ANDREA GLANDON:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Welcome to the NARALO monthly teleconference on Monday, the 11th of June 2018 at 19:00 UTC.

On today's call, we have Adrian Schmidt, Bill Jouris, Eduardo Diaz, Glenn McKnight, Gordan Chillcott, Judith Hellerstein, Loris Taylor, Marita Moll, Wes Boudville.

We have apologies from Alan Greenberg, Evan Leibovitch, Joly McFie, Shelly Robinson, Javier Rua-Jovet, [Leah Smecker], Anna Luc, and John [Moore].

From staff, we currently have Chris Mondini; and myself, Andrea Glandon, on call management. I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes and to please mute your phones and microphones when not speaking to avoid any background noise. Thank you, and I'll turn it over to you, either Glenn or Eduardo.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Thank you, Andrea. I believe Eduardo has joined us on the call. Eduardo, are you there?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Yes. I'm not sure. Can you hear me?

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Yes, we can. Please go ahead.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay. Thank you, Glenn, for being there. I was on another call. Thank you, everyone, for coming today. We have the first item in the agenda is to see if anybody wants to add or make changes to the agenda so we can go forward with it.

If I don't hear anything, the first item on the agenda is the results of the NARALO elections. I believe all of you received the information from staff about who were the people selected for ALAC from our region and the NomCom rep that will be sent to ALAC for confirmation. [inaudible] Marita Moll which is from Canada. So, congratulations, Marita. And in the NomCom position, it's Leah, which I believe she apologized for not being here. She had a family emergency. I will be the chair for the next year, then Glenn will be the secretariat for one more year, then that position will need to be reelected next year. From that point on, the chair and secretary will be [staggered] every two years. That's the reason it was done and based on the NARALO [inaudible]. Glenn, do you want to add anything? Anybody have a question about it?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Sure. I'd like to recognize also the other candidates as well. Thank you so much for standing. You all did such a great job. Again, we appreciate all your hard work. So, thank you, again.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you so much, Glenn. Any other comments? I join Glenn also on saying thank you for the other candidates to participate in the elections.

The next item is the report on CROP. This is on the CROP, the latest CROP event that we went to in FY18. There was three of them. One is the ARIN 41 which is due to happen this past April. I don't see [William] around to tell us about that final report here. I believe he was not able to [inaudible] want to hear what happened. I have an echo.

I have Glenn on the Digital Inclusion. Glenn, do you want to give us insight and report on the Digital Inclusion event?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Yeah. Thank you, Eduardo. Yeah. The Digital Inclusion program is a fascinating group of dedicated people in the US who are very concerned about obviously net neutrality and connectivity of especially poor people as well as remote areas. I had an opportunity to meet a lot of the organizers. Excellent people. They did a special report on Cleveland, a redline area in Cleveland, where it was a special effort to allegedly provide high-speed broadband to this large community, a largely poor black community in the Cleveland area. They ended up being ripped off pretty seriously. The report is really worth reading and connecting with the people. There is at least three separate studies of various different programs that are similar idea where they've set up broadband Internet access to these poorer communities and they actually got seriously ripped off. It was a special concession with FCC. It was Verizon. The research is well worth reading, but I digress.

One of the events that I participated with is digital inclusion in the First Nations or native community. I met a number of people who were doing libraries throughout the Midwest. Very interested in NARALO. I'm following up on them for leads as well. They're also looking at a friend of mine in Virginia to donate some laptops to one of their libraries as well.

Next year, it's going to be in Charlottesville, North Carolina. It keeps moving from location to location, so I'm not sure. When we find out what happens with CROP we'll determine whether or not that would be one of the trips we would do as well.

So, that is my quick report on the Digital Inclusion. I believe Judith went last year, so she can verify the value of the event itself. That's from me.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Glenn. I have a question for you. I know you participated in the outreach and engagement meeting and you mentioned about [inaudible] CROP. Can you tell us something about what's going on with the CROP program, just so we know?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Yeah. Thank you. There's a lot of unanswered questions. We had Bernadette and Mary Wong on our outreach and engagement call last week when we appointed the new chair, Daniel Nanghaka. We asked a number of questions. As you know, CROP was actually going to be removed entirely, but they saved half of it. But, we are still trying to find

out what actually the number of CROP trips we'll be doing and what is a legitimate trip.

