# ccPDP 3 Working Group Review Mechanism

Introduction Webinar January 2020

## Issue Report PDP

- Issues to be addressed through ccPDP3
- Working method (Task Force or other)
  - Working Groups
- General Counsel opinion
  - In scope of ccNSO PDP (Annex C)
  - Lasting value
- Required request advice or opinion GAC
  - Just received
- Overall schedule of deliverables and timeline
- Charters WG retirement and review mechanism
- Current Status
  - Provide input to work of this WG

### Charter Review Mechanism WG

- Charter included in Issue Report (March 2017)
  - Drafted by community
- Section Scope and high-level description of issues to be addressed
- Deliverables
- Membership
- Working method
- Tentative Timeline

# Topics and Issues to be addressed by ccPDP 3

#### Scope of the review mechanism

- Which decisions and/or actions could be subject to a review mechanism?
- Who's decisions and/or actions could be subject to a review mechanism?
- What will be result / scope of the review decision? What powers will be bestowed upon review panel?

#### Standing at review mechanism

- Who may invoke the Review Mechanism?
- Should a Review Mechanism be open and applicable to all ccTLDs?

#### What are the grounds?

• Should the review be limited to questions on due process with respect to delegation, transfer, revocation or retirement of a ccTLD or should it be broader?

#### Rules and structure of review mechanism

- What are the rules and procedures to be used?
- What is structure of panel and what are requirements and selection mechanism for panellist?

## Contextual material

- RFC 1591. RFC 1591, section 3.4, the Internet DNS Names Review Board (IDNB). This IDNB was never established by IANA, or any other entity.
- Framework of Interpretation. With respect to the IDNB: The FOI WG believes it is consistent with RFC 1591 (section 3.4) to recognize the manager has the right to appeal a notice of revocation by the IANA Operator to an independent body.
- **CWG-Stewardship and CCWG-Accountability. T**he CWG-Stewardship and CCWG –Accountability excluded issues relating to ccTLD delegation and re-delegation from review mechanisms. Under the understanding that mechanism(s) is to be developed by the ccTLD community post-transition.
- ICANN Bylaws 1 October 2016. According to latest version of the ICANN Bylaws (Section 4.2) Reconsideration:
- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision in this <u>Section 4.2</u>, the scope of reconsideration shall **exclude** the following:
  - (i) Disputes relating to country code top-level domain ("ccTLD") delegations and re-delegations;
- ccTLD-ICANN Accountability Frameworks and Exchange of Letters. Some AFs and EoIs include reference to ICC Arbitrage
  to settle issues

## Deliverables

- WG deliverables
  - Interim Paper (including at least one public comment)
  - Final Paper
  - Progress reports to community during process
  - Regular Updates to ccNSO Council
- Public comment on Interim paper ( at least 40 days)
  - If required additional round of public comment
- Final Paper to be included in Interim Report Issue Manager
  - Will also include Final Paper on Retirement
  - Public comment (at least 40 days)

# Timeline

Activity	Date (tentatively)	Closure	Minimum Duration
Create RM Working Group		Completed by February 2020	
Develop Interim Paper	March 2020	October 2020	6 months
Public Comment on Interim Paper	November 2020	December 2020	2 months
<b>Develop Final Paper</b>	January 2021	March 2021	2 months
Closure of the WG			

# Membership

- Members
  - Related to ccTLD managers
  - ccNSO Council appointed
- Participants
  - Appointed/ participate through other SO/AC's
- Observers
- Experts
  - PTI/IANA
  - ISO 3166
  - ICANN staff

# WG Working Method

- Call every two weeks
  - 1 hour 1.5 hour
  - Rotational basis
- Issue Manager/staff provide strawman documents
- Provide comments on-line
  - (one week after call)
- Redline versions to be circulated 2 business days for the next meeting
- Adopt only after two readings
- Consensus decision making ("die in the ditch" criterion)
  - By full group
  - Only if vote needed: members only
- Engage broader community at f-2-f meetings

## Tools

- PDP RM Website
  - List of membership
  - Background material
  - Static information
- PDP RM Wiki space
  - To be used to keep WG and broader community abreast what is going on
  - Repository working documents
  - Repository of conference calls output
- Conference calls & F-2-F meetings
  - Zoom room
  - Transcripts (if requested/needed)
  - High level notes, action items and decisions

# What to expect upcoming weeks?

- Call for volunteers
  - Members to be appointed by ccNSO Council
  - Observers and experts
- Kick-off discussion
  - Review of issues identified in Issue Report and Charter
  - Agree on working method and decision making
  - Work plan & High-Level Schedule
- WG to nominate chair & vice chair
  - To be appointed by the ccNSO Council