

Webex Chat:

From Terri Agnew to Everyone: Welcome to the RPM Sub Team for URS Providers call

from Michael Karanicolas to Everyone: sounds good to me

from Ariel Liang to Everyone: All documents of today's call can be downloaded here:

<https://community.icann.org/x/QoLpB>

from Susan Payne to Everyone: sure

from Susan Payne to Everyone: no

from Susan Payne to Everyone: I don't necessarily think that the RO has a problem, but based on Afiliat's announcement that they won't publish any contact data and won't give access to IP interests I do think that causes a problem for bringing URS proceedings

from Martin Silva to Everyone: I can only understand the same as u

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Yes, I think we should ask Registries if it would be a burden to change MAY to MUST for notification re: dependent/child hosts

from Terri Agnew to Everyone: At all: reminder regarding the Chat panel to please check the SEND TO drop down and send to EVERYONE

from Cyntia King to Everyone: I also wonder if this has ever been used

from Martin Silva to Everyone: we can move on

from Cyntia King to Everyone: There are some registrations for multiple years, but the suspension only lasts 1 year?

from Martin Silva to Everyone: no, it last until the end of registration

from Martin Silva to Everyone: but can be extended for 1 year

from Martin Silva to Everyone: still is a weird provision

from Cyntia King to Everyone: If the domains must re-direct to a standard website,

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Then why doesn't the Registration reflect a standard Whois?

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Of course, none of this may matter given GDPR.

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Good point, Susan

from Cyntia King to Everyone: .ECO - what if a scammer listed koch.eco then lost the domain to a company that didn't meet reqs?

from Susan Payne to Everyone: agree, but some restricted TLDs don't have pre-registration validation, but do it post-registration. I suspect there would be a better route to challenge it than the URS but it seems at least conceivable there could be a URS

from Susan Payne to Everyone: but if its on auto renewal it should continue

from Cyntia King to Everyone: I believe this has been an ongoing question. And that the same registrant could "catch" the expiring domain.

from Susan Payne to Everyone: yep agreed

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Couldn't renewal of offending domain appear to be "bad faith"?

from Susan Payne to Everyone: @Cyntia, but if the registrant has lost then the name is not resolving to the registrants website but to the page that says "this name has been suspended due to the URS"

from Cyntia King to Everyone: + Phil

from Cyntia King to Everyone: I don't see how you get around using full/correct info for arbitration.

from Susan Payne to Everyone: I don't see how they will remain available Phil - you should see this article about what Afiliias plans - no contact info published and no access

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_domainnamewire.com_2018_04_03_gdpr-2Dwhois-2Dafilias_&d=DwIFAg&c=2s2mvbfY0UoSKkl6_Ol9wg&r=qYSHidA4Sf-Qj_MCL9fUYjDtzEqpDJ-1iq_kJoNg0g&m=Zur9ak9Wo8k4AbEb8bBQ7GhAfDqgVmYlz4mDEHfIBxl&s=Y-cnvd_gyHOow4cr8_HiNIHerwiD0pYhbGco2vhwyQ&e

from Susan Payne to Everyone: This is why John McElwaine proposed that we should consider URS in phase 2 - because it's all really uncertain

from Cyntia King to Everyone: John is prolly right.

from Susan Payne to Everyone: ok thanks

from Cyntia King to Everyone: No idea how we review processes that may change while we're working.

from Susan Payne to Everyone: agreed Phil

from Cyntia King to Everyone: +1 Phil

from Cyntia King to Everyone: But there won't be any response if there isn't a Whois email in the first place.

from Berry Cobb to Everyone: I dont think there have been multiple respondents.

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Also dislike this provision. Not all respondents have the same interests/liability.

from Berry Cobb to Everyone: I have seen some where the Registrant and Organization are both listed, but they have all looked to be the same.

from Susan Payne to Everyone: thinking about it - maybe should we ask the providers what they mean by this?

from Cyntia King to Everyone: I believe I've seen multiple complainants in a case where the domain was transferred between related parties to hide the true registrant

from Martin Silva to Everyone: yes

from Cyntia King to Everyone: yes

from Susan Payne to Everyone: But does it matter whether there have been any stays?

from Martin Silva to Everyone: I think is fair to ask the question

from Michael Karanicolas to Everyone: Should we ask whether people have tried to file a challenge beyond the time period, or complained about it?

from Martin Silva to Everyone: nope, go ahead

from Cyntia King to Everyone: This is new to me, unless it's to hide names

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Appellant can elect to add new info?

from Cyntia King to Everyone: What if the respondent wanted to present new info, say to obtain RDNH finding?

from Martin Silva to Everyone: nope

from Martin Silva to Everyone: the only thing I could object, is that there should be open source extensions

from Martin Silva to Everyone: but is not for question

from Susan Payne to Everyone: can we do by email for tyhe other providers? if we think they raise anything extra?

from Susan Payne to Everyone: good plan Phil, that would allow us to wrap up next week

from Cyntia King to Everyone: yes!

from Martin Silva to Everyone: perfect!

from Michael Karanicolas to Everyone: Sounds good

from Cyntia King to Everyone: Thanks for moderating the calls, Phil.

from Terri Agnew to Everyone: Staff would appreciate it if you could please take a moment to complete this WebEx survey:

WebEx Survey: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/NM82XJS>

from Ariel Liang to Everyone: Thanks everyone

from Martin Silva to Everyone: bye bye

from Susan Payne to Everyone: thanks Phil