Now, there was a little bit of inconsistency because a couple of weeks before they were talking about a little bit more latitude. It looks like it has to be an ICANN event. Now, from NARALO, we don't have an ICANN meeting in our region, so they have to come back and give some more details.

So, I'm working on the outreach and engagement plan, but I've sort of held off until they actually officially give some feedback. So, I haven't seen anything ... I see Judith's hand up, Eduardo. She may have a little bit more insight. But I know, Eduardo, that Judith and I have been hammering home on trying to get the clarity which we do not have yet.

I can tell you this. There used to be five trips per region and it will not be because of the funding cut. It may be two, maybe three, but we still need to get clarity. Back to you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you. Judith, please go ahead.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

Hi. I'm on my iPad at the Accessibility Conference here in DC. As Glenn said, we tried to get them because they were saying it's either they're changing totally the idea of CROP whereas now CROP is only engagement in ICANN meetings, not bringing any new people and introducing them to ICANN, but only what's in ICANN meetings. But then they say an ICANN-sponsored event. What Glenn and I are trying

to find out is: what does that mean? Because to us, a sponsored event is one that ICANN pays a fee, so whether it's \$5,000 or \$10,000. To me, that would be a sponsored event. It may be only an ICANN organized event. They only give the explanation [inaudible] GDD and more problematical is that it may preclude us from going to the ARIN event, because ARIN events are not considered to be falling within that rule book. They say that it doesn't have anything to do with Internet governance or with ICANN's remit, which Glenn and I have no idea, if anything, why that would be because it is [inaudible] within ICANN remit. It's the numbering and naming. We're all very confused about that and they haven't gotten back to us.

We did get clarity on the other fund. We got some additional budget, but we spent time doing that and we didn't get any time to discuss with Mary Wong about CROP.

I also can go on and talk about my trip if you want me to do that.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Yes, please, go ahead. Do RightsCon.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

Yeah. I went to RightsCon. We were presenting to the RightsCon community about the Global Indigenous Ambassador Program. We had a very great session. A lot of interesting questions. Good attendance. People were very interested in this and were interested in learning more about how to get engaged in ICANN. I met a lot of people who were interested. Some of these people are also [inaudible] which could

be the Canadians or Americans. We could easily get them to be an ALS or an individual member. I think there's a lot of movement in there to get new members, just getting more people engaged. I'm following up with some of these people we have there because they didn't really know much about ICANN. We spread the news. [inaudible] table for booths was very poorly done. It was in a place that no one went to, so the flyers we had didn't really get any traction, but it had a lot of good discussions from different topics.

I do think it's a good place [whenever it's in the] US for engagement or for others' engagement because there is a lot of people who are interested in human rights issues and Internet governance issues, community networking. A lot of the items that we are drafting [inaudible] accessibility, indigenous issues. A lot of those there, so I think it's an excellent session.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Judith. I see Chris Mondini is online on the call. If you're here, I just want to get your impression on the CROP, what Glenn said and the focus that [inaudible] that has to be an ICANN-supported meeting. At least, I need to understand, I would like to understand, what does that mean. When I hear [inaudible], it's not part of this event. It tells me that when it says ICANN sponsored, I don't know if ARIN doesn't get sponsored by ICANN. I don't think so. If you can give us some clarity on that, I would really appreciate it.

