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| **Issue Raised** | **Putting it into a revised draft Operating Principles** |
| **Individual members**“Everyone agreed to move forward with a couple of sentences to modify the existing Operational Principles.” | 1. Need an expedited process to change existing Operating Principle
2. In the revised draft Operating Principles, the concept is already there
3. There is still a future conversation needed to resolve question of individuals being able to hold leadership positions.
 |
| **Idea of president “elect”**“Among all the participants in the (April 24) call, there was an interest in continuing to explore this option, as a mechanism to give a learning period to the president and the secretary before taking over the position.”**“**As a next step, it was agreed to try to define more clearly the roles of the president-elect and secretary elect, and also to explain better how the process would work.”“One person on the (May 8) call expressed his opposition to the idea, concerned it was an experiment that might fail”“A question was raised whether to call it “vice” or “elect” | 1. It would be necessary to incorporate the concept in the draft
2. It would be necessary to be clearer about the roles of the "elected" president and "elected" secretary and to make a decision about the term (elected or vice)
3. It would be necessary to prepare a complementary document that explains the dissent and why.
 |
| **Rotation in sub- regions**“All those present on the call agreed with the proposal to create a system for rotating positions by sub-regional inside LACRALO. “An email from read from a LACRALO participant who expressed concerns about the idea. The email didn’t change the opinion of participants on the call.  | 1. The idea is already incorporated in the revised draft.
 |
| **Country Coefficient** “Para todos los presentes en la llamada, el modelo de Dev afirma su convicción que es necesario mantener el coeficiente país las votaciones en LACRALO, para mantener equidad entre países.  | 1. It is not mentioned yet in the draft. It would need to be added.
 |
| **ALS Metrics**While there is a document already that went through a comment period, “it is worth doing a quick review, and submitting a revised version to the network for comments” | * Alberto and Sergio commit to make a quick review
* It will be a separate document. It does not require waiting for the new operating principles
 |
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|  |  |