**CLAUDIA RUIZ:** 

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Thank you for joining the EURALO Bylaws Taskforce Call on Wednesday, the 23<sup>rd</sup> of May, 2018 at 16:00 UTC.

On the call today, we have Olivier Crepin-Lebold, Erich Schweighofer, and Florian Hule. We have received apologies from Andrei Kolesniskov, Roberto Gaetano, Oxana Prikhodko, Yrjo Lansipuro, and Matthias Hudobnik.

On staff, we have Silvia Vivanco; and myself, Claudia Ruiz on call management.

Before we begin this call, I'd like to remind everyone to please state your name before speaking for the transcription purposes, and with this, I turn it over to you, Olivier. Thank you.

**OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:** 

Thank you very much. Apologies again for the late start here with not that many people on the call, but since this call is recorded, I think that we should really discuss the issues that are at hand and then point everyone else to the recording afterwards. This is the first call in more than five months, six months nearly since our last call. Actually, it is six months since our last call on the seventh of December last year. I'm a little concerned that this is taking a bit of time, but as with everything, there have been a lot of things taking place in ICANN since and we tried to work with bearing in mind the fact they were all volunteers and that rewriting bylaws takes a significant amount of time. It's not just an easy

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

task that you do in between other calls. So, I just wanted to recognize

this and thank Florian for holding the pen on this.

We'll first go through the action items of our last call. The way that we structure our action items here, as I mentioned on the mailing list, is we have a mix between, on the one hand, the real action items, and on the other hand, the points which have been made during the call and which need to be then included in the Articles of Incorporation or Rules of

Procedures.

So, I would invite you all to look at the ... Well, the first one I've actually just sent an e-mail to the mailing list. They were probably just [inaudible] shortly. The next three, four, five are to do ... Well, the next four are to do with the information that Florian is including in the Rules of Procedure. I just wanted to ask Florian whether he's taken note of these and whether these have now been included. I don't think we can hear Florian at the moment.

FLORIAN HULE:

Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Now we can hear you. Very distant.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Far away. Could you please speak up?

FLORIAN HULE: Do you hear me now?

SILVIA VIVANCO: We cannot hear Florian. Can you speak up?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you, but you're very far away and you're breaking up.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Florian, would you like for us to dial-out, please, so we can have a better

connection?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We're not doing very well at the moment. Florian? I think we might

have lost Florian Hule. We're completely unable to hear him. Is he

coming in through Adigo or through WebX.

SILVIA VIVANCO: No, he's [inaudible] through WebX. We're trying to see if we can get a

dial-out to him at the moment.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, he just has audio and his microphone doesn't work properly. Could

we try and get him on the phone, perhaps?

**CLAUDIA RUIZ:** 

Yeah, we're trying. I'm trying to get a number for him. One second.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

So, we're trying at the moment. Okay. Alright. I'll take it that these are included, but if we cannot speak to or if we cannot hear Florian, that's going to make it very difficult for us to operate at the moment. Perhaps it's time to wait a little bit. Does anyone else have follow-ups or feedback on these points? Of course, I'm looking here in the direction of Erich Schweighofer. You're the only other person on the call, on this topic.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Thanks, Olivier. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yes, very well.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Yes, thank you. I would like to have Florian Hule comments first and then discuss it because he is holding the pen and he has developed the policy within our group, so it's a better idea. And concerning the issues also in the e-mail, my opinion is that we should be establishing [inaudible] to have some kind of [inaudible] and also [inaudible]. Maybe also we can have some [inaudible] even if we don't have any [inaudible] at all because we don't have income and money.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: Thanks. Yeah.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Keep on going. Sorry I interrupted you. I thought you had finished.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: I finished this first point, yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thanks. I note that Florian has [inaudible] when it comes to the action items that changes have been included in article 8 and 10, and article 8 references the EURALO Rules of Procedure. So, the action items I guess are complete as they are listed. That's fine.