CHRIS MONDINI:

I'm sorry, I don't have the answers, so I'm going to be working on getting answers, because as you know, as Glenn mentioned, it was eliminated and then something was put back, but I'm not so sure what's been put back in place. I'll get that for this group as soon as possible.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Chris. I guess we have the same questions.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

I just want to quickly ask a question for Chris. If you could find out also what it means by ICANN sponsored. I know that Global Stakeholder Engagement sponsors several different events. They sponsor [LifeCon]. They're one of the financial sponsors for the IGF USA, the Internet Governance Forum. They give money to a lot of these groups. Is that what they mean by sponsored? You give a significant sum to these groups. That's what I'm curious, if you could find that out, too.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Judith. I have a question for you, Glenn and Judith, before we move to the next item. After being in the Digital Inclusion and RightsCon, do you support going back to these events? And if there's any way we can ... From the contacts that you made in those meetings, if one of those contacts becomes an individual member or an ALS in NARALO, it would be very important for the whole group to know that's a result of going to these events. The question is if you recommend to go back. Glenn, please.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

I'll speak first and Judith will chime in as well. For example, at the RightsCon, the RightsCon group that I made quite a bit of [end roads] with was the Canadian National Institute of the Blind (CNIB). Very interested dedicated new person who shows real value in working with NARALO. That's one of the leads that I think is worth doing.

I think in RightsCon, and I think we could go back again. I'm not sure where it's going to be next year. In this case here, I didn't use the travel. I attended it because the flights were cancelled to ARIN's trip, so I actually drove to the RightsCon event. Because Judith and I did this session, we had a full room and people were very interested in the global indigenous program.

I just have to mention that it's quite sad that that program has been cut. That was one of the devastating impacts of the budget cutbacks, but that program which we worked very hard — Loris, myself, Judith, and others — who see real value. We think the people that went through that program were stars. I'm disappointed with that and I'm just hoping that maybe, Eduardo, you maybe had a chance to talk to Goran about possibly the fellowship program reserving some spots for the indigenous spots. Do you have any update with that?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

I will update you on that. I want to hear Judith.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

Yes. As Glenn said, I think we made a lot of good contacts at RightsCon who wanted to be individuals and other members. I think the one I went

to, the Digital Inclusion, no one had heard about ICANN before two years ago when I went – last year when I went. So, that was the first time they were hearing about it. They seemed to be interested, but I think it takes more than just one time hearing about it. I think it's good to go back there because it really is the group that is closes to NARALO and what we're doing. It's a very big people group, civil society working on digital inclusion, working on connecting the unconnected, working on getting these people to be engaged and learn about what ICANN does, what is remit, how it can help them.

As Glenn said, it's a shame about the Global Indigenous Program because we got a couple of new ALSes from there and increased engagement. In fact, on the outreach call was one of our indigenous ambassadors who has been very active now. She took over an ALS that had been dormant in her group and is leading it up and now very active. It's just a shame that we won't be able to have this again.

FDUARDO DIAZ:

Yeah. Thank you, Judith. In answering Glenn's questions, yes, I asked this question to Goran. I was in that call previous to this one. Basically, it was David Olive who [has worked with him] there. He said that the best place to put this question to is the fellowship review at the moment and that we should put comments in relations to changing the CROP program as to select seats to different SOs and ACs [inaudible] a wide range of areas. So, I'm not sure that [inaudible] that review. I don't know [inaudible] open. Do you know, Glenn or Judith, what time that is open?

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

They just said ... The question is David said that ... [inaudible] asked a question about that, that they were cutting it because they just didn't have the money for it. Not that it wasn't a good program. They were just [inaudible] fellowships. The question that Glenn is asking is a problem we had before with fellowship is there's no specific [inaudible] for them. So there's no [inaudible] what we thought why we were successful is that we were working closely hand in hand with the indigenous ambassadors and our mentor was indigenous, too, so we were working closely with them, and then to be [inaudible]. It just really hurts the program.

We're different than fellowship, but if we could have two slots from fellowship [and being our] mentor, I think it would be great.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Well, what I was told is add these comments and these questions to the fellowship review, to use that channel to get it, things like this in there and get this cost. So we need to make sure we put our comments in suggesting that we get two slots for that program based on [inaudible] and all the things that you mentioned, Judith. Any other comments?

Thank you so much. Let's go to the next item in the agenda. We don't have Leah reporting on the NomCom. She had a personal emergency. But, everybody knows that once we finish the meeting in Panama is done, the final candidates or the nominating people that will be NomCom we'll select for the board and the other SOs and ACs will be announced two or three days after the Panama meeting. They can do

this because the people that they are interviewing, at least for the board, will be background checks before getting interview. Before, it was after the fact that they got the interview. If they are able to select these people, because there have been already background checks. That's the main change there. Unfortunately, we don't have all the details and what we know from what has been published about that.

