Topic 10 WHOIS RT Recommendations Work Statement & Work Plan

Work Force Identification		
Members	Volker Greimann, Stephanie Perrin, Cathrin Bauer-Bulst, Susan Kawaguchi	
Rapporteur	Volker Greimann and Stephanie Perrin	
Scope Objectives	Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(iv), the Review Team will (a) evaluate the extent to which ICANN Org has implemented each prior Directory Service Review recommendation (noting differences if any between recommended and implemented steps), (b) assess to the degree practical the extent to which implementation of each recommendation was effective in addressing the issue identified by the prior RT or generated additional information useful to management and evolution of WHOIS (RDS), and (c) determine if any specific measurable steps should be recommended to enhance results achieved through the prior RT's recommendations. This includes developing a framework to measure and assess the effectiveness of recommendations, and applying that approach to all areas of WHOIS originally assessed by the prior RT (as applicable).	
Comments on Scope, further details	The IRT WG is currently working on the implementation of this recommendation. This review will encompass the work completed and if the agreed upon details adhere to the recommendation.	

• Clearly labeling WHOIS entries to indicate that registrations have been made by a privacy or proxy service;

Included in PPSAI working group report

• Pr oviding full WHOIS contact details for the privacy/proxy service provider, which are contactable and responsive;

How this will work is still being debated. Standard response process are of utmost importance in this process

• Adopting agreed standardized relay and reveal processes and timeframes; (these should be clearly published, and pro - actively advised to potential users of these services so they can make informed choices based on their individual circumstances);

Law enforcement relay and reveal processes are still being debated and how this would be implemented in a way that would not be burdensome for each side.

• Registrars should disclose their relationship with any proxy/privacy service provider;

Included in PPSAI working group report

- Maintaining dedicated abuse points of contact for each provider;
- Clearly labeling WHOIS entries to indicate that registrations have been made by a privacy or proxy service;

Included in PPSAI working group report

• Pr oviding full WHOIS contact details for the privacy/proxy service provider, which are contactable and responsive;

How this will work is still being debated. Standard response process are of utmost importance in this process

• Adopting agreed standardized relay and reveal processes and timeframes; (these should be clearly published, and pro - actively advised to potential users of these services so they can make informed choices based on their individual circumstances);

Law enforcement relay and reveal processes are still being debated and how this would be implemented in a way that would not be burdensome for each side.

• Registrars should disclose their relationship with any proxy/privacy service provider;

Maintaining dedicated abuse points of contact for each provider;

Included in PPSAI working group report

- meraded in 1 374 Working group report
- Conducting periodic due diligence checks on customer contact

Questions we will need to answer in assessing whether the objective has been reached

0

information:

Estimate of comparative complexity of assessment (1=low, 5=high)	3
Estimate of comparative work load (1=low, 5=high)	3
Estimate of ideal sub- team size (1-5 persons)	4-5
Work Space URL:	https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=71604717
Mailing List:	http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-privacy-proxy/
Important Background Links:	 WHOIS Review Implementation Reports, including Executive Summary of Implementation Report Detailed implementation Report WHOIS Review Team Final Report (2012) WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2007) [HTML] and [PDF] WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2003) WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 4, 12, 13, 14 WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 5, 8, 10, 11 WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16

Work Force Timeline

Refer to the RDS-WHOIS2 work plan for deadlines

Resources	
Requests for ICANN briefings	•
Requests for ICANN materials	•
Interviews to be	Speak with Caitlin Tubergen and Jen Gore – staff leading the IRT
conducted	Compliance team to discuss how they will ramp up to manage the
	compliance.
Need for Independent Expert	[Evaluate if independent expert is needed
	 If independent expert is needed, subject to budget availability,
	develop and document
	 Scope of work
	 Skills and experience needed
	 Timeline & milestones
	 Deliverables]
Other resources (existing outside studies, articles)	•