Now, coming back to the discussion on incorporation, and that I think probably then takes us immediately to the next agenda item, which is the review of the latest draft. And whilst we wait for Florian to come back, I understand the [process] that you've made on this and I have been thinking about the related costs associated with this, and the advantages and inconvenience of being registered in specific countries.

As has been mentioned, none of the other RALOs are registered in a specific country and the aim for this was to ... For our exercise was to rewrite the bylaws according to a certain model of bylaws. I don't think

it was ever thought that EURALO would need to be registered or to have a specific address in one country.

I have sent an e-mail to the mailing list asking on whether we should have a seat in Switzerland or not. I don't believe that we've received any response so far from the wider mailing list. If we don't get a response within the next week or so, then I would suggest that we ask the wider EURALO membership. This is a topic of important points I think.

The concerns I have are to do primarily with being very different from the other RALOs in having something like this in place, but more so the fact that we don't have a budget as such means that any costs associated with having either an entity or a seat in Switzerland or things in Switzerland would have to be born by someone and I cannot see ICANN paying for these things. So, I'm not quite sure how you would propose being able to sustain this. Erich, you have the floor.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Thank you. It's mostly thinking of [inaudible] lawyers and they cannot really imagine that you establish an organization without having a seed or some kind of legal entity and staying out of some kind of other entity like ICANN.

I'm aware that the other RALOs don't do that. They have some formal organization and this is sufficient for them, so they don't register, they don't have a seat, they don't pay taxes, don't have an income. [inaudible] of EURALO that [inaudible] some sort of [inaudible]. So, if you would like to have some income, if you would like to have

membership fees, then you need that. Otherwise, we can [inaudible] some kind of informal model.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks. I think that this then requires a wider debate on our mailing list. Wolf Ludwig, unfortunately, isn't here but he has said in the past that there have been some discussions or there were some discussions in the past as to how independent EURALO would be, hence the reason why the first version of the bylaws had a treasurer that was included in there and so on, and the latest we seem to not have it.

But, I think that rather than spending too much time on this, perhaps formulating the question. I'll tell you what. Why don't you and I work together to formulate a question correctly to our wider membership and perhaps have a discussion on that if we don't have any response on the bylaws mailing list? Is that okay?

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

That's okay for me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

I think the discussion needs to be touched on. I personally don't believe there needs to be much of a change, but certainly the option has to be there. I don't think that it would be good governance of me to say, "No, no, no," when an open debate ... We would certainly benefit from an open debate. Because you are quite correct in that the status of a regional At-Large organization is somehow a bit of a question mark when it comes down ... And certainly not just comes down to our RALO,

but to all of the RALOs, the five RALOs. Is it part of ICANN and how can it sign an MOU with ICANN if it's not a legal entity? Now, it could be an unincorporated association, in which case how independent is it, etc.? That's still a big question, which perhaps needs to be discussed in our community.

I've seen other RALOs discuss this in their own way, and it would be interesting even just for the exercise to find out what conclusions we reach in our community.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:

Okay. It's [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for noting this. Olivier, Florian, and Erich to formulate a question on the option to have EURALO as an unincorporated association of the Swiss law. Thank you, Silvia.

Okay. Now, I understand that we have Florian back on the call. Florian, we've gone through the action items. Thanks for giving us a green tick on all of these action items. I really hand the floor over to you now to take us through article 8 and article 10 of the latest draft and any other things you'd like to do.

FLORIAN HULE:

Hello. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Now we can hear you very well. So, welcome.

FLORIAN HULE:

Perfect. Thank you very much. Article 8 is more or less an adaption of the existing article 6. I think it was in the former bylaws or in the current bylaws. The main additions are article 8.1, the [rights and] duties of members are to be exercised in accordance with the EURALO Rules of Procedure and the EURALO Rules of Procedure may grant additional rights to the members.

