

# **RDS-WHOIS2-RT Plenary Call #28**

21 May 2018



# RDS/WHOIS2-RT Plenary Call Agenda

---

- Welcome, roll-call, Sol Updates
- Short-Term Options to Adjust the Timeline for Specific Reviews
- Plenary Call Time
- ICANN62
- Face-to-Face Meeting #3 – Brussels
- Subgroups Status Update
- A.O.B.
- Confirm Decisions Reached and Action Items

# Short-Term Options to Adjust the Timeline for Specific Reviews

## Agenda Item #2

# Short Term Options

## RDS-WHOIS2 SHORT-TERM OPTIONS

### Review | Option

#### RDS-WHOIS2 | A “No Change”

Phased approach outlined in the Terms of Reference.

#### RDS-WHOIS2 | B “Implementation of Prior Recommendations”

Limit the scope of work to include only evaluation of implementation of prior review recommendations (estimated completion: July 2018 - TBC); Address all other RDS topics in the next review.

#### RDS-WHOIS2 | C “Pause”

Pause all review work after the April meeting.

# Plenary Call Time

## Agenda Item #3

# Plenary Call Time

---

- Suggestion to move the plenary call time on Mondays to 15:00 UTC.
- All RT members had previously confirmed availability from 15:00 UTC to 16:00 UTC, except for Alan.

# ICANN62

## Agenda Item #4

# ICANN62

---

- Block schedule includes a 90-min session for the RDS-WHOIS2-RT Engagement Session on **Monday, 25 June 2018 at 17:00-18:30 (local time)**. See block schedule: [https://meetings.icann.org/sites/default/files/icann62-policy-forum-block-schedule\\_dv3\\_4may18.pdf](https://meetings.icann.org/sites/default/files/icann62-policy-forum-block-schedule_dv3_4may18.pdf)
- RT deadline for finalizing session materials: **8 June 2018**



# ICANN62

- **Suggested engagement session description:**

*Join a discussion with the Registration Directory Service Review team (RDS-WHOIS2).*

*The Registration Directory Service Review, formerly known as "WHOIS" (RDS-WHOIS2) is mandated by ICANN Bylaws Section 4.6(e) to assess the effectiveness of the then current gTLD registry directory service and whether its implementation meets the legitimate needs of law enforcement, promoting consumer trust and safeguarding registrant data. The RDS-WHOIS2 will seek input on its findings and address any questions pertaining to scope and work plan. This is your opportunity to provide vital input into the RDS-WHOIS2 work.*

*For more information, please visit the RDS-WHOIS2 wiki <https://community.icann.org/display/WHO/RDS-WHOIS2+Review/>.*

*Learn more about opportunities to participate in RDS-WHOIS2 work at: <https://community.icann.org/display/WHO/Observers>.*

**Engagement Session Description needed by Wednesday 30 May, 2018**

# ICANN62

---

- **Suggested Agenda**
  - RDS-WHOIS2-RT presentation (40 min)
    - Introduce objectives from Terms of Reference, and major milestones from Work Plan
    - Overview of Methodology
    - Summary of findings and issues identified thus far (if any)
    - Where applicable, subgroups' proposed draft recommendations (if any)
  - Q&A and feedback (50 min)



# Face-to-Face Meeting #3

## Agenda item #5

# Face-to-Face Meeting #3 – Brussels

	25-26 July	26-27 July	30-31 July	31 July – 01 August
<b>Available</b>	6	7	8	5
<b>Remote</b>	4	2	1	2
<b>Unavailable</b>	1	2	2	4
	Erika	Cathrin, Erika	Cathrin, Dmitry	Cathrin, Carlton, Dmitry, Volker

# Face-to-Face Meeting #3 – Brussels

	Jul 16 MON	Jul 17 TUE	Jul 18 WED	Jul 19 THU	Jul 20 FRI
5 participants	✓5	✓4	✓4	✓5	✓4
 Enter your name	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
 Carlton Samuels	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
 Susan Kawaguchi	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
 Volker Greimann	(✓)			✓	✓
 Lili SUN	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
 Cathrin Bauer-Bulst	✓	✓	✓	✓	

Dmitry: “I strongly prefer either Mon-Tue or Thu-Fri.”

Volker “I have a board meeting at Nominet on the 18th, and travel schedules usually mean I have to leave on the previous day to make it there in time. I likely could arrange flying to Brussels from London after.”

Erika “These days are very likely going to be complicated. I don't know where I'm going to be. Currently I'm supposed to be in Texas and Arizona on those days.”

