

## General overview / tentative conclusions Workshop

Single trigger event

Removal code element (form the list of assigned codes)

IDN ccTLD different, more nuanced

Importance	Group 2	Group 3	Gorup 1
Very Important /\	IANA/PTI /\	Registry /\	SIP
			TLD operator
Important – Normal ( )	ccTLD manager /\	Registrant -	
	Registry Service provider ( )	Registrars	ISO MA
	Registrants --	IANA /\	
Split policy ASCII and IDN	Government	Issue: Roque operator: If significant Misbehaviour as identified in Fol, IANA to “step in”	

## Process and Stakeholders scenario 2

Stakeholders:

- Registries
- Registrars
- Registrant
- Service providers

One cc broke-up in 3: .AN -> Curacao (.CW ) delegated  
➔ St Martin(.SX) Delegated  
(Bonaire, St Euthasias) and Saba) .BQ Status ?

Other example (hypothetical) Puerto Rico (.PR) what if 51<sup>st</sup> State <- Trigger political changes

North and Southern Sudan

Fixed limited tome scale

## Sub-group 1

### PDP WG RET Sub-group 1

AB -> AC

∧

!

Trigger is removal from assigned list

- IANA notified, they talk to current manager to see what their plans are
- Once on reserved list, 50 years clock starts (framework)

What happens to related IDN's?

- IANA to facilitate discussions between:
  - o AB manager
  - o AC manager
  - o LIC buy in to new string

Stakeholders

TLD managers

LIC

## Stakeholders & Process

From FoI : Significantly Interested Parties

- Government(s) }
- Registrants } jointly identify who should be involved
- LIC }

## Stakeholders Triage

### Name

IANA/PTI/ICANN

### Role

Guardian of the process

ccTLD manager

Implementing Executive/operational  
LOTS (inc. work with IANA)

Registry service providers

Do what instructed

Registrar

Open new TLD ( registration to?)  
Supplier registrants

Registrant

Be Aware

Web-hosting provider

Effect on system

Email Service provider

Website owners

Government  
RZM (USGN)  
IAB

Public policy  
Do what IANA says

### **Need Process Framework for IANA**

1. ISO notification
  - a. IANA, TLD manager
  - b. Admin & Tech Contact
  - c. ISO MA (?)
2. TLD manager's plan
  - a. LIC,
  - b. IANA
  - c. Significantly Interested Parties (per FoI)
3. Execution of Manager's Plan
  - a. LIC
  - b. Registrants
  - c. Other TLD manager

## Overview PDP WG RET sessions

### Group 3

Code removed from Standard Entity same borders

ISO Std approved, then anyone who subscribes to ISO \$300

Notification to ICANN?

Public Notice

Notification Process

- Email
- Machine readable it change detected update

Congo, Timor are past examples

Southern Sudan

Timeline for code changes

6-18 months

Nice to have is liaison between ISO and IANA ( Mutual respect))

Grouping to

Change of Code

- If hypo move ..
- IANA would reach out to TLD manager

IANA reply

- Scenarios
- Facts to consider
- Transition Plan
  - o Notice
  - o Communication
  - o Switch date
  - o Etc.

Timeline

- Transition Plan
- Allocate renumbering => other
  - o Postal renumbering
  - o Are codes
- Done within 24 months
- Egs

- Lots of notice
  - In Policy
    - Fixed time
    - Negotiating depending on
      - Size
      - Other factors

#### Tips

- Temptation to push date out
- If have short timeframe then technical solution helps
- Technical
- No registration window
  - Egs. DNS Sec Installation

#### Purpose

Is to check/set expectations of

- Registry of emer
- Contact way back
- Google(?)