid and legitimate?</li> <li>&gt; Do those purposes have a corresponding legal basis?</li> <li>&gt; Should any of the purposes be eliminated or adjusted?</li> <li>&gt; Should any purposes be added?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; This phase should start by considering ONLY the purposes outlined in the TempSpec and confirming or rejecting each of those.</li> <li>&gt; This exercise must focus on analyzing the purposes for PROCESSING registration data, rather than parties' uses of RDDS overall.</li> <li>&gt; Consideration of any further purposes should be very limited.</li> </ul>	Defining an agreed-upon set of purposes is a gating question for all other tasks. This is a foundational issue that needs to be settled at the very beginning on the PDP, and rather expeditiously.	The questions will need further refinement - these are a starting point. Based on experience with RDS PDP, this new PDP should be constrained in the charter to NOT be able to consider any other charter questions until there is consensus on purpose.
2. Data Processing Requirements	A. Collection of data from registrant by registrar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should registrars continue to collect contact data for Registrant, Tech, Admin and Billing contacts?</li> <li>&gt; What data is collected because it is necessary to deliver the service of fulfilling a domain registration vs. for other purposes?</li> <li>&gt; Should registrars continue to collect all data elements for each contact?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> <li>&gt; Avoid discussions about adding new fields to registration data collected.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data	
	B. Transfer of data from registrar to registry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should registrars continue to transfer contact data for all contacts to (thick) registries?</li> <li>&gt; What data is transferred to the registry because it is necessary to deliver the service of fulfilling a domain registration vs. for other purposes?</li> <li>&gt; Should registries have the option to require contact data or not?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Data transferred to registry will depend on data collected by registrar	
	C. Transfer of data from registrar/registry to data escrow provider	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should there be any changes made to the policy that registries and registrars transfer all data that they acquire to the data escrow provider?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Data transferred to escrow provider will depend on data collected by registrar and data transferred to registry	
	D. Transfer of data from registrar/registry to ICANN Compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should there be any changes made to the policy that registries and registrars transfer all data that they acquire to ICANN Compliance, when required/requested?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Data transferred to ICANN Compliance will depend on data collected by registrar and data transferred to registry	
	E. Publication of data by registrar/registry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should there be any changes made to data that is required to be redacted?</li> <li>&gt; Should uniform requirements on registrant contact mechanisms be developed?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Data displayed will depend on data collected by registrar and data transferred to registry	
	F. Data retention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should adjustments be made to the data retention requirement (life of the registration + 2 years)?</li> <li>&gt; If not, are changes to the waiver process necessary?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; All discussions about the collection of data must be based on the Purposes established in Task 1.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data	
	G. Applicability of Data Processing Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should CPs be allowed or required to differentiate between registrants on a geographic basis?</li> <li>&gt; Should CPs be allowed or required to treat legal and natural persons differently?</li> </ul>			
	H. Transfer of data from registry to EBERO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Considering that in an EBERO scenario, no data is actually transferred from a registry to an EBERO, should this data processing activity be eliminated?</li> </ul>		Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data	
	I. Disclosure of non-public data to outside parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should existing requirements in TempSpec remain in place until a Uniform Access Model is finalized?</li> </ul>	This item cannot hold up the discussion and decisionmaking process for items A-H above. Considering this topic is likely to be covered through different discussions (potentially a separate PDP), this item should only be addressed in the event that the TempSpec is close to reaching expiration and the question of whether the requirements in the TempSpec should be upheld.		
