Attendance:

Georges Nahitchevansky Jason Schaeffer Jay Chapman Kathy Kleiman Philip Corwin Scott Austin

Staff: Julie Hedlund Ariel Liang Berry Cobb MichelleDeSmyter

Adobe Connect chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the RPM Sub Team for URS Practitioners - To identify a group of URS practitioners call on Thursday, 14 June 2018 at 17:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: <u>https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-</u> <u>3A community.icann.org x 0IIpBQ&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJ</u> <u>ms7xcl415cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehF</u> <u>BfjrsjWv9&m=FH3uh59EfNP15co8vrj7Xrh2Twm1urR7frgwSbbf1dw&s=BCYg4e98ZoFsC0</u> <u>LiqIx6nlqq2ELQ 1ZTRMEFCUABhTQ&e=</u>

Jay Chapman:Hi, all

Julie Hedlund:Hi Jay and Jason!

Kathy:Who will be in Panama?

Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome Scott!

Scott Austin:Thanks, sorry had to get off a client call.

Jay Chapman:on screen is fine for me

Georges Nahitchevansky:I can see the screen

Kathy:fine by me

Scott Austin:I can see screen

Scott Austin:Were there any practitioners who tried to file later but were turned away

Scott Austin:Great

Kathy:that's pretty good news

Scott Austin:Isn't the response to 2 and 4 inconsistent? 2 soays no responseents, 4 says 1

Jay Chapman:prob overlooked

Georges Nahitchevansky: I assum ewith GDPR that will increase as a problem

Scott Austin:Unless of course the registrant's real name is DOe

Georges Nahitchevansky:That question suggests that two practitioners represented respondents

Ariel Liang:MFSD accepts Doe Complaints in light of GDPR, based on their responses Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome Phil!

Philip Corwin:Hello all.

Kathy:Hi Phil.

Philip Corwin:Regrets for late arrival but had to travel from home to office and traffic happened

Kathy: this one seems something to look at (#4)

Jason Schaeffer:Hi Phil!

Georges Nahitchevansky:Hi Phil.

Georges Nahitchevansky:Not a surprising response and probaly also explains why not a huge a number of cases filed

Scott Austin:Q 22 comments on remedy among most useful responses of the survey.

Kathy:procedural problem because regsitrars should be responding.

Georges Nahitchevansky: The isue on the Chinese registrar issue is that it is often very hard to get Chinese registrars to implement decisions appropriately

Kathy:that's a problem.

Kathy:@Georges: do you think language is an issue?

Scott Austin: It would be interesting to look at several of the suggested remedies to see if they werer considered in the history of the promulgation of the URS, e.g. "an option of a voluntary (negotiated) transfer from a losingrespondent to a prevailing complainant before the domain expires."

Georges Nahitchevansky:Not really all language issues. I have used native Chinese speakers and we still run into issues. The registrars pput up a number of what appear road blocks. Some issues in teh UDRP for example, may involve PRC laws re .com registrationa, so not surprised that tehre would be implementation issues with URS relief

Scott Austin:Also "a right of first refusal to purchase the domain when it next becomes available." and "an established process for requesting suspension renewals"

Scott Austin:Those were additional remedies raised that I wondered if they were considered in the original discussion of URS remedies when it was created.

Georges Nahitchevansky:Lowering the fee would probably impact on the quality of decisions

Berry Cobb:@Scoott and All, within the Docs sub-team, we performed a domain disposition analysis. In short, Brand Protection companies acquired the domain on behalf of their client (most likely deleted and immediately registerd), some cases the brand itself acquired the domain, DPML or Registry Reservations. Others chose to not renew the name. And of course there are instances where the domain was registered after it was deleted by a different registrant. We'll be presenting that snap shot of findings to the larger WG in the near future.

Scott Austin: If the URS fee is lowered ICANN had better start issuing Pro Bono awards to the panelists

Kathy::-)

Kathy:And tx to Staff -- and the Forum!

Georges Nahitchevansky:and thanks to jason for herding cats

Scott Austin:Some of the suggestions in response to Q 39 and 43 seem like important procedural changes should not be particularly objectionable but would be easy fixes increasing the word limit to 1K words, allowing exhibits, and accommodations of additional evidence of bad faith

Scott Austin:Will a 13 out of 38 response be challenged by the usual suspects as not statistically significant and challenged on that basis

Georges Nahitchevansky:My takeaway is that folks satisfied generally, but concerned about the relief granted

Kathy:My takeaway is that some of the suspension procedures are not being implemented in practice.

Georges Nahitchevansky:Allso, Julie can you show the slide on the number of case filed by Respondents

Julie Hedlund:@Georges: Staff will bring that slide up.

Georges Nahitchevansky:Go up one

Scott Austin:Excellent point weight the response based on cases filed.

Scott Austin:Should there be a decision now to close the survey so there is no attempt to alter the results once the current result is released?

Scott Austin:Agree with Phil lack of major negative responses is encouraging. that the core is working

Kathy:That sounds like a reasonable approach

Georges Nahitchevansky:agree with Phil

Jay Chapman: agree with Phiil's suggestion

Georges Nahitchevansky: Issues: Relief awarded, issues with relief (e.g. Chinese registrar) and length of submissions

Jay Chapman: likely to attend remotely

Georges Nahitchevansky: I will not be attending I have a memorial service to attend on the key day

Kathy:Sorry to hear Georges

Kathy:@Jay: tx for attending remotely. Always good to show that people can participate from afar.

Georges Nahitchevansky: Should be able to attend Wednesday session remotely

Scott Austin:I will be there

Kathy: the bar graphs on this document? I think they are useful.

Kathy:Should we decide now, or think about it -- review a draft?

Julie Hedlund: I would suggest a draft to review

Julie Hedlund:@Kathy: That's right, because in many cases these are single responses.

Kathy:Tues?

Georges Nahitchevansky:Tuesday is better

Kathy:otherwise, sounds good!

Kathy:Great - tx Jason and Julie!

Scott Austin: Thanks for raising that.

Georges Nahitchevansky:Good point from Scott. Agree we should shut it down

Kathy:yup

Philip Corwin:agree

Kathy:bye All!

Jay Chapman:thanks, all

Georges Nahitchevansky: Thanks jason. Bye everyone

Scott Austin:Thanks./