

Adobe Connect: 33 Members

Ashley Heineman
Aslam G Mohamed

Avri Doria
Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair)
Chris Casavale - IPC
Christa Taylor
Christopher Wilkinson

David McAuley
Greg Shatan
Griffin Barnett

Heather Forrest
Javier Rúa-Jovet
Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-
Chair(
Jess Hooper
John Rodriguez
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland)
Katrin Ohlmer
Kavouss Arasteh

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry)
Liz Williams
Marita Moll

Matthew Johnson
Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet

Olga Cavalli
Ricardo Holmquist
Robin Gross
Rosalía Morales
Sophie Hey
Susan Anthony
Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada,
GAC)
Timothy Asiedu
Yrjö Länsipuro

On audio only:

Juan Manuel Rojas
Salanieta Tamanikawaimaro

Ejikeme Egbuogu
Carlos Raul Gutierrez

Harold Arcos
Vernatius Okwu Ezeama

Apologies:

Sara Bockey

Justine Chew

Maureen Hilyard

Staff:

Emily Barabas
Julie Hedlund

Berry Cobb
Steve Chan

Terri Agnew

Terri Agnew: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic Names at the Top Level on Wednesday, 06 June 2018 at 20:00 UTC for 60 minutes.

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_MScFBQ&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4i5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0A1gn-H4xR2EBk&m=A6MJUABd4bY7R1CtduoNSuQEyyAJ2MkN7KQ9AxmPig0&s=GERLKfeQ7T8E7ZL5tAtNdc2jvk-cTzWWyJPXpmkj0_s&e=

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hello to all!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Hola a todos!

Olga Cavalli:hello all hola a todos

Olga Cavalli:In Argentina today is the Engineers day!! so best wishes to all engineer colleagues!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes

Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet:Hola Olga!

Timothy Asiedu:Thanks

Rosalía Morales:hola Olga

David McAuley:hello

Heather Forrest:good morning

Katrin Ohlmer:good evening

Robin Gross:Good afternoon :-)

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:hi everyone

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes

David McAuley:that's better

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Not your choice Kavouss... Let's get on with THIS call

Griffin Barnett:+1

Robin Gross:+2

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):the 4 Co Leads decide NOT our Membership how he calls are run

Greg Shatan:Thank you, Olga, for doing more than was expected of you in the last call. Much appreciated!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):THAT is **NOT what I said**

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):listen perhaps next time

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair): and we have now lost almost 5 mons of this call

Greg Shatan:5 minutes — it only feels like 5 months.

Greg Shatan:This is ridiculous.

David McAuley:How nice to be back on Adobe

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):+1 David

Javier Rúa-Jovet:apparently none

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):one comment on the planned calls: on 20 of June some of us may be already starting our travel to Panama...

David McAuley:Thanks to folks who organized this document - lots to use in there

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Document is a living document for WT5 members

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Noted Jorge the Co Leads will keep that in mind
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):thx Cheryl!
Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):Of course all of our mailing lists are "public" so in theory anyone can see it.
Emily Barabas:It is also on the wiki, which is public
Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Kavouss maybe you can submit this suggestion in writing?
Javier Rúa-Jovet:Kavouss: one minute left in this turn
Robin Gross:Gong!
Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand up by Greg
Kavouss Arasteh:Working Document Toward Preliminary Draft Report of WT5
Olga Cavalli:thanks Kavouss, please staff note this suggestion of the title
Javier Rúa-Jovet:thanks @kavouss
Julie Hedlund:@Kavouss: Noted.
Emily Barabas:How about simply "Working Document"
David McAuley:+1 @Emily
Javier Rúa-Jovet:You have 1 minute left @Greg
Katrin Ohlmer:@Emily: +1
avri doria:great name
Emily Barabas:Ok, unless there are objections, we will call it that
Javier Rúa-Jovet:You have :20 secs left @Greg
Robin Gross:Sounds good, Emily.
Steve Chan:Time
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):the multistakeholder community proposes, the Board decides...
Javier Rúa-Jovet:echo
Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):@Christopher - I dont think that that is a fair assessment.
Robin Gross:Actually, the GNSO makes the policy and it goes to the board and if they board disagrees, they have to go back to the GNSO and work out an agreement between the two. That is in the ICANN bylaws.
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):actually the Bylaws are a bit more complex than that ;)
Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):TO the extent we can avoid judgement against other people and previously created policy positions, lets try. Thanks
Katrin Ohlmer:@Jeff: +1
Robin Gross:But it is far more inaccurate to say the the board decides [GNSO policy].
Emily Barabas:Staff will include the lastest version of the Working Document in Word and PDF with the notes
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):not all of us can use Google Docs...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):the WT Leaders and Staff are making all efforts to ensure all input options are captured appropriately Jorge