3. Data Processing Terms	A. ICANN's responsibilities in processing data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; For which data processing activities does ICANN determine the purpose and means of processing?</li> <li>&gt; What are ICANN's responsibilities to the data subject based on the above?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; These discussions should only concern the data that is discussed in Task 2 above.</li> <li>&gt; These discussions will only focus on laying out the responsibilities of each party. They will not delve into terms or specifics of any agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Agreement on Data Processing Requirements	
	B. Registrar's responsibilities in processing data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; For which data processing activities does the registrar determine the purpose and means of processing?</li> <li>&gt; Which data processing activities does the registrar undertake solely at ICANN's direction?</li> <li>&gt; Are there processing activities that registrars may optionally pursue?</li> <li>&gt; What are the registrar's responsibilities to the data subject based on the above?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; These discussions should only concern the data that is discussed in Task 2 above.</li> <li>&gt; These discussions will only focus on laying out the responsibilities of each party. They will not delve into terms or specifics of any agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Agreement on Data Processing Requirements	
	C. Registry's responsibilities in processing data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; For which data processing activities does the registry determine the purpose and means of processing?</li> <li>&gt; Which data processing activities does the registry undertake solely at ICANN's direction?</li> <li>&gt; Are there processing activities that registries may optionally pursue?</li> <li>&gt; What are the registry's responsibilities to the data subject based on the above?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; These discussions should only concern the data that is discussed in Task 2 above.</li> <li>&gt; These discussions will only focus on laying out the responsibilities of each party. They will not delve into terms or specifics of any agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties.</li> </ul>	Agreement on Purposes for Processing Registration Data  Agreement on Data Processing Requirements	
4. Updates to Other Consensus Policies	A. URS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should TempSpec language be confirmed, or are additional adjustments needed?</li> </ul>		Does not necessarily have to be completed by TempSpec deadline.	
	B. UDRP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should TempSpec language be confirmed, or are additional adjustments needed?</li> </ul>		Does not necessarily have to be completed by TempSpec deadline.	

	C. Transfer Policy	> Should TempSpec language be confirmed until a dedicated PDP can revisit the outdated transfer policy?	To the extent that a revised interim solution is developed (e.g., by the TechOps group), it will be considered by this EPDP.	Does not necessarily have to be completed by TempSpec deadline.	Transfers should be considered to as limited an extent as possible during a EPDP on RDS.
	D. Sunsetting WHOIS Contractual Requirements	> After migration to RDAP, when can requirements in contracts to use WHOIS protocol be eliminated?		Does not necessarily have to be completed by TempSpec deadline.	

Task	Topic	Questions	Scoping Limitations	Dependencies	Notes
Uniform Access to Non-Public Data	A. Parties that may access data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; What are legitimate purposes for third parties to access registration data?</li> <li>&gt; What legal bases exist to support this access?</li> <li>&gt; Which parties/groups meet these purposes?</li> <li>&gt; Do those parties/groups consist of different types of users?</li> <li>&gt; What data elements should each user/party have access to based on their purposes?</li> </ul>		May need to follow conclusion of deliberations around "Purposes for Processing Registration Data"	Includes purposed based access concept.
	B. Vetting processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; Should different types of parties be vetted by different bodies?</li> <li>&gt; Which bodies can effectively vet each party/group?</li> <li>&gt; What criteria will vetting bodies use to assess each party/group?</li> <li>&gt; What requirements will different parties/groups need to meet to be vetted?</li> </ul>		Agreement on Parties that May Access Data	
	C. Credentialing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; How will credentials be granted and managed?</li> <li>&gt; Who is responsible for providing credentials?</li> <li>&gt; How will these credentials be integrated into CPs' technical systems?</li> </ul>		Agreement on Parties that May Access Data, possibly also agreement on Vetting Processes	
	D. Terms of access and compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt; What rules/policies will govern users' access to the data?</li> <li>&gt; What rules/policies will govern users' use of the data once accessed?</li> <li>&gt; Who will be responsible for establishing and enforcing these rules/policies?</li> <li>&gt; What kinds of insights will CPs have into what data is accessed and how it is used?</li> <li>&gt; What rights do data subjects have in ascertaining when and how their data is accessed and used?</li> </ul>		Agreement on Parties that May Access Data, Vetting Processes, and Credentialing	