There is a main question mark about the interplay between the Articles of Association and the Rules of Procedure, that they may grant additional rights but may not be able to limit rights of members. That would have to be in the Articles of Association, I do think. I don't know it for sure because I am not [inaudible] to the Swiss jurisdiction, and therefore I have to more or less guess that one.

The board has another main addition has been article 8.7, the board has the option of accepting other forms of [inaudible] participation. We had precisely that sense on the action list of the last call. So, I included it now.

And Article 8.8, members may forfeit the voting rights in accordance with the EURALO Rules of Procedure. We had included that one. I'm not entirely sure if it would work in the Swiss law, but if we only work under the broader principles of Swiss law, then that may be enough. That would be article 8.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this. Are there any questions or comments, I gather,

just between the three of us? I have to ask the question. I have a couple

of questions, Florian.

FLORIAN HULE: Yes, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The first one with regards to this is that on the additional rights

provided by the EURALO Rules of Procedure, you're saying that the

Rules of Procedure might not be able to curb any rights that are given.

Should we mention this in this article? So, should we say the  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EURALO}}$ 

Rules of Procedure may grant additional rights to the members; however, the Rules of Procedure may not curb any rights already

exercised by the Articles of Association?

FLORIAN HULE: That very much depends if Swiss law is strict on that and doesn't allow

the [carrying] of membership rights in other documents in the Articles

of Association. I don't think we need to do it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay.

FLORIAN HULE: And if Swiss law would be flexible with that, then well, there is also no

need to include it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So, if we leave it just without mentioning it, then it can be

interpreted in whatever way.

FLORIAN HULE: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. I mean, I don't see any problem with that.

FLORIAN HULE: Yeah. It's more a question on drafting style. We can include it, but we

don't have to.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. That was the first one. The second one is with regards to

8.2, I had said you cut and pasted it from our previous bylaws.

FLORIAN HULE: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The question there is members are obliged to maintain an e-mail

address and to communicate the e-mail address to the association, as

well as other details as his, her, or their particulars as the board so

decides. What is the angle when it comes down to the GDPR? Is this compliant with the GDPR or is this not even related to the GDPR?

FLORIAN HULE:

That's a very interesting question. I do think if we have the acknowledgement, if we have the yes of the members to share their information, it would be possible, but we certainly can't give it away to other organizations or enterprises without their ... Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

So, do we then have to say members are obliged ... Or instead of saying are obliged, we should say have to consent to maintain an e-mail address and to communicate the e-mail address to the association? Because I think that the GDPR speaks about the members or someone giving their consent, explicitly giving their consent, for their e-mail address to be recorded and their details to be held.

FLORIAN HULE:

Yeah. We can include that. I will include it in the next draft.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yes. Erich Shweighofer?

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

For me, it's some sort of a contractual relationship if we're establishing associations of our members and part of the obligation is then also to have [inaudible] to maintain some [inaudible] member [inaudible] by

the association. So, it's a different [inaudible]. It has nothing to do [inaudible] because if someone is [inaudible], it's a possibility, then [inaudible]. So, it's not [inaudible] giving data, particularly article 6. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Erich. Alright. That's a good point. In which case, then, because the GDPR deals with the recording of this data in a database, an electronic database, should 8.2 remain as it currently is because it is an obligation by contract, as you mentioned, but an additional clause such on the consent of members to have those details held in a database? Florian or Erich? Who goes first?

FLORIAN HULE:

I think Professor Schweighofer should go first.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Go ahead, Professor Schweighofer.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:

Not in this environment. That's university.

FLORIAN HULE:

Okay.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

We've [inaudible] that side to have [inaudible] communicate the data we store, assess, some kind of [inaudible], privacy statement. That's important. And it may be also necessary to inform members, future members, current members, what kind of data we store, but they have the right to be informed. And the [inaudible] this kind of policy within our EURALO [inaudible] once a year, just to see what kind of data we have and how we possess it [inaudible]. But, in quite many jurisdictions, it isn't necessary to have data about members. It wouldn't just [inaudible] in order to fulfill the obligations. So, the consent is maybe then part of the application that we include, what kind of data we store and possess, and the [inaudible] on the privacy statement [inaudible] our data. It was a necessity we have to do. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Erich. Florian, do you wish to add to this?