The next item is the additional budget request. I don't know if Heidi is here, if you can tell us a little bit about the additional budget request. I know a page was created in the Wiki. I'm not sure what that's for, if it is for requesting money or just for information. Heidi, if you can tell us a little bit about the additional budget request and how that's going to be used going forward, at least for [the RALOs].

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Thanks very much, Eduaro. Hi, everyone. We do have an implementation page. However, again, as you may know, out of the – I'm not sure the final number that were actually submitted from At-Large. Only three overall were submitted. However, they were very useful ones, I believe.

The first one is for the RALO discretionary funding. That funding was doubled from \$10,000 to \$20,000 altogether, so that makes it \$4,000 for each of the RALOs. Also, we heard last week on the Subcommittee for Outreach and Engagement, staff working on those saying that the criteria will now basically be fully developed by the RALO leadership. So that allows a board liberal sense of how to use those. So the restrictions are not going to be as much as they were last year. So there might be a

possibility for regional travel, to fund stipends for outreach and engagement in the region. So that's useful. That will be discussed by the regional leadership in Panama to set those criteria and to ensure that those will be used properly. We will continue to monitor that.

Another funding was for the transition for the chair and that was going to be just covering the hotel, likely to take place at the end of the beginning of ICANN 63 in Barcelona. It will be likely two staff members, plus Alan, plus the new chair when that person is elected.

The third one is a pocket of money, \$15,000 for communications projects. Sorry, is there an echo? There is an echo. I think it's okay. I think it's done. It looks like it will be used for several projects that were submitted. One was for APRALO. One was for AFRALO. One I believe was ... To think of the other one. Basically, it will be for the RALOs for promotional, for printing items, pop-up banners, etc. So that funding is there as well. Thank you. Any questions on that?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you. Any questions for Heidi? Any questions about the ABR? I do have a question, Heidi. We have \$20,000 which will be \$5,000 per RALO. Let's say we're getting to the end of the year, and I don't know ... And I'm making an example. \$7,000 or \$8,000 left total. If a RALO wants to use more than their \$5,000 of that, would that be allowed or it has to be ... How will that work?

HEIDI ULLRICH:

So, it'll leave \$4,000. It's a total of \$20,000 divided by the five RALOs. Again, it's going to be up to the RALOs, to the RALO leaders, to develop those criteria. I believe that for fiscal year 18, the current year, there was some discussion. I don't know if it's within the fiscal year 18 criteria, but yes, towards the end, we would be able to open that up.

For example, the only region that I'm aware that has significant funds still not used for this fiscal year are APRALO. So, NARALO has used all of theirs. LACRALO will by the time ICANN 62. AFRALO has just a little bit left. EURALO has a little bit left. But it's mostly APRALO.

So that's something that you should discuss. I will be sending a note this week to all of the regional leadership to make sure that they are aware of that funding and maybe open that up for others. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you so much. Any comments, questions from anyone? If not, we can move to the next item, the NARALO.org. Can you give us an update on the comments? Is there any?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Thank you. Judith, Joly, and Suzanna have been giving great comments on things that are not clear in terms of the links. Evin and I went through recently every single link that's in the site itself, stuff that was not working properly, stuff that seemed to be duplicate. Evin has been great. She's been quite responsive in working with us and making the changes.

As you can see in the agenda, the link to the Google doc for any suggestions or ideas. We're somewhat limited. If it was a really good template, possibly a WordPress template, we can have our Facebook or Twitter feeds as well or Flickr pictures. But that's not the case. It's a [fairly flat] document. It's fundamentally ... It's coming along.

So if you guys have seen something you're not happy with or it's not clear how to get information ... I suggested to Evin a few more graphics which show the flow of information on how to get info and where to get started. But it's coming along. That's my quick report.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Thank you, Glenn. Do you want to keep this discussion open or should

we close it?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: No, no. It's not finished yet. I do encourage other people to comment as

well.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay, thank you. So, we'll keep it open until the page is up to par.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Glenn. The next item is a report about our follow elect members. I believe there's only ... There are none of them here. John, Alan, and [inaudible]. None of them are here. So I guess we should not have any report from ALAC.

