

Attendance: (41 members)

Alan Greenberg	Jorge Cancio
Anne Aikman-Scalese	Julie Hedlund
Annebeth Lange	Karen Day
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Kavouss Arasteh
Christa Taylor	Keith Drazek (GNSO Council Co-Liaison)
Christine Farley	Kristina Rosette
Christopher Niemi	Martin Sutton
Christopher Wilkinson	Phil Buckingham
Donna Austin	Phil Marano
Gemma Keegan	Robin Gross
Gg Levine	Rubens Kuhl
Heather Forrest	Rudy Mendoza
Jamie Baxter	Sarah Langstone
Javier Rúa-Jovet	Sophie Hey
Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair)	Susan Payne
Jessica Hooper	Taylor R.W. Bentley
Jonathan Robinson	Tom Dale
	Vanda Scartezini

Audio Only:

Donna Austin
Hadia El Miniawi
Harold Arcos
Katrin Ohlmer
Susan Payne
Taylor Bentley

Apologies:

Maxim Alzoba

Staff:

Emily Barabas
Julie Hedlund
Steve Chan
Berry Cobb
Trang Nguyen
Michelle DeSmyter

Adobe Connect Chat:

Michelle DeSmyter: Dear All, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 04 June 2018 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter: Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_PCgFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkBPS6sJms7xcl415cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIbLwwwF

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):It is pretty repetitive
Javier Rúa-Jovet:haaaa
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):phew
Heather Forrest:well handled, Jeff
Christa Taylor:We need the principal of mute ;-)
Anne Aikman-Scalese:Okay thank you. I think I misunderstood.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):So we need to clarify that text better
Alan Greenberg:Cannot hear Rubens well.
Vanda Scartezini:guess will help jeff.
Rubens Kuhl:Yes
Rubens Kuhl:Latin-script, actually.
Rubens Kuhl:The text says language, so we need to fix it.
kavouss arasteh:Julie
kavouss arasteh:I am disconnected pls advise to dial me up again
Michelle DeSmyter:Yes, Kavouss, I will have an operator dial you now
Vanda Scartezini:yes Rubens. need to be corrected
Steve Chan:@rubens, can you provide the specific section that references language rather than script, so we can capture as an action item?
Rubens Kuhl:"Latin-language supporting ccTLDs such as .br and .ca"
Steve Chan:thanks rubens
Rubens Kuhl:"could be it TLD-specific" -> "could it be TLD-specific (same item)"
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):P 31
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):32
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):33
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):May as well ask
Christopher Wilkinson:thank you, Rubens & Jeff. That is what I am looking for. CW
Rubens Kuhl:Only emojis allowed in comments on emoji TLDs, please.
Javier Rúa-Jovet:No harm in asking
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Apologies for being late. I had a conflicting meeting. I have several comments about sections that you've discussed so will include them in chat.
Christa Taylor:I think we should definitely ask
Christopher Wilkinson:No question. Leave Emojis to Skypt et al.
Steve Chan:FWIW, the SSAC recommends against emoji as TLDs
Rubens Kuhl:Steve, we mention that and WT4 also seemed to echo Patrik's comments on that.
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Section 1.7.3.f (last sentence): Is Work Track the correct subject or should it be commenters? If Work Track is correct, may want to revise the verb to "may look"
Javier Rúa-Jovet:ok
Rubens Kuhl:(Patrik was at the time SSAC Chair, but is no longer)
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):1.7.7 is on P 35
Heather Forrest:Just to clarify - am I right that we're not weighing in with individual opinions at this point but merely checkign that the document accurately reflects the discussions that took place in the WT?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Section 1.7.4.c: I think some explanatory text would be helpful. Those who have not followed the issue carefully won't understand why the first 2 bullets are not inconsistent.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Correct Heather

Rubens Kuhl:@Heather, yes. But if something is factually wrong, we can correct that based on that, if applicable.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):and asking are there any additional questions to pose

Heather Forrest:thanks Cheryl and Rubens - much appreciated

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Section 1.7.6.c., 2nd para: Not clear what problem WT is trying to solve through the suggested Implementation Guidance. An explanatory sentence would be useful.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):P 35

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):36

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):P 37

Christopher Wilkinson:The financial plan should include a question about the aggregate leverage of the applicant (equity/indebtedness)

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Comment/question on Section 1.7.7.c (financial evaluation, subsection 2.d): It would be helpful for the report to state if the WT considered extending the conditions to include Affiliates. In other words, if the Applicant or an Affiliate is a company traded on an applicable national public market, etc.

Rubens Kuhl:Kristina, could be a question like this ? "WT hasn't considered whether to include Affiliates in (...). Should such criteria be changed to include Affiliates ?"

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):P 39

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Rubens: Yes.