FLORIAN HULE:

No, that's precisely what I wanted to say. We can put the consent in clause or any other clause regarding data protection and storage and maintaining data into the application process or application form. Since the application has to be in writing anyway, that won't be a problem. So, we don't need to have it in the articles. We can do it, but it's not really necessary.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay. Thanks for this. That's one of my questions answered. Then, at that point, would it be possible to still cover – because this section says

rights and duties of members – to cover the fact that those duties are all, of course, in accordance or in compliance with relevant EU law? So as to cover the GDPR or indeed any other law that might come, when it comes down to the database of holding these details.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

May I speak?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yes. Erich Schweighofer?

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Thank you. Know that there are very few companies [inaudible] under EU law [inaudible], but most companies are established under one national law, and that would be Switzerland and Switzerland may not apply GDPR directly, so they have their own data protection law. That's [inaudible].

**OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:** 

Aha! We can't say in accordance or in compliance with relevant EU law. What about saying .... So, what you're basically saying is the bylaws written under Swiss form cannot be saying that they would work in accordance with relevant EU law.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

The problem is there might be a conflict of laws. There might be a conflict difference between EU law and Swiss law.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Correct. Yeah.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: And we cannot exclude Swiss law from that, so Swiss law would

probably, if we operate under the Swiss [inaudible], so Swiss law would

be probably triumphant in such a conflict.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And GDPR, if we were to just [inaudible] to GDPR?

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: Probably even then, if the Swiss don't adopt it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: So, the GDPR has this extra territoriality to it, so it's not like we're

dealing here with a membership organization where 99% of the

members are Swiss.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: You're right on that. The marketplace principle applies, so we are

[inaudible] of Europe becoming the European Union, so we have to

respect GDPR and also local law.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. So, should we just have this then saying in accordance or

compliance with relevant GDPR or local data protection laws?

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: We can put it in there. National data protection laws.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yeah, national data protection laws. I think that might be an answer or [inaudible] out of this. The reason why I'm being picky on this is because of the focus that we now have on the whole GDPR and protection of privacy and such information and I can foresee some of our At-Large structures asking the question in the near future and asking how their data is being held, etc., and whether we are GDPR compliant. I just want to make sure that if we are going to draft some rules, then basically just mention this, as in of course it would ... In general, one would assume that this is the case. But, just to avoid a debate on this, on whether this is ... Just have this listed, as one of these obvious clauses. The same sort of clauses saying that nothing – and this was something that came up in the ITU documents. Nothing in these documents are [inaudible] anything to do with human rights. It's obviously quite an obvious one here. I wouldn't say we are going to go down that road, but hopefully just mentioning on the GDPR.

Silvia mentioned ... Silvia has written something in there on the chat: draft is to consider the incorporation of a [inaudible] in accordance to national data protection law. Is that okay?

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:

And also European.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yeah, national and European data protection law. You have that, Silvia?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes, I do.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks for this. Erich Schweighofer, your hand is up.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

It's an old hand, but now a new hand, too. We have to be clear what kind of data we store about our members. It's an e-mail address or it's much more. The whole application form contains a lot of information about associations mostly, but also about members. [inaudible] representatives of the association. So, applicability of GDPR is a bit divided between because it doesn't apply to associations as such concerning personal information about members, activities of the members, [inaudible] organization. So, a good policy [inaudible] kind of data we store about our members, and also to give sufficient information. It's also [inaudible] recording the call. That's also kept for a while as far as I know, its activities. So, that's maybe the more important issue also. Nothing to do with the [inaudible] as such, but GDPR and yes there's something has already happened on that. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks so much, Erich. It's a very good point you're making. There's some discussions across some of the ALAC when it came to discussing GDPR. I don't think it actually reached any conclusion or solution, which is a bit of a concern. The primary question comes down to who owns that information. So, the application comes in, and if you recall, this gets passed on to the ALAC, but its held on ICANN computers. It certainly is being run ... Well, domain names of ICANN and it's on ICANN machines. And the accreditation that is being done, the accreditation of the ALS, is being done by ICANN, by the RALO. So, all of this information is held [inaudible].