But I wanted to mention there is a change which I think is going to be a very interesting change. I haven't seen this before. In the Panama meeting, there will be ... I'm looking for the mail. Where is it? There will be a very interesting thing that's going to happen in Panama. There is a GNSO PDP on the new gTLD subsequent procedures that's going to happen in Panama.

So the first day of our meeting we're going to meet for half-an-hour between 8:30 and 9:00 and we're going to divide everyone, ALAC members and RALO members, because GNSO PDP will be after we get together it will be broken into sub-meetings. What we are going to do is everyone is going to divide and go into the different slots to understand what's happening and to report back to ALAC. We are all, as a group, ALAC and RALO leaders, able to be able to report and comment on specific items of that PDP. I think this approach to doing this is great.

I guess when we come back after Panama, we'll have something else. We can give you a feeling for how that works. But I think it's going to be a great way of getting everybody involved and engaged policy and able to understand [inaudible] from this major policy, this PDP which is big, to be at least understood by subgroups that we can bring all into one group. So that's something that's going to happen in Panama at the beginning of the meeting. Glenn, please.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Eduardo, thank you so much. I look forward to it. I just wanted to ask Heidi a question. I noticed that we did have I guess two years ago or more than a year ago, we had a pilot project on doing policy. Recently, I noticed some interesting work by NCUC having a webinar series on policy. I'm just curious, are we planning on ... I know the budget has come and gone, but in terms of capacity building, is this something in the cards, Heidi? Because we lean so heavily on our ALAC members to tell us what the policy issues are, but we've had a serious problem getting them to come to these calls. I don't think we're getting the hot policy topics that we should be concentrated on from our ALAC members. I'm just curious on this NCUC initiative, if something like that could be done with our community.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Good question, Glenn. That request I believe was a fiscal year 19 ABR. I think it was actually in the fiscal year 18 one as well, so that's ongoing. That might be something that the At-Large review implementation, when that starts pretty soon I would hope, that would be something that you could prepare for an ABR coming up in the fiscal year 19 time for that. Does that answer your question?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Glenn?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Thanks, Heidi. That's exactly what I was thinking as well. Perhaps we have to work ... I'm just curious on if anybody has attended any of the NCUC policy stuff. How did they organize it and how can we integrate it possibly with Tijani's group, with capacity building? I see real great value with it. Thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yeah. If I could, Eduardo. Glenn, we're totally ... Here I was thinking the same thing, that maybe you could have that on a capacity building webinar. Also, for the not only incoming but ongoing RALO leaders in Barcelona, perhaps we could have a meeting during the orientation, have a discussion about that, either bring someone in or plan for the ABR for fiscal year 19. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you. Glenn, your hand is up.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Sorry. I'm trying to put it down. Sorry. Thanks, Heidi. That's exactly right.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Glenn. Marita, please.

MARITA MOLL:

Yes, thank you. I'm just curious about this meeting in Panama. I won't be there. I am actually a member of one of those work groups. I'm just

wondering [inaudible]. My question is below. Is it meant to end in an official position or is it just an information session? Anybody know?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

The way I understand it is that eventually this GNSO PDP will go out for comments. I think it is a preparatory way or strategy to make sure that everybody can contribute to the final position, final advice. Correct me if I'm wrong, Heidi. Alan is not here. He was the one putting this stuff together. But that's my understanding.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yes.

MARITA MOLL:

I understand that, but I guess it's not meant to result in official position from NARALO before the request comment comes out.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

That's my understanding. Heidi?

