

Attendance: (33 Members)

Anne Aikman-Scalese	Karen Day
Annebeth Lange	Ken Stubbs
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair)	Kristina Rosette
Christa Taylor	Kurt Pritz
Christopher Niemi	Martin Sutton
Christopher Wilkinson	Maxim Alzoba
Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd	Michael Flemming
Donna Austin	Robin Gross
Gg Levine	Roger Carney
Jamie Baxter	Rubens Kuhl
Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-Chair)	Rudy Mendoza
Jess Hooper	sarah Langstone
Jim Prendergast	Sophie Hey
Jonathan Robinson	Susan Payne
Justine Chew	Taylor R.W. Bentley
Karen Bernstein	Vanda Scartezini
	Zornitsa Marcheva

Audio Only:
None

Apologies:
Sara Bockey
Phil Buckingham
Kavouss Arasteh
Alan Greenberg
Heather Forrest

Staff:
Steve Chan
Emily Barabas
Julie Hedlund
Dennis Chang
Michelle DeSmyter

Adobe connect chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 18 June 2018 at 15:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x-2DigFBQ&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=Qg3DukK7nkScum_3dzBeEYdMIOzjFSZU5_GrVCab-Xs&s=fC_Wd-pMj86G75IhdPFffCoBsHUH6MINLnzBccrl0k8&e=

Christopher Wilkinson:We ae supervising a small demolition project here thisafternoon. I shall stay on Mute most of the time to avoid any collateral noise!

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-Chair):@Christopher - I hope it was a pre-planned demolition

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Hi everyone

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Hi all

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Hi Annebeth and everyone.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello all

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):do we have adobe audio?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):thanks

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I can hear

Michelle DeSmyter:yes

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Yes

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Sec 1.8.2

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):P 18

Emily Barabas:Hi All, for security reasons, we are no longer able to use the timer in Adobe Connect, but we will be asking everyone to limit interventions to 2 minutes.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Or all 3. Plus DIDP.

Emily Barabas:We will give a one minute warning in the chat and then let you know when time is up. Thanks for your cooperation!

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Factual correction for 1.8.2.b: Some applicants also filed DIDP requests (and DIDP is considered an accountability mechanism).

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair): Noted Kristina ... Thx

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):To get documents. Considered an accountability mechanism.

Karen Day (WT3):can the document be advanced?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Even though not discussed adding the questions makes sense

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Karen: Was that because the WT assumed that the appeals wouldn't affect the other mechanisms or was it just the absence of discussion?

Kurt Pritz:Should there / is there a statement included in this report regarding consensus / lack of consensus on the issue of whether there should be an appeal mechanism?

Kurt Pritz:* Should there be

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-Chair):@Kurt - we will get to that in the preamble

Karen Day (WT3):@ Kristina - honestly, I think a little of both

Christopher Wilkinson:Regarding costs, we could ask the community whether they would support the Panelists being pro-bono volunteers. ICANN would fund expenses and perhaps a per diem, not more.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:having continuation of a process challenge (ie Reconsideration Request) is not a change to the current policy. Adding an appeal for substance is what I think we are putting questions out for.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: On the subject of consensus, Leadership should not "lock down" the document on June 20 and post to the wiki per work plan since we only received the documents on Sunday and we have not seen several sessions yet. In addition, short Minority Statements should be permitted to outline issues for public comment so that the process is not entirely dependent on the version intended to be posted. COMMENT

Anne Aikman-Scalese:*revisions not sessions

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Is that not covered in the proposed preamble Anne?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT Panama is a policy meeting. Feedback from constituencies should be taken before the Initial Report is posted for public comment. See list comments from Ken Stubbs, Vanda, and me prior to this call. COMMENT

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):the additional Statements part Anne

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: Minority views should also be reflected in the questions for public comment to outline the issue as discussed in our last call - you agreed to that. COMMENT

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Jeff - you pointed out on IPC list that they had not provided much input (other than Greg and me). You were looking for more comment from them prior to publication of the report. I feel the same way.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Anne we have been adding questions proposed from the plenary

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I have suggested numerous questions in writing - no chance to see whether they have been added or not.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):In other words let us know if something is missing..