I do not know what the arrangements are when it comes down to this information being held in ICANN computers, and you're absolutely right when it comes down to transcripts of calls, other personal information, etc. Statement of interest and so on where [inaudible] on this.

Could we perhaps have an action item coming from this call for a [inaudible] to take place? I can certainly send an e-mail out on behalf of EURALO asking the ALAC chair for clarification with regards to GDPR compliance of Regional At-Large Organizations. And of course the activities of our members in At-Large. Does that cover it?

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

It would be a good idea.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks. Florian, back to you then for the next section, please.

FLORIAN HULE:

Well, the next section would be article 10. In article 10, we have the action item from the last call. Any change of the Articles of Association requires a resolution of the general assembly with two-thirds of the delivered votes. That's in accordance with the voting process and quorums we have drafted so far. Yes, that's from the last action call.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Great. Great. Okay. Thanks. I don't have any questions on this. It's things that we agreed on.

FLORIAN HULE:

It's rather easy drafting.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

[inaudible] correct. Okay, excellent. Well, I guess that's it. Are there any other parts, then, that we need to discuss today and how far are we now with regards to a first draft of the bylaws? Is it time for us to share the full set of bylaws with the mailing list, our own working group mailing list, with a deadline, maybe a week? Then, after a week, we engage maybe in another call with some feedback or maybe a couple of weeks for people to come back to us with some feedback. And once we've gotten that first round of feedback, then we can move forward and share it with a wider mailing list. But, I'd like to kind of get this thing pretty well cooked before we share it with the wider mailing list. Any thoughts about this?

FLORIAN HULE:

I think we are ready to share it with our group. I think a one-week deadline is enough. It's not that long and not that complex. Yes. Then, we can incorporate the changes and share it with a wider working group or audience.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, great. Thanks for this, Florian. So, what we'll do then is to wait for you to work on article 8 and then what we'll do with our mailing list, you can certainly e-mail it out to the mailing list and I can follow-up and implore everyone to spend some time to read this quickly and send us some feedback on that.

On the topic of having a Swiss lawyer looking at this draft, what I would ask is for ICANN to perhaps have its own legal advice to have a look at it on behalf of EURALO just to point out if there is anything that doesn't seem to be holding together. I'm sure they have some people ... [inaudible]. I don't think they have lawyers, per se, based in Switzerland, but there is certainly some knowledge of Swiss law within ICANN's legal team, I'm sure, because ICANN is involved over there.

But, also, I just want to ... Yeah, I think from this point, then, once we've got [inaudible] feedback, then they'll be able to start sharing it with others. But, we certainly cannot get a Swiss lawyer to have a look at it, except if any of us have a friend who is a Swiss lawyer. We can certainly hope that Wolf Ludwig will have time to give this a good read and provide feedback with his extensive knowledge. Unfortunately, I do not think we have a Swiss At-Large Structure that has a Swiss lawyer that is

in house and that is specialized on this sort of thing, but we can certainly try and identify if there might be someone. I know that we've got Wiki Media Switzerland. We have [Communica], Wolf Ludwig's ALS. I think there might be a couple of others. So, nothing else.

Okay. That's it, really. Are there any other comments or thoughts that you might have? No? Okay. I think our work is cut out, then, for the future. I'm not seeing any other hands as such. I think we can finish this call early, perhaps. Erich Schweighofer?

