

**Attendance:** ( 24 Members)

Christopher Wilkinson  
Alan Greenberg  
Anne Aikman-Scalese  
Avri doria  
Bruna Santos  
Christa Taylor  
Christopher Wilkinson  
Emily Barabas  
Gemma Keegan - Neustar  
Greg Shatan  
Jamie Baxter | dotgay  
Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair)  
Jim Prendergast  
Justine Chew  
Karen Day  
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry)  
Michael Flemming  
Phil Buckingham  
Quoc Pham  
Raymond Zylstra - Neustar  
Rubens Kuhl  
Sara Bockey  
Sophie Hey  
Tom Dale

Apologies:

Susan Payne  
Maxim Alzoba  
Donna Austin  
Martin Sutton  
Annebeth Lange  
Javier Rua  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr

Staff:

Steve Chan  
Berry Cobb  
Emily Barabas  
Michelle DeSmyter

**Adobe Connect Chat:**

Alan Greenberg:Having problems with Adobe connect. Will be in and out for a bit.

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group WG call on Tuesday, 12 June 2018 at 03:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x-5FCgFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWI PqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwFhFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL\\_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gI3Jao&s=dF4SBQ5-4I-IQGP AE6e18Ish\\_Xc2FX4d8qsDHYnVSm4&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x-5FCgFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWI PqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwFhFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gI3Jao&s=dF4SBQ5-4I-IQGP AE6e18Ish_Xc2FX4d8qsDHYnVSm4&e=)

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):hello all

Michael Flemming:Did Tony get one?

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:i missed the Tony's .. traveling home from Brazil

Karen Day (WT3):dialing in now

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):I dont believe any Tonys won any Tonys last night

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):jamie - not that excitig to be honest

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):I thought Tina Fey deserved the Tony for best book of a musical, but oh well

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):1 minute

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):to start

Phil Buckingham:Sorry I am late. I was struggling to get in with a problem with the adobe add- in

Sara Bockey:what pg are we on?

Rubens Kuhl:51

Sara Bockey:Thanks!

Michael Flemming:Rubens, are you an environment you can speak?

Michael Flemming:Are you in an environment where you can speak?

Rubens Kuhl:Yes, but not that loud.

Michael Flemming::)

Rubens Kuhl:Sleeping toddler some meters away...

Jim Prendergast:Does anyone know the current state of NCAP? I know there was a comment period and the staff report is due by 6/16 but any other activity?

Rubens Kuhl:Jim, there are NCAP sessions in the Panama schedule, but that's all I know so far.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT - Hi Jeff: I submitted revisions to the Questions in this section and Rubens responded. I have sent that communication to the list twice. Anne COMMENT

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, most of the issues in 1.7.8 were ack'd but the ask for language to those issues.

Jim Prendergast:Id venture that there are way more qualified experts on Name collisions in the SSAC than in Sub Pro

Rubens Kuhl:SSAC said NCAP will be run as a more wider effort than an SSAC-Only working party. But likely more an invitation system than an Open WG.

Jeff Neuman (PDP Co-Chair):@Jim - i tend to agree with that. There may be a couple of experts here, but the bulk of us are more likely not

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I agree it's a reasonable possibility, but it is not a DECIDED item in the Work Track. Public comment is needed.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT - Jeff I agree we can rephrase - it may be important to note whether or not evaluator should be independent.

Rubens Kuhl:NCAP was mentioned for the framework, not application evaluation...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:INdependt of contract relationship with ICANN

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Should ICANN evaluate or not?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Yes - ICANN staff be evaluating name collision risk?

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, at meeting #12 of WT4, this was one of bullet points: "Mitigation frameworks would be evaluated by RSTEP"

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Rubens - As you know, I questioned this.

Rubens Kuhl:"Meeting #12 2000 UTC 08 June 2017"

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Deliberations are one thing. Highlighting issues for public comment is completely different.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Okay thank you.

Jim Prendergast:it will be interesting to see how the community digests this monster document

Steve Chan:Sure thing Jeff

Steve Chan:Sorry, you didn't have scroll control a moment ago, but you all do now.

Jim Prendergast:whats ballpark timing on CCT-RT report? soon right?

Steve Chan:@Jeff, confirmed that's language from the current ICANN Bylaws

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Apologies for joining late. My only comment on 1.3.1 would be to add a sentence (or 2) to subsection f that explains what the WT will do once it receives the CCT RT Final Report and what the best estimate is of the time required for that work..

Michael Flemming:I am sorry, i left my seat

Michael Flemming:What was the question?

Michael Flemming:I think that is a good idea.

Sara Bockey:what pg?

Michael Flemming:7

Michael Flemming:e

Michael Flemming:+1

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Language of 1.3.2 re GAC ADvice should instead be clarified to say: "In October 2012, the Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) provided > advice to the ICANN Board of Directors of ICANN that it should come up > with a mechanism to require an applicant to incorporate into its registry agreements by reference certain commitments, business plans, > registration restrictions, additional rights protection mechanisms and > other objectives specified in the application as filed such that these commitments could > be overseen by ICANN's compliance department."

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Don

Justine Chew 2:It's syntax copied over from email

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Don't know why they are there. Tried to keep the draft as is as much as possible but there were already two references to ICANN - sorry - needs work.

Rubens Kuhl:Objection panels were called Dispute Resolution Providers in the 2012 program...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Work Track 3 discussed the protection of an Applicant's Freedom of Expression rights and how to ensure that evaluators and Objection Panels (delete dispute resolution as this term means UDRP and URS) providers performed their roles in such a manner so as to protect these fundamental rights. The Work Track generally believes that the implementation guidelines should be clarified to ensure that dispute resolution panelists and evaluators are aware that freedom of expression rights are considered throughout the evaluation and any applicable Objection and auction (DELETE dispute resolution as this term generally means UDRP and URS) processes as well as any Requests for Reconsideration and/or Independent Review Panel proceedings .