Susan Payne:I think the questions as drafted are attempting to elicit info where there are minority views, with the opportunity for WG members to suggest additions during these calls

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Jeff is covering the timing on feedback from the revisions now ...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Jeff - please give this WG more than one day to review those revisions before releasing to the Wiki. That is way too short for such a long report.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Correct Susan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):sorry typo Susan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Thx Jim

Anne Aikman-Scalese:In the preamble, the word "different" should be "differently". Again, we need a chance to review as Jim mentioned. this is another reason we need more time.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):an easy look up for the questions via Annex

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Great idea to put Annexes listing the questions for public comment! Thank you!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):we hope that will aid PC preparation

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Cheryl: Having the questions in one place (with page number references back to report discussion) will be HUGELY helpful. Thank you.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):have I lost audio?

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Have we lost Jeff?

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Me too

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-Chair):my computer decided to spontaneously reboot

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-Chair):but i am back now

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I agree with Kristina that there needs to be a quick link to the deliberations section with each sections set of questions if there are intended to be seperated in the report.

Vanda Scartezini:sorry to be so late.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: It would be great if the final draft of the Initial Report could be reviewed by the WG (even dividing into Work Tracks in the first session in Panama. That would assure that everyone is on board for what is put out for public comment. COMMENT

Susan Payne:Question - what is the difference between annexes B and C? I mena, why are there separate annexes for the input?

Susan Payne:"mean" not "mena"

Vanda Scartezini:i beleive your is right . I beleive it will more appropriate

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):They could be blended if you all wish us to, this is template based

Susan Payne:so we're not seeeking different feedback?

Susan Payne:then think we should combine

Susan Payne:oh - ok, I misunderstood

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):it is a record of not call for Yes Steve

Susan Payne:It's going out for public comment Ken

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):We are wanting to release formallly for Public Comment on the Initial Report immediatly after Panama Ken, July 3rd is proposed....

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: We cannot review the substance of drafts received less than 48 hours ago on non-busines days. COMMENTS

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):award. it's a typo

Robin Gross:work for me

Robin Gross:that would be helpful to see what the community needs (means) by transparency. Good point.

Karen Day (WT3):Karen Day: I'm good with that

Karen Day (WT3):@Kristina _ I think that's something we can clean up - add "and please provide your reasoning"

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Kristina makes a very good point. That is another reason the Annexes are helpful. We would need time to rephrase questions to address Kristina's concern.

Karen Day (WT3):or other appropriate language

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):For example, questions 23, and 5 could easily be answered with "yes" or "no."

Karen Day (WT3):to draw out responses

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):2, 3 and 5 (not 23)

Karen Day (WT3):thanks for raising that @Kristina

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):True, but by question 200 (or whatever the last number is), they could run out of steam.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Very True Kristina

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: I will definitely be lookin for a question whether "community" should be defined more narrowly than in the 2012 round or not?

ken stubbs:I am in agreement with anne's comments & concerns

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):BTW, in section f, the other strings we used in our discussion were .MAORI and .BANK. .MAORI is thematically similar to .NAVAJO, but .BANK is not and the use of only a nation/peoples name could be misleading or misunderstood.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):And by our discussion, I'm referring back to the 2006-07 PDP.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:The Work Track summary seems to be proposing a policy change - to narrow the definition. It is not clear from Question 1 that this is what is happening.

Rubens Kuhl:Hi all, sorry for joining late.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: I still need to look at what is said about .NAVAJO - please note our firm represents the Navajo Nation for various matters. NAVAJO is a registered trademark for numerous goods and services. I don't know whether it is appropriate to frame this example in this manner. COMMENT

Susan Payne:great, thanks so it sounds like we might end up with something on auctions going into this section - subject to discussion in Panama

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Question section

Christopher Wilkinson:Section 1.9.1, page 6 para. 6: The reference to the European Commission and the GAC seems to be a non-sequitur. the CoE is a self-sustaining entity and their positions are not dependent on 'official endorsements by other entities.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I certainly mentioned Indian Tribes in general in the Work Track 3 discussion. I doubt I would have specifically mentioned the Navajo Nation.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Sorry I am only on one screen at home. Could staff just search the doc for "Navajo" please?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Jamie's comment on question 1.

Justine Chew:Mention of "Navajo" is on page 9

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Could we please see page 9?

Rubens Kuhl:Steve has hand raised.

Christopher Wilkinson:On page 8 on my printout!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Use of the name Navajo is problematic.