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all I need to leave to attend another call. nice day/ night to everyone

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Thx for joining us Vanda

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Great Thx Kristina

Rubens Kuhl:On Financial Evaluation, I remember people having doubts about the meaning of a "single registry family", a definition ICANN GDD uses. We might want to add a footnote with that definition.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):P 40

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I'm confused and would appreciate some clarification from folks on the WT who worked on the Registry Services Evaluation recommendations. The Registry Services Evaluation Process implements the Registry Services Evaluation Policy, which I do not read as applying to gTLD applicants. So, how can an "applicant specify whether it wants [its new proposed service] evaluated through RSEP at evaluation time"?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Proposed Text is on P 41

Donna Austin, Neustar:Apologies all, I have to drop from this call.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Thx for joining Donna

Heather Forrest:So you would still be required to answer, for example, 29 on RPMs?

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):@heather

Rubens Kuhl:I don't see us taking out question 23, or the number it becomes.

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):oh, Rubens

Jeff Neuman (Co-Chair):explain

Rubens Kuhl:Because applicants still need to specify what they want in their agreements.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):In the interest of moving forward, can we revise the report to say that the WT has disagreed and we specifically seek comment on the may vs. required issue?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Agree with Kristina about this.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Services can't be hidden if they're new registry services. Post RA signature, the RO will have to submit an RSEP.

Rubens Kuhl:This would be curious seem we seem to agree, just not on how to say it...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):This was WT 4

Rubens Kuhl:So if there is doubt, I am more from changing language to the point of people that agree, not to disagree.

Rubens Kuhl:(I am more to)

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Let's not forget that one major factor in the development and design of the 2006 policy recommendations (and the subsequent AGB) was to avoid having ICANN making judgments about business models.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Kristina - the questin is timing - in the application itself or in the RSEP or RSTEP process afterward? That is why w eneed public comment. The work Track 4 discussed the pros and cons of this - particularly becaudse of question 23.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Christopher: Suggest you review this list if you're unaware of any new registry services: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_resources_pages_rsep-2D2014-2D02-2D19-2Den&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl415cM&r=8_WhWIPqslT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=p1ecbeAxdbxIVfpvfEhEIAH99-VG4bjtVDhAG1eaAi8&s=7-97dBScvotU7uKe1ujaTUNYB7FE0NLggLEvmVR7Ng&e=

Rubens Kuhl:Would "Applicants will be allowed to specify services beyond the base required services, but the base required services will be the only mandatory ones" cut for you, Anne ?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):If that's Anne's concern, it assumes that there is a basis for a 3P to comment on or object to a proposed registry service - and I'm not aware of one.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):If we're going to ask that question, we should also ask the commenters to identify why or why not it should be required, if 3P should be allowed to comment on or object to a proposed service in the application and, if so, on what basis?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:The question on which there was disagreement in Work Track 4 was whether disclosure of services known at the time of application - should you be required to disclose them?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I would not require the tick box - I would expect integrity and honesty. And all I am looking for is public comment on the question.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Anne: Understood. But if we're seeking comment on whether disclosure should be be required, we need to ask people to explain their reasons.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Yes - Kristina - I absolutely agree with that idea to explain reaons.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:*reasons - yes

Alan Greenberg:The REAL problem is we can only refuse an RSEP if it impacts stability, but here the grounds for objection could be much wider.

Rubens Kuhl:Alan, Stability or Competition. And the judge on Competition is external to ICANN, an applicable competition authority.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@Alan - that is why changing Question 23 or modifying it is an important change in policy and this must be highlighted in the questions for public comment.

Alan Greenberg:@Rubens, yes + competition, but the general comment still stands.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):BTW, if I have to choose between attending this session in Panama and attending the RySG members meeting (because of the schedule conflict), I'll be at the RySG members meeting. JFYI.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):The PDP Policy tutorial

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):only oine I know of

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, that part hasn't changed. Only the pre-approved services list and the opportunity to specifying timing for service evaluation, which could foster more disclosure.

Michelle DeSmyter:He is not on the audio bridge

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):He's in Georgia (the country) so I'll be shocked and impressed if he's on the call.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Rubens - I agree with Kristina - the issue should be identified as being a subject of disagreement and public comment should be sought. As Alan pointed out, there was a lot of discussion on this in Work Track 4.

Steve Chan:session 1 for WT5 is on Monday, 15:15-16:45

Steve Chan:and session 2 for WT5 is Thursday, also at 15:15-16:45

Annebeth Lange, WT5:And the sooner we can get there, the sooner it will be possible to launch new gTLDs

Javier Rúa-Jovet:15:15-16:45 Cross Community Session:Geo Names at the Top-Level (Work Track 5)Part 1 of 2

Javier Rúa-Jovet:beat me!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):Thanks everyone, good progress today ... Homework read the Name Collisions Section (P 50) where we start next week.

Heather Forrest:thanks Jeff!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:great call!

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Thanks, interesting discussion, thanks, Jeff!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:thx jeff!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thanks Jeff et al.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:bye

Christa Taylor:Thanks!

Robin Gross:bye!

Phil Buckingham:thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP WG Co Chair):BYe