Attendance:

Alex Hernandez

Alicia Kaelin

Becky Nash

Chris Pelling

Darcy Southwell

Eric Rokobauer

Griffin Barnett

Leana Melnichuk

Lindsay Hamilton-Reid

Lisa Villendueve

Michele Neylon

Peter Roman

Roger Carney

Sara Bockey

Sarah Wyld

Steve Metalitz

Susan Kawaguchi

Staff:

Amy Bivins

Jennifer Gore

Cyrus Namazi

Mary Wong

Xavier Calvez

Andee Hill

Owen Smigelski

Cyrus Jamnejad

Caitlin Tubergen

Michelle DeSmyter

ZOOM Chat:

From Steve Metalitz : So audio is solely by phone bridge today?

From amy.bivins.icann : Good morning, Steve! Zoom should have given you a pop-up when you logged in to have it dial you

From amy bivins icann: If it didn't, let me check with Michelle to see how we can fix that

From Michelle DeSmyter: Hi Steve, if you would please log out and then log back in, the audio should be resolved. You should receive a pop up box when logging in to have audio.

From michele: mute buttons people

From Steve Metalitz: This document being shown is from more than a month ago, correct?

From michele: There haven't been any updates

From amy.bivins.icann: That's correct--this is the document that was distributed at ICANN61

From michele: Sorry but huh?

From michele: pricing?

From michele: Has ICANN morphed into a commercial entity??

From Chris: Please can we stop wasting time?>

From Chris: Buckets?

From Chris: are we on a farm or in the garden?

From Chris: Benchmarks?

From Chris: I was told that we had no "paperword" by Amy less than an hour ago - where is this document?

From Steve Metalitz: Xavier are you aware that the application process has been substantially streamlined for applicants that are subsidiaries of already accredited registrars?

From michele: I think I've entered some kind of twilight zone

From Sara Bockey: +1 to Steve's statement.

From Steve Metalitz: @ Xavier, how is it "simpler and clearer" to charge the same amount for this accreditation process as you do for registrar accreditation, even though the two processes are different?

From michele: Why on earth is he talking about "market"?

From Chris: I think ICANN are digging themselves a larger hole than they had before they started

From michele: it's not as if you can choose a "supplier"

From Cyrus: all - please respect the standard of behavior. anyone who thinks this is a waste of their time should feel free to leave the meeting.

From Chris: @Cyrus - I requested this document BEFORE this call and was told there was no other material

From Cyrus: @chris - correct. there's no other documents.

From Chris: SO what is on the screen then? Or am I missing something - I am BLIND and therefore NEED THIS document on a 40" screen in front of me#

From Chris: or is ICANN now not doing accessibility?

From amy.bivins.icann: @ Chris--this is background. This is what was distributed to the IRT back at ICANN61

From Cyrus: this document was provided at Icann 61 mtg

From amy.bivins.icann: @Chris--no updates since then

From Chris: @Cyrus / Amy, I was not at ICANN 61

From amy.bivins.icann: @Chris--I'll resend to you in just a moment

From Sara Bockey: I requested an itemization of the cost at ICANN61. If that could be provided it would be helpful. Also, we are not able to scroll in zoom so can you recirculate this so I can open it in a separate window (and keep me from digging thru emails while on the call)?

From amy.bivins.icann: I just resent the document to the list

From Sara Bockey: Thank you Amy!

From Sara Bockey: Question: The document from PR had separate cost for affiliated and non-affiliated. It appears you have taken that discount for affiliated providers away. Is that correct?

From Sara Bockey: For the applicant fee - to be clear

From amy.bivins.icann: @ Sara--the distinction was between new entities and existing accredited entities, not affilited/non-affiliated

From Sara Bockey: OK, even still, it appears that has been removed

From amy.bivins.icann: You're right--it's not showing here. Will find the correct version and redistribute

From amy.bivins.icann: the proposed discount for existing accredited entities that should be listed is a fee of \$2,000 for the app fee

From michele: the length of the contract comes from ICANN NOT from us

From michele: we requested a simpler + shorter one

From Chris: @Cyrus - simple setup charge maybe, ongoing though?

From Cyrus: @chris - there's background checks and the app process is really simplified within our processes

From Chris: Totally agree with SteveM here - thank you Steve:)

From Cyrus: @steve - there are two tiers of application fees

From Sara Bockey: I think most of us can understand the app fee... it's the annual fee that is troubling. Still having trouble understanding the justification.

From michele: +1 Sara

From Chris: Correct Sara +1

From Lisa Villeneuve: +1 Sara

From Steve Metalitz: Neither the document on screen (to extent we can see it) nor the one Amy just recirculated reflects a two-tier application fee structure but if that is the case OK.

michele: I'll need to drop off in a minute - I've another meeting

Cyrus: thanks @michele

From Chris: ill also need to go in a mo, as another call re ipc/bc needs listening too

From Cyrus: Thanks @chris

From Chris: @Xavier, sorry what risks are ICANN taking on in this?

From Chris: @Cyrus - sorry if I sounded blunt earlier, my apologies, but I was expecting a far better presentation and specifics regarding these fees

From xavier.calvez: @Chris: we have not taken into account any specific risks.

From Cyrus: @chris I thin u missed the earlier discussions on this in Puerto Rico

From Cyrus: *think

From Chris: I thought this "Breakdown" was what was being delivered today on this call - hence why I asked for the document:)

From michele: @xavier - un vin rouge

From michele: Ok - I need to run - I have to go to another call about root KSK rollover

From Chris: and can we get it sooner rather than later as we have been waiting a long time:) - please:)

From michele: and +1 to Steve

From michele: bye all

From Sara Bockey: +1 Steve

From Eric Rokobauer: +1 Steve

From Chris: +1 to Steve

From Chris: need to go to next call - sorry and hope to see this document within the week:)

From Leana Melnichuk: +1 Steve

From Chris: Thanks to all and Bye:)

From Michelle DeSmyter : yes