

1 **General overview / tentative conclusions Workshop**

2

3 Single trigger event

4 Removal code element (form the list of assigned codes)

5 IDN ccTLD different, more nunanced

6

Importance	Group 2	Group 3	Gorup 1
Very Important /\	IANA/PTI /\	Registry /\	SIP
			TLD operator
Important – Normal ()	ccTLD manager /\	Registrant -	
	Registry Service provider ()	Registrars	ISO MA
	Registrants --	IANA /\	
Split policy ASCII and IDN	Government	Issue: Roque operator: If significant Misbehaviour as identified in Fol, IANA to “step in”	

7

8 Process and Stakeholders scenario 2

9

10 Stakeholders:

- 11 - Registries
- 12 - Registrars
- 13 - Registrant
- 14 - Service providers

15

16 One cc broke-up in 3: .AN -> Curacao (.CW) delegated

17 → St Martin(.SX) Delegated

18 (Bonaire, St Euthasias) and Saba) .BQ Status ?

19

20 Other example (hypothetical) Puerto Rico (.PR) what if 51st State <- Trigger political changes

21

22 North and Southern Sudan

23

24 Fixed limited tome scale

25 **Sub-group 1**

26 **PDP WG RET Sub-group 1**

27 AB -> AC

28 ^

29 !

30 Trigger is removal from assigned list

31 - IANA notified, they talk to current manager to see what their plans are

32 - Once on reserved list, 50 years clock starts (framework)

33

34 What happens to related IDN's?

35

36 - IANA to facilitate discussions between:

37 o AB manager

38 o AC manager

39 o LIC buy in to new string

40

41 Stakeholders

42 TLD managers

43 LIC

44

45

46

47

48 **Stakeholders & Process**

49

50 From FoI : Significantly Intersted Parties

51 - Government(s) }

52 - Registrants } jointly identify who should be involved

53 - LIC }

54

55

56 **Stakeholders Triage**

57

58 **Name**

59 IANA/PTI/ICANN

60

61 ccTLD manager

62

63 Registry service providers

64

65 Registrar

66

67

68 Regsitrant

69 Web-hosting provider

70 Email Serivce provider

71 Website owners

Role

Guardian of the process

Implementing Executive/operational
LOTS (inc. work with IANA)

Do what instructed

Open new TLD (registration to?)

Supplier registrants

Be Aware

Effect on system

72 Government
73 RZM (USGN)
74 IAB

Public policy
Do what IANA says

75

76 **Need Process Framework for IANA**

- 77 1. ISO notification
- 78 a. IANA, TLD manager
 - 79 b. Admin & Tech Contact
 - 80 c. ISO MA (?)
- 81 2. TLD manager's plan
- 82 a. LIC,
 - 83 b. IANA
 - 84 c. Significantly Interested Parties (per FoI)
- 85 3. Execution of Manager's Plan
- 86 a. LIC
 - 87 b. Registrants
 - 88 c. Other TLD manager
- 89

90 **Overview PDP WG RET sessions**
91 **Group 3**
92
93 Code removed from Standard Entity same borders
94
95 ISO Std approved, then anyone who subscribes to ISO \$300
96
97 Notification to ICANN?
98 Public Notice
99
100 Notification Process
101 - Email
102 - Machine readable it change detected update
103
104 Congo, Timor are past examples
105
106 Southern Sudan
107
108 Timeline for code changes
109 6-18 months
110
111 Nice to have is liaison between ISO and IANA (Mutual respect))
112
113
114
115
116 Grouping to
117 Change of Code
118 - If hypo move ..
119 - IANA would reach out to TLD manager
120
121 IANA reply
122 - Scenarios
123 - Facts to consider
124 - Transition Plan
125 ○ Notice
126 ○ Communication
127 ○ Switch date
128 ○ Etc.
129
130 Timeline
131 - Transition