Rubens Kuhl:"should a specific native American tribe receive priority if" , perhaps ?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thank you!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):simple fix then remove the reference

Christopher Wilkinson:There are many tribes in many countries and histories. It is a real generic, not North America specific.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Thanks Jeff .. i think it is important that the question not presume that current policy definition of "community" is insufficient. I would be uncomfortable if the record states that the reason CPE was controversial was because it lacked a clear definition of "community" and not for other reasons, such as the evaluators or the evaluation process.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Folks - dealing with Native American Tribes is very sensitive stuff. Please be culturally sensitive here. Tribal names are not generic.

Vanda Scartezini:yeah Rubens, put it generic. I have more concern about time, since looks there still have many points before locked down the document

Annebeth Lange, WT5:+1 Jamie on the community-question

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Darn I was going to ask you to suggest an edit Kristina

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Cheryl: Sorry.

Karen Day (WT3):I believe we need to either drop it or go broader. I distinctly remember a discussion with the GAC about creating economic communities.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Dropping the example would also avoid concerns as Anne raised

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree the overall draft in the current version presumes the answer to the question we SHOULD be asking and I raised this many times in the Work Track discussions. We need to acknowledge that the shared understanding in 2012 was much broader than this summary. So again this is a policy change being suggested.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):We ask for that in the questions Robin, so the example doesn't seem to still enhance

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I think it's cleaner to drop it, imo.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):yup

Vanda Scartezini:totally agree Robin the concept of community varies a lot around the world

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: The point is to raise issues for public comment. We should not be avoiding that. COMMENT

Vanda Scartezini:we intend to put it to public even with some substantive issues to be considered?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Yes - please - Do use the first session to discuss the report. It's a policy meeting. Then you will have everyone on board!

Jim Prendergast:if not these revisions, then what will be on the agenda?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:It's useful for the public to understand the report. If you go over it in the first session, the public will be able to better participate.

Vanda Scartezini:nobody will read this complex docuemnt before Panama. they will be focusing on their own communities , local jobs and traveling

Anne Aikman-Scalese:That's another reason the WG should review it in the first session.

Vanda Scartezini:agree @ Anne

Anne Aikman-Scalese:You could limit the first session to review of the Questions in the Annexes - then you would get public participation and interaction.

Jim Prendergast:Ill repeat a concern I have with having a closed Generics discussion while we have an interim report with lots of questions to the community. It we spend time in Panama trying to advancing any of the less settled issues that at the same time are out for community input - we risk looking like we are not really interested in the community input - even though we are.

Rubens Kuhl:Jim, AFAIK the idea for Closed Generics is trying to build a resolution method, not to find the solution.

Christa Taylor:Major distortion and echo

Jim Prendergast:@Vanda - i would also argue that people wont have time to read it during an ICANN meeting as well.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Or else pick some Questions from the Annexes where you believe this Policy Forum has its highest and best purpose.

Vanda Scartezini:very noisy when Cheryl is speaking. readin the text on Notes..only way to understand

Michelle DeSmyter:Jeff, your line is open

Michelle DeSmyter:yes

Jim Prendergast:good

Jim Prendergast:Rubens - is that resolution specific to closed generics or for all issues where there currently is no consensus?

Vanda Scartezini:@jim agree , sessions on FACE 2 FACE for community shall start with explanation about it..

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Vanda and Jim- explain to public the issues around the questions you are focusing on. do this in first session.

Jim Prendergast:And explain the approach to the community since this is different from a typical Initial Report

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Jim re explanation to be offered at the beginning of each Sub Pro session re the preamble.

Vanda Scartezini:yes Jim, we need to consider that different expected by public

Justine Chew:And the collation of feedback received on these set of drafts will be posted somewhere?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):we will be doing that as we currently plan the sessions Jim

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Jim.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):but we also need to briefly reiterate things for each session

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):+1 to Jim. Although given my circuitous flight plan to Panama, I may have enough time to read it on the plane.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:sounds good Cheryl.

Rubens Kuhl:Jim, I know it's been foreseen for Closed Generics, but if that framework ends up being useful to other questions, I don't see why not using it. But it's not been generalized from start.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Kristina I go via Taiwan and LA so I understand

Christopher Wilkinson:so it will be a red-line so we can see where the revisions have been made.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Cheryl, you win. :-) (or not)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO -PDP Co-Chair):Yes CW

Robin Gross:Thanks Jeff and Clo, bye all!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thank you all.

Annebeth Lange, WT5:See you soon

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all safe trip to all