David McAuley:Google docs can get a bit confusing as comments pile up - this could be a real test of it

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Kavouss is that a new hand?

Greg Shatan:In a 4 day meeting, it's important to use all 4 days fully.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:There is a hand by @Jorge after @Kavouss

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):The 2nd session for this WT is in one of the "unconflicted" time slots thus in the afternoon Jeff and I specifically requested "unconflicted times" for WT5 sessions

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):There are so many conflicts during this meeting. But all groups were told to avoid our scheduled sessions for WT 5

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):We cant help that not everyone listened

liz williams:One thing that worked out very well at the last meeting was breaking into smaller groups in the room; we could also do break out sessions prior to the actual F2F meeting; we could work in smaller groups and bring back ideas?

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):It was the only GNSO sessions that we asked to be non-conflicted

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Agree with you on this Olga

Julie Hedlund:GAC Communique is Wednesday afternoon: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_62.schedule.icann.org_meetings_699454&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmKXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0A1gn-H4xR2EBk&m=A6MJUABd4bY7R1CtduoNSuQEyyAJ2MkN7KQ9AxmPig0&s=IQ3Ssx1yY2hFUBj4y1dlhkKiuAV-zxw2fOc7UXcW-8w&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Thanks for confirming Julie

Christopher Wilkinson:@Robin, but this time GNSO has accepted cross community participation in WT5 and by extension the PDP.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):we have to be inclusive of delegations with just one person in Panama...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:We shall focus on audience interaction and break out session.

Julie Hedlund:@Olga: The GAC Communique doesn't conflict.

Greg Shatan:Christopher, Participation in all GNSO Working Groups is open to anyone from any community or no community.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Time check less than 30 mins left in our call today

Katrin Ohlmer:As geoTLD Group we support to maintain the requirements

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):I'll refer to my prior inputs - I feel the discussion is mostly on the intended use carve out with cities and with other geonames covered by the AGB 2012

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand by @Greg

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):just for clarity: let's not fix what ain't broken and keep the requirement

Katrin Ohlmer:+1 Jorge

Greg Shatan:Katrin, what geoTLD Group are you referring to?

Katrin Ohlmer:geoTLD.group

Katrin Ohlmer:the group of geoTLD operators

Christopher Wilkinson:@Greg: it had not occurred to me in 2006-2007 (where was I?) that the GNSO could perpetrate such a policy!!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):we are not talking about cities, Greg

Steve Chan:20 seconds Greg

liz williams:@Greg...could you please recirculate your chart?

Javier Rúa-Jovet: times almost up Greg

Steve Chan:And...time

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Time

Emily Barabas:Greg's chart: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1jPa4jdBgo8P2aC6G4pzLoFTfyocleon8qVD7Q9mlM5A_edit-23gid-3D0&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=A6MJUABd4bY7R1CtduoNSuQEyyAJ2MkN7KQ9AxmPig0&s=re8-7mXdM7uxZCzd2VTcfdUx5ak6khr6NhebHcUU4ak&e=

Kavouss Arasteh:Ido not share that view as non objection is irrelevant . On the contrary it is very relevant to preserve the rights of the people having concerns with their identity

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand by @Jorge

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes

Kavouss Arasteh:Jorge +1

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Jorge, 1 minute left. @Robin has hand next.