Karen Day (WT3):Yes, Robin held the pen on this section, let's let her confirm.

Rubens Kuhl:Even ICANN's page says "Objection and Dispute Resolution": [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_newgtlds.icann.org\\_en\\_program-2Dstatus\\_odr&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwill3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL\\_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gl3jao&s=owXDUj02wcBAwMFBoohkrategqjRx1rPqaUDF\\_uiNCg&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_program-2Dstatus_odr&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwill3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gl3jao&s=owXDUj02wcBAwMFBoohkrategqjRx1rPqaUDF_uiNCg&e=)

Justine Chew 2:Rubens' point is valid

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Work Track 3 discussed the protection of an Applicant's Freedom of Expression rights and how to ensure that evaluators and Objection Panels (delete dispute resolution as this term means UDRP and URS) providers performed their roles in such a manner so as to protect these fundamental rights. The Work Track generally believes that the implementation guidelines should be clarified to ensure that dispute resolution panelists and evaluators are aware that freedom of expression rights are considered throughout the evaluation and any applicable Objection and auction (DELETE dispute resolution as this term generally means UDRP and URS) processes as well as any Requests for Reconsideration and/or Independent Review Panel proceedings . To do this, each policy principle should not be evaluated in isolation from the other policy principles, but rather should involve a balancing of legitimate interests where approved policy goals are not completely congruent or otherwise seem in conflict. Applicant freedom of expressio

Rubens Kuhl:"Objection Grounds, Standing and Dispute Resolution Service Provider (DRSP) Information"

Justine Chew 2: So perhaps it should be "Dispute Resolution Service Provider (DRSP)" instead of "dispute resolution providers"

Rubens Kuhl: That's ICANN language in the 2012 round.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: @Rubens - A Dispute Resolution Service Provider is to do with UDRP and URS - not I think with respect to Applicant's procedures

Rubens Kuhl: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_newgtlds.icann.org\\_en\\_program-2Dstatus\\_odr&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL\\_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gI3jao&s=owXDUj02wcBAwMFBoohkrategqjRx1rPqaUDF\\_uiNCg&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_program-2Dstatus_odr&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=IL_0KirJSKzFevOC8-KU7L8mYGAvu-VxXIt42gI3jao&s=owXDUj02wcBAwMFBoohkrategqjRx1rPqaUDF_uiNCg&e=)

Rubens Kuhl: @Anne, that's the language ICANN used in the 2012 round... I am not advocating for either one, but it will create confusion either way.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: We should just make sure it is clear we are referring to application dispute resolution, not registrant dispute resolution.

Justine Chew 2: +1 Jeff, the question for Robin is to confirm what was meant by "dispute resolutions providers" in that part of the text.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: This is because the Principle provided in the background relates to APPLICANT's freedom of expression.

Greg Shatan: The procedure was an Objection, but the entities hired to resolve the objections were called "Dispute Resolution Service Providers" in the AGB.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thank you.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I think we also need to mention Applicant's Freedom of Expression in relation to Request for Reconsideration and IRP.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: I thought this was just about recommendations related to Applicant's Freedom of Expression

Justine Chew 2: d. Last bullet either delete "the" or "a" in the second line. --- typographical error

Greg Shatan: This section is entitled "Applicant Freedom of Expression"....

Anne Aikman-Scalese: @Greg - Applicant issues arise if Board denies the award of a TLD for whatever reason - e.g. GAC Advice - Applicant Freedom of Expression is involved.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Or if a Community application is wrongly denied in the evaluation process - Applicant Freedom of Expression is involved.

Alan Greenberg:Not on bridge: Question Given that UA has continued to be problematic, do you think that other efforts need to be undertaken.

Rubens Kuhl:+1 Alan

Rubens Kuhl:"to be challenging" ?

Alan Greenberg:wording not optimal. Tried to get it in before you went onto the next section.

Jim Prendergast:like question after it is massaged

Alan Greenberg:I do think we need the given... Perhaps Ruben's working challenging

Steve Chan:@Jeff, time check for AOB

Alan Greenberg:Judgement is that it is 6 years later and the issue is still on the table!

Rubens Kuhl:Old hand

Steve Chan:To Jeff's point, the executive summary usually includes all recommendations, options, and questions, which would make it quite long in this case.

Jim Prendergast:will the exec summary try to explain how this is more like an interim report than an initial report and there may be further possible comment periods prior to final report? I dont think that language has been circulated back

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: Jeff, could you please repeat your first comment about the nature of the changes that will be made in redline? QUESTION

Jim Prendergast:ok

Anne Aikman-Scalese:OKay, thank you.

Greg Shatan:summary of the summary?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:HOW TO INCLUDE REMOTE PARTICIPANTS IN SMALL GROUP WORK?

Alan Greenberg:Jeff, discussions on tools is fine, bt there will potentially be people there who d onot otherwise participate and therefore we do need a brief intro on the substance.

Alan Greenberg:Balance was what I was looking for.

Alan Greenberg:ALAC has cancelled its own meetings for Monday morning in favor of the PDP meetings.

Alan Greenberg:But that is one of the needs for a bit of intro.

Steve Chan:Nope, nothing from me

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks Jeff and everyone. GNSO special meeting on Expedited PDP for Temp Spec coming up in 7 plus hours.

Alan Greenberg:Jeff - marathon meeting of talking for you! Great job. Thanks.

avri doria:bye

Karen Day (WT3):Goodnight all

Rubens Kuhl:bye all!

Jim Prendergast:ciao

Christa Taylor:Goodnight