MARITA MOLL:

Thank you.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

My understanding is it would not be a NARALO position. It would hopefully be an At-Large position and that could either be done during that 30-minute session or I think it might be ... Another option would be

that new group, the Consolidated Policy Working Group ... And again, it's [Javier] who is a rep, the At-Large rep, for work stream 5, which is connected to that. So you have possibilities there. Perhaps Alan or [Javier] can send a note to the list again to allow everyone to have that more clear. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Heidi. Judith, please. I'm sorry, Marita, you have your hand up.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

It's mine. I know the NCUC didn't get the policy briefing webinar done now. They didn't get funded either. But they also were doing some other work with PDPs and I think we also might be — and the capacity group might already be mentioning to people that people are already contributing to policy. They just don't know they're contributing. They're contributing to governance policy. So many of the At-Large people who wrote on the comments for the budget and comments to the other thing were contributing. They just didn't know. I think we just also have to show ... Educate people that they're already contributing. This is just another way of contributing.

And that goes to the ALAC questions. Are we only ... When the comments come in, when we comment as an ALAC, I think also we're doing ourselves a disservice because then they don't see as many comments coming in and they judge by things got saved by the mountain of comments that came in on the subject. That's something to throw in the hopper.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you, Judith. Any comments, questions? Jonathan, please.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Thanks, Eduardo. I think that Judith is onto something there, but I think we need to take a step back and figure out how to make ALAC deliver messages in a lot of different venues in a more coordination way, both at the [inaudible] and the RALO level so that all these individual comments don't just seem like individual comments, but are a function of people's participation in the At-Large community.

One of the things I think we want to start to talk about in Panama is how to follow efforts and report on efforts in the broad number of fora that Judith were talking about to help show the community that these comments, whether they're individual or from ALAC itself or some function of their participation in the At-Large community.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Any comments? Remember, the point is ... I haven't seen this before and I think what Jonathan said is part of the strategy that is being done here. I think this will be developed by ... It's going to be developed going forward. I think it's a good idea. [inaudible] before.

In any case, since we don't have comments about this ... Glenn, do you have a report about outreach and engagement?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Sure. Quickly. I've been working on the draft. Every year, we do a CROP strategic plan. So until I hear clarity on the CROP stuff, it's sort of in holding pattern. But it's not usually until later in July that it goes to Chris and he endorses it, gives feedback. I'm trying to harmonize as much as possible on Chris's plan, so that we can dovetail stuff that he's doing. This is one of the good ways to use the discretionary funds.

So, there's two things. One, when I get clarity on how many trips and what they are, and two, the expanded role and purpose of the discretionary funds. So those two things are impacting.

I constantly tell people to apply for the ARIN fellowship. You can do it more than once. I know Adrian has been on the fellows. That's how I met him in the first place. Please apply for the fellowship. It's coming up in July for the next one in Vancouver. ARIN does it twice a year. Again, being a fellow at ARIN, you have a different purpose. ARIN trips would be a priority for us as well.

Right now, the draft is still a work in progress. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Glenn, how many people are in your group? Who belongs to [inaudible] in this group?

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Alfredo, Judith. We rejuvenated our main At-Large Outreach and Engagement group. Matthew volunteered to be an assigned person on that committee as well. I believe at the present time, four people. But we're welcome to have anybody. Yeah, four people on the committee.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

I will encourage other people that are on the call, if you want to [inaudible] time in helping this group and Glenn in that, please do so. This is an important group, as well as the other ones, which takes me to Jonathan. Jonathan, can you tell us something about your group and policies and what things are happening that we should be aware of? Thank you.

JONATHAN ZUCK:

Yeah. There's a number of things that are happening. The biggest one — and you heard this probably on the e-mail list — is the Subsequent Procedures Working Group is going to be delivering its interim report shortly after Panama. In the lead-up to that, during the meeting there will be a lot of face-to-face meetings which Alan has suggested universal participation on the part of At-Large members that are attending the meeting.

After that, we're going to need to come up with a coordination approach to get volunteers and figure out how to respond to that interim [inaudible]. As you know, the At-Large have had a number of issues with the program as it was launched in 2012 and we want to make sure that we have a very coordination and solid and articulate response to the interim report that comes out of the procedures group.

That's one of the biggest things that's happening. There's little things that we're talking about related to single-letter domains and how that should take place. Right now, there's a tendency toward having a charitable outcome associated with single-letter domains. So right now

there's a discussion about O.com and there's an [RSEP] associated with it that we'll be commenting on further that suggests that the proceeds from an auction around O.com should go to a number of Internet related charities. That's a big discussion.

Finally, discussions around the GDPR continue quite a bit, both in terms of what data needs to be stored, but also one of the big discussions right now related to accreditation for organizations and individuals that want access to non-public data, whether it's law enforcement or consumer protection organizations or intellectual property organizations. So those conversations continue as well on the list and on the [inaudible] pages, the Wiki pages. That's it for me.

GLENN MCKNIGHT:

Thank you, Jonathan. In fact, there was a very interesting question asked by Olivier to Goran about ICANN went to court in Germany to obligate this register – I forget the name of it – to comply with the ICANN model. The answer is why going to the court and not going to compliance, to the contractual [inaudible] contract part of it?

He said that the main reason for that is because they wanted to get clarity on the court, so they don't have to do it for everyone that wants to do something else different. That was the main reason. I found it a very interesting question and I found it a very smart answer.

There are many things happening around, like Jonathan said. By the way, Jonathan, how many people do you have in your group? I know Susanna is there. Who else is helping you with that group, has volunteered to work with you?

JONATHAN ZUCK:

I guess, right off the top of my head, I think it's about five people, but I don't have it in front of me right now.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Okay. I encourage other people to join Jonathan's group, if you are very interested in policy. What I'm trying to do here in NARALO, I want to get everybody involved in one way or another with what we're doing in ICANN. It can be outreach. It can be policy. It can be education. Unfortunately, Alfredo is busy today. He's doing work. [inaudible] work that is going to end at the end of July. He wasn't able to participate to talk about some of the educational things that he's doing.

So, we're almost at the end of the call and we have finished with all of the items in the agenda. Does anyone have something to add to today's meeting? Judith, please go ahead.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN:

Hi. So, my question has to do with the community onboarding people. Is it [inaudible] for Panama to get them sort of really enmeshed in the community onboard in At-Large or something else? Because I noticed that they're not put on the traveler's list and they're not put on other lists. I thought our goal was to try to get them as much involved in At-Large as possible. So I just was curious about that.

EDUARDO DIAZ: That question is being directed to [inaudible] because I do not know the

answer about that. Maybe Glenn?

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: I guess Heidi, something like that.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. Judith, you said COP? Which people did you say? I didn't hear

what you said. Which group is not part of ...

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: I was talking about the community onboarding people for At-Large.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. We'll get the [inaudible].

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: [inaudible].

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah. We'll get them [inaudible].

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Because it seems to me we want them to get as engaged in At-Large as

possible and working with us, but we really haven't done anything. I'm

just a little ... I can't be the only one questioning this. Maybe [Liana] who

is the mentor is pushing APRALO, but I don't know. I just was on the committee to [inaudible].

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Yeah. They will place them on the traveler's list. I'll also be going to the COP face-to-face in Panama and I'll ensure that they get, are aware of all the At-Large [inaudible].

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Right. They also may need confluence counts as well.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Okay. We'll take care of all that.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: Maybe get Yesim or Evin to work with them.

HEIDI ULLRICH: We'll take care of all that.

EDUARDO DIAZ: Okay. Thank you, Heidi. Thank you, Judith. Anybody else that wants to

bring up something before we end the meeting? If not, then thank you so much for participating today. I guess the next meeting will be July 9th

or something like that. I'm not sure. It's the second Monday in July. I

believe I will see some of you in Panama which is going to happen

starting next week. Maybe this week and not next weekend. We will

report back in July about the meeting and we take it from there. Thank you so much.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

Eduardo, if I may?

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Yes, Heidi? Yeah, sure.

HEIDI ULLRICH:

I just wanted to stress that all of the meetings are open for At-Large so you can definitely participate remotely. The time zone is good for everyone in this region, so please, I hope to hear you on the bridge during those meetings. Thank you.

EDUARDO DIAZ:

Thank you so much. We're so happy to be [inaudible] again. Thank you so much. Bye-bye. This meeting is adjourned. Bye.

ANDREA GLANDON:

Thank you for listening to today's conference. Please remember to disconnect all lines and have a wonderful rest of your day.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]