Katrin Ohlmer:*1 Jorge - we should not make any judge ments without consulting both parties

Greg Shatan:It wasn't just cities where there were applications by geointities where consent/nonobjection was beside the point.

liz williams:If we are going to ask applicants to work with governments or public authorities submit applications, then why not just work with support...it is the vaguenses of non-objection that is so difficult to make objective, and stable for applicants

John Rodriguez: Continue to have concern that support/non-objection is ignoring the context of how the string is used... context is important.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Yes

Jeff Neuman (OVERall PDP co-Chair):i hear robin

Greg Shatan:Liz, that's all semantics. In practice, it's a veto right.

Olga Cavalli:can you hear me?????

Katrin Ohlmer:@liz: if governments to not bother, why ask for a support letter?

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes we can Olga (we could)

Jeff Neuman (OVERall PDP co-Chair):I think those just using a phone might be delayed

Jeff Neuman (OVERall PDP co-Chair):or the other way around

Olga Cavalli:thanks

Jeff Neuman (OVERall PDP co-Chair):but there is a latency issue

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):We did hear you after Robin asked if we could hear her Olga

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):we are concerned of the freedom of expression of the local communities and their participants...

Olga Cavalli:got it

liz williams:@Greg I agree with you and I think we can solve this particular problem by making sure that any objection mechanisms are clear and usable by anyone who wants to object to an application.

Greg Shatan 2:Jorge, they are free to apply.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):sure, you only forget the different resources at hand...

Javier Rúa-Jovet::20 seconds @Robin

Javier Rúa-Jovet:thanks @Robin, with time to spare!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Robin do you wish to further speak on freedom of expression?

Greg Shatan 2:Everyone is resource-constrained, not just governments.

Greg Shatan 2:Javier, freedom of expression only lasts for 2 minutes on this call. :-)

Robin Gross:Olga, govts control the use of language on the Internet if others are not allowed to use words (in TLDs) in ways that govts don't approve of.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):if they can influence or make somebody accountable, this is the local public authorities...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thanks @Robin and @Carlos

Greg Shatan 2:Jorge, did you get cut off?

Susan Anthony:What is the government interest if the proposed gTLD is not going to be used in a way that relates to a corresponding "geo name"?

Robin Gross:There is nothing to stop any person in any country from applying for a TLD if they want it.

Greg Shatan 2:By the way, I fully support Jorge's intervention in pursuit of the facts.

Javier Rúa-Jovet:1 minute @Kavouss

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Greg: don't know, I did not hear myself ;-)

Greg Shatan:Many of us are here as stakeholders representing their communities.

Greg Shatan:Jorge, sorry, I meant you last chat comment.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Well said Olga

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Kavouss, I think Carlos Gutierrez was speaking about linguistic/cultural groups as applicants for geoNames, even if the sovereign authority involved might object.

Christopher Wilkinson:@ Susan: We don't want a prior delegation to a 'non-geo use',to preempt the opportunities for a future Geo use. Do you?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):I'm part of the freedom of expression community and do not agree with Robin's apparent individualistic approach to it...

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Thank you for that pointer @Greg

Terri Agnew:finding the line

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Susan: the problem is well explained on list: it is a unique resource, the "use" by third parties cannot be controlled, etc.

Greg Shatan:Which part Jorge? I'm thinking about the part that says that government shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech...

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):@Christopher - your position is that strings that match geo names should be reserved

Jeff Neuman (Overall PDP co-Chair):just in case?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):freedom of expression, freedom of information are tightly linked to democratic process - at least in many countries, those most linked with that are local communities represented through their public authorities

Susan Anthony:@Christopher: If a government wants to use a geo name in the future, they should apply for it. Otherwise, we run the risk of locking up many, many, words that should remain free for others to use. That, to me, is "public interest" about which governments also should be concerned.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Time Check less than 10 mins left on the call

Javier Rúa-Jovet:hand up by @Liz

Greg Shatan 2:Jorge, the fact that TLDs is a unique resource does not mean that the geo-use of that string should have a special right to that TLD. It's unique for everyone.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Liz was a new speaker Olga she should have had priority

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):it means that you need all on one table previously - as experience has shown... and on capitals, subnational regions and supranational regions it seems to me clear that the relevant public authorities need to give a non-objection

Greg Shatan 2:@CLO, also some people seem to be putting their hands up pre-emptively....

Javier Rúa-Jovet:@Liz is next.

Robin Gross:By its nature, Language is shared, not owned by any govt. Govts should respect others legal rights to use words (that they want to control).

Olga Cavalli:Cherly yes sorry I only saw Liz now

Javier Rúa-Jovet:yes

Katrin Ohlmer:which issue are we trying to solve - btw?

Heather Forrest:In my view all of the proposals above based on quantitative thresholds suffer from randomness. I do not support the use of the letter of support/non-objection for other reasons already noted on the list, but these proposals create more problems.

Christopher Wilkinson:@Susan: there are vast numbers of available strings in all languages; why are we discussing an hypothetical competition for a small number of geo-namse?

Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet:I said it before, but protection of city names in all languages of the world seems overkill.

Heather Forrest:+1 Liz - and indeed I should have said 'arbitrariness' rather than 'randomness' (apologies- it's early morning here in APAC!)

John Rodriguez:+1 Liz

Olga Cavalli:how much time we have?

Greg Shatan:Because they are TLD strings,not Geo names.

Julie Hedlund:@Olga: 5 minutes left.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):5 mins

Olga Cavalli:thks

Heather Forrest:Apologies everyone, I have to drop for another call.

Steve Chan:10 seconds Liz

Steve Chan:Time is up

Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet:ditto I don't much like the arbitrary cut offs in terms of size or % of population. I thought the current AGB covered non capital city names perfectly adequately.

Susan Anthony:What is the need to obtain non-objection/support from a community if the use of the proposed gTLD has nothing to do with the community?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):SO it will be a great topic to workshop in the WT5 Sessions at ICANN 62... Excellent

liz williams:@Susan...exactly...but this goes back to the necessity for thinking more carefully about intended use.

Greg Shatan:Excellent question, Susan.

Katrin Ohlmer:@Nich: If we agree to add further categories we should think of well-known lists rather than arbitrary measurements

Greg Shatan:If there's a legal right, they can make a legal rights objection.

Susan Anthony:@Liz Yes, and we've not been spending much time there.

Steve Chan:Jorge, time

Javier Rúa-Jovet:times up

Katrin Ohlmer:@susan.: clarity for the applicant that there will be no objection by anyone

Robin Gross:Exactly, Greg, if they have a legitimate legal right, they are protected. But what is requested here, are rights that do not exist in law (and infringe upon rights that do exist in law).

Susan Anthony:@Katrin agree that the applicant needs clarity. We're all just in disagreement about how to get there....

Katrin Ohlmer:@Susan: apparently...

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):subsidiarity is key: defer to local laws and policies on what is a city - the advisory panel could advise on this

Greg Shatan:We should find ways to support and engage more potential applicants from around the world.

Christopher Wilkinson:We are out of time; I shall send thorough comments to the List. (How to ut it to the Wiki is another matter ...) Good night
CW

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP-WG Co Chair):Thanks for all your contributions today... Thanks Olga for chairing and obviously lots more to discuss on these issues. Bye for now...

Aslam G Mohamed:bye

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:bye

Nick Wenban-Smith, Nominet:thanks olga!

Javier Rúa-Jovet:Bye

David McAuley:good bye all

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):thanks and bye all!

Susan Anthony:Big city ... smaller city ... what is the city's or cities' interest if the proposed use has nothing to do with city/cities?

Robin Gross:Thanks all, bye!

Katrin Ohlmer:Thanks Olga, Bye!

avri doria:bye

Greg Shatan:Bye all from .nyc