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Do we have to talk about the Rules of Procedure or some draft of it already? I guess that's quite a long list [with significant interest].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Erich. That's a good point. I do not personally know. I'm going to ask Silvia, actually. Are you aware if EURALO has any actual Rules of Procedure as such [inaudible] bylaw?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

The only thing I have seen is the support articles for incorporation, so no other regulation.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Right. So, the articles are already there. These stay as they are. But, the Rules of Procedure, we don't actually have any operational rules as such

and that will definitely then be the next task for this taskforce, which is

to draft some operational Rules of Procedure.

Now, I'm not saying that we should write them from scratch, and I'm wondering whether we could ask any of the other RALOs whether they have operational Rules of Procedure that we could take from, since I would hope that our rules are quite similar to other RALO rules, in order

to have a good [inaudible] between the RALOs. Any thoughts on this?

SILVIA VIVANCO: I would like to suggest perhaps that you review the APRALO Rules of

Procedures, which were drafted three years ago by a drafting team and the leader was Cheryl. They are quite detailed on specific procedures for votes and for elections and opinions, etc. That would be a good draft to

discuss.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks for this, Silvia. If you could share this on the mailing list,

that would be helpful.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes, I will do.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks. Erich Shweighofer?

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER: Yes, thank you. My understanding is [inaudible] include by the Rules of

Procedure, the relationship with ICANN. So, it was [inaudible] on

membership. There is also rights, duties quite strong involved with the

ICANN procedure rules, ALAC rules. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Erich. If I could also then ask Silvia if we could also dig

out the ALAC Rules of Procedure relating to RALOs.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay, yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I think that there are a couple of paragraphs, a few paragraphs related

to that. That would be very helpful.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, I will find them. Will do. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Excellent. Yeah. That's a good point. A good way forward, then. If we

can put this on a Wiki page, a separate Wiki page, for the Rules of

Procedure, operational Rules of Procedure, so we can start building on

all of the input documents so as to make it easier for us to then see all

of the different things that are out there for us to pick and choose from.

And of course to interface with what's out there.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Perfect. Will do. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Excellent. Any other thoughts or comments or business? I'm not seeing anybody else put their hand up. So, we've got our next steps sorted out and I think that we can adjourn this call or finish this call a few minutes early.

Thanks again for attending. I will certainly do a follow-up today immediately now on the mailing list to say that we've advanced quite a lot and to ask everyone who hasn't been able to make it to listen to the recording.

Staff, I'm not sure how quickly can the recording be on the link to the Wiki page.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Claudia. That's a question of Claudia.

CLAUDIA RUIZ:

I can have the recording up in as fast as two hours, hopefully.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

In two hours. Okay. So, I'll wait a couple of hours before sending a follow-up e-mail, and then ... Or, when you put the recording and you've got confirmation the recording is up, can you please just let me know?

Then, I'll drop an e-mail to the mailing list so as to let those people that haven't been able to be on the call to listen to the recording. I think it's really important for them to be on. We made really good progress

today. So, great.

Okay. Well, Erich and Florian, thank you so much. Apologies again for being late. It's been a bit of a back-to-back horror today. I think that Erich knows about it. He was on the other call. But, thanks again for pushing forward on this. I think we're starting to see the light at the end of the tunnel, so I'm quite excited that we'll soon have some proposal. Thanks. With this, we don't have a date for our next call. Let's make sure we don't have a call in five years' time or five months' time or 50 days or something. We'll get moving a bit on this and certainly [inaudible] people to get back to us quickly. Thanks and this call has now ended. Thank you and have a very good late afternoon, everyone.

**ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:** 

Thank you.

FLORIAN HULE:

Thank you, bye-bye.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Thank you, everyone. Bye!

**CLAUDIA RUIZ:** 

Thank you, everyone. The call is now adjourned. Please remember to disconnect your line and enjoy the rest of your evening.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]