# Subgroups Status Update

## Agenda Item #6

# Review of Subgroups' Status – Post Brussels Mtg

#	Subgroup	Rapporteur	Work Remaining?
	<a href="#">WHOIS 1 Rec #1 - Strategic Priority</a>	Cathrin	Significant work
	<a href="#">WHOIS 1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy</a>	Carlton	Close to done
	<a href="#">WHOIS 1 Rec #3: Outreach</a>	Alan	Close to done
	<a href="#">WHOIS 1 Rec #4: Compliance</a>	Susan	Significant work
1	<a href="#">WHOIS Rec #5-9: Data Accuracy</a>	Lili	Close to done / Subject to Change
	<a href="#">WHOIS Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services</a>	Volker (Susan)	Significant work
	<a href="#">WHOIS Rec #11: Common Interface</a>	Volker (Susan)	Close to done
	<a href="#">WHOIS Rec #12-14: Internationalized Domain Names</a>	Dmitry	Close to done
	<a href="#">WHOIS Rec #15-16: Plan &amp; Annual Reports</a>	Lili	Close to done
2	<a href="#">Anything New</a>	Stephanie	Done - reflects in other subgroups
3	<a href="#">Law Enforcement Needs</a>	Thomas (Cathrin)	Significant work
4	<a href="#">Consumer Trust</a>	Erika	Significant work
5	<a href="#">Safeguarding Registrant Data</a>	Alan	Significant work

# Approval Needed – Post Brussels Mtg

---

Approval is needed on:

- Face-to-Face meeting #2 agreements and action items:  
<https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-rt/2018-April/000454.html>
- Meeting Report: [https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-rt-leadership\\_staff/2018-April/000332.html](https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-rt-leadership_staff/2018-April/000332.html)



# Subgroups' Open Action Items

## Rec #4: Compliance

- Subgroup to try testing recommendation on WHOIS policies that are being examined by this review (e.g., PP, IDN) to see if metrics/monitoring/reporting and enforcement have been defined for those
- Susan to formulate recommendation to include compliance taking a risk-based approach that is not just reactive - *addressing systemic complaints and taking a risk-based approach*
- Susan to examine CCT recommendation on DAAR to build this subgroup's recommendation
- Susan to research 2013 RAA negotiation materials to determine any reasons for allowing grandfathering.
- Question 1: Susan to formulate a follow-up question for Compliance (possibly also GDD) regarding counting of null fields and the subset of null fields that occur for grandfathered domains.
- Carlton to draft finding/recommendation on use of DAAR to improve accuracy of WHOIS.

## Rec #5-9: Data Accuracy

- Lili to look into Compliance actions and link their work to data accuracy subgroup

# Subgroups' Open Action Items

## **Rec#10: Privacy/Proxy Services**

- Susan to liaise with Volker and progress on the subgroup draft report.

## **Rec #15-16: Plan & Annual Reports**

- Cathrin and Lili to coordinate on recommendations related to Reports (also addressed under Strategic Priority)

## **Subgroup 2: Anything New**

- Stephanie to send draft to subgroup/RT in parallel by COB today (Friday 6 April)
- Stephanie to formulate text describing the lack of strategic plan for WHOIS leads to disjoint development of policies and procedures
- ICANN org to move forward and reflect agreements from Brussels face-to-face meeting #2 in the subgroup draft report shell.

## **Subgroup 3: Law Enforcement Needs**

- Cathrin to update the draft survey based on input received and to share with subgroup.
- ICANN org to investigate on transparency requirements pertaining to surveys, as subgroups would like the survey link not to appear on review team public lists.
- ICANN org to provide an example of survey output to the subgroup to inform methodology related discussions.

# Subgroups' Open Action Items

## Subgroup 4: Consumer Trust

- Erika to expand the briefing request to identify the report and data which the briefing to address. Written briefing to be requested from GDD by ICANN Org.
- Continue call discussion about the definition of "consumer" within the subgroup.
- Erika to review the face-to-face meeting #2 open action items and send input to the subgroup.

## Subgroup 5: Safeguarding Registrant Data

- Questions for ICANN org :
  - What are contractual requirements to secure stored escrow data
  - What are contractual requirements to notify ICANN in the event of breach
    - How do you secure registrant data under your control?
- Alan to refine question number 3.
- Stephanie to provide draft formulation to Alan
- Alan to confirm revisions made RT agreements.
- Subgroup members to send a quick status update to the review team

**Subgroups to finalize their reports (incl. findings and potential recommendations) by 24 May for RT discussion.**

# A.O.B.

---

- Please fill in the survey to share your attendee experience with Zoom:  
<https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/NM82XJS>

# Confirm Decisions Reached & Action Items