

**Attendance:** (7 members)

David Maher  
Greg Shatan  
Heather Forrest  
Jorge Cancio  
Poncelet Ileleji  
Stephane Hankins  
Thomas Rickert

**Apologies:**

Alan Greenberg  
Chuck Gomes  
Christopher Lamb

**Staff:**

Berry Cobb  
Mary Wong  
Dennis Chang  
Steve Chan  
Michelle DeSmyter

**Adobe Connect chat:**

Michelle DeSmyter: Dear All, welcome to the Reconvened PDP Working Group on Red Cross Names on Thursday, 7th of June 2018.

Michelle DeSmyter: Agenda wiki page: [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_community.icann.org\\_x-2DiEFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=BxRj4JL6PHTppyMENMGbpZT6YzquINcmR8IyocRhzz0&s=nztedirr7pjDqQ8Q-S4iPCEwhSs0ynI2PmXoMnFXBuM&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x-2DiEFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=BxRj4JL6PHTppyMENMGbpZT6YzquINcmR8IyocRhzz0&s=nztedirr7pjDqQ8Q-S4iPCEwhSs0ynI2PmXoMnFXBuM&e=)

Thomas Rickert: Hi everyone!

Greg Shatan: sounds good to me.

Heather Forrest: A consensus of 4? :)

Berry Cobb: Stephane should be joining shortly.

Berry Cobb: Chuck replied on the list thoughtfully about the agenda and his support.

Mary Wong: It will be good to hear from WG members what thoughts/comments/suggestions they have on the principles and scope of protection that staff gleaned from the list provided by Stephane, including the remaining issues we noted.

We can also take the same question to the mailing list following this call - the point being the need to get WG agreement on what is, and is not, within scope for the variants.

Heather Forrest:No questions Thomas

Michelle DeSmyter>Welcome Jorge

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Hi Michelle and all!

Michelle DeSmyter:Stephane has now joined the audio bridge

Heather Forrest:Thanks for adding the legend Berry- I appreciate that follow up from our last call

Heather Forrest:No questions at this stage, Berry - thank you for your hard work on the spreadsheets

Heather Forrest:I interpret that (correctly?) as the society name being "Red Cross Society of China" - I don't understand the need for "Headquarters"

Poncelet Ileleji:yes we can

Berry Cobb:Red Cross Society of China Headquarters

Berry Cobb:China Red Cross Headquarters

Berry Cobb:Red Cross Society Headquarters

Mary Wong:If it helps, the Chinese language version of these specific names does indeed include the characters for "Headquarters"

Heather Forrest:I personally do not believe that "Headquarters" is consistent with the other principles set out here

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):thanks Stephane, sounds reasonable

Heather Forrest:Thanks Berry, thanks Stephane

Mary Wong:@Heather, that's why staff thought it might be helpful to provide this Principles & Scope document - so that the WG can see in summary form the totality of the variants currently on the spreadsheet as well as the open issues.

Heather Forrest:Indeed, Mary- an excellent initiative in avoiding substantive decision-making in the future

Mary Wong:@Greg, English (as listed) plus official languages (as listed)

Stephane Hankins: Might it be considered to add for "Headquarters" that it is exceptionally ?

Thomas Rickert: I guess we have to remove headquarters

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): perhaps "headquarters" is just needed for such exceptional cases as it may have a different connotation there?

Poncelet Ileleji: I also feel the word headquarters should be removed

Mary Wong: Per what Berry is saying - reserving these specific words (in the absence of combination with a country name) may amount to adding to the current Consensus Policy where the reserved terms are reserved in only UN6

Berry Cobb: Example: "The Red Cross" from Cambodian Red Cross Society

Mary Wong: @Stephane, is it possible for you/RC to verify that, for all the countries for which we have listed a request to reserve "red cross" or "red crescent" without a country name, that these terms are indeed protected as such in the relevant national legislation?

Heather Forrest: The domestic ratification would give us the national legislation that Mary asks about, no?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): We already had checked the international protection of the standalone words if I recall correctly our initial debates

Mary Wong: @Jorge, that's why those terms are already protected under existing (permanent) Consensus Policy in the 6 UN languages, I think. The question here is whether the reservation must be extended to additional languages (e.g. Afghan, Malay, Dutch, Swedish).

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): the international protection is not restricted to 6 UN languages as far as I recall

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): it would make little sense not to protect them in the national language(s), while protecting them in the 6 UN ones...

Thomas Rickert: The limitation to 6 UN languages was done for a reason.

Thomas Rickert: Are we not sneaking in more languages through the backdoor?

Mary Wong: @Jorge, staff isn't expressing a view on whether or not the existing Consensus Policy should be extended to cover additional languages. The Geneva Conventions do not specify languages for the text of the words Red Cross, for instance, so we just wanted to draw the WG's attention to this question for your decision.

Greg Shatan:I've stepped away.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):I see this protection as reasonable for a limited number of cases and it makes sense to protect the common name in national language - especially when the international basis seems clear

Greg Shatan:I'm back.

Heather Forrest:I appreciate the careful noting of outliers here in 6.

Heather Forrest:@Berry: What would be the future implications of these outliers in 6? Ie, would these be acceptable models for future names?

Greg Shatan:We are protected organization names here, not terms.

Greg Shatan:\*protecting\*

Greg Shatan:We are asking for national legislation only where the protection is being sought for the term and not for any organization name.

Poncelet lleleji:National @Greg you mean incountry please clarify

Mary Wong:@Poncelet, the staff understanding is that Greg is asking that, in cases where the request is to reserve words/terms that do not have a country name attached (e.g. as listed in #6 in the document being displayed), that any justification to reserve these as exceptional cases must (if at all) be based on the legislation in THAT country giving legal protection to that specific word/term.

Thomas Rickert:Mary, that is my understanding, too, and I agree with tht.

Thomas Rickert:that

David Maher:+1

Heather Forrest:I believe the explicit explanation of legal basis is critical when looking back to the original outcome of the PDP

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):let's not forget that many countries have monistic systems, ie international law apply directly as national law if the country is party to that convention

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Could Stephanes comments be read out? I think they make a lot of sense...

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Thanks, Berry!

Thomas Rickert:Will the additions part be an issue for us, Heather?

Thomas Rickert:the Council has asked for a finite list.

Mary Wong:Note that the criteria bit may need to be updated as a result of today's call

Thomas Rickert:I think it makes perfect sense to have it, but want to check

Mary Wong:@Thomas, @Heather, that's why we drafted Rec 5 in the way you see it, as Berry is explaining.

Heather Forrest:@Thomas - I can't speak with any authority here, but I believe that the principles and guidelines reviewed at the start of the call will provide Council useful guidance as to the "finite" nature of the list and future changes

Thomas Rickert:Mary, I am ok with it and happy to defend it.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):It would replace the temporary protections of national societies, but not other pending temporary protections, right?

Greg Shatan:Jorge, what other pending temporary protections are you referring to?

Berry Cobb:such as the acronyms.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Stephane is explaining - as I recall there are acronyms protected

Greg Shatan:I had understood that this group would be dealing with all outstanding issues relating to Red Cross protections. This is a major issue.

Berry Cobb:[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.icann.org\\_sites\\_default\\_files\\_packages\\_reserved-2Dnames\\_ReservedNames.xml&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8\\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe\\_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=BxRj4JL6PHTppyMEnMGbpZT6YzquINcmR8IyocRhzz0&s=ivnP3tGxSnQ18Dh5BazO1OZ1\\_VX3eLdkJzwN-49MJK0&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_sites_default_files_packages_reserved-2Dnames_ReservedNames.xml&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGIBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=BxRj4JL6PHTppyMEnMGbpZT6YzquINcmR8IyocRhzz0&s=ivnP3tGxSnQ18Dh5BazO1OZ1_VX3eLdkJzwN-49MJK0&e=)

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Greg: there was a decision when starting this WG NOT to deal with acronyms here

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):as a result of the Board and GNSO resolutions if I recall correctly - I clearly recall that we left acronyms aside

Mary Wong:The Council was clear that the temporarily-reserved acronyms are not part of this reconvened work.

Mary Wong:So any reconciliation/resolution of that issue remains with the Board, GAC and GNSO, not this WG.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):exactly, Mary - a reason for not touching that in this working group

Poncelet Ileleji:+1 @Jorge I remember

Mary Wong:If it helps, staff notes the exclusion of the acronyms issue from the scope of this reconvened group in the draft report that Berry and I are working on.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):yes, please, Mary

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Perhaps with noting that open issue of acronyms in the recommendations the facilitated dialogue could be reactivated on acronyms...

Mary Wong:Per Berry's earlier comment, when the definitive list is implemented, the remaining issue will be on the following acronyms: ICRC, CICR, MKKK, IFRC, FICR

Greg Shatan:The original group had acronyms in its purview. It's important that our report acknowledge the limited scope of the reconvened group and that the acronyms are still unresolved.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):agree with Greg

David Maher:+1

Poncelet Ileleji:+1 too

Heather Forrest:Huge effort - thanks to Berry, Mary, Thomas

Poncelet Ileleji:So far personally am happy with the progress made and thanks for an overall good presentation @Berry

Greg Shatan:Thank you to all and esp. Berry for his data-wrangling, Thomas for his WG-wrangling and Mary for her knowledge-wrangling!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Please consider the comments made in the chat on all these issues you mention, Thomas - eg that national law in monist countries includes international law...

Poncelet Ileleji:I responded

Mary Wong:Per the GNSO Working Group Guidelines, formal consensus calls should take place on the mailing list.

Mary Wong:Apologies, I have to drop for another call. Thanks to all for the good discussion and collaboration!

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland):Bye and thanks Thomas, Berry, Mary, and all!

Heather Forrest:Thank you Thomas

Poncelet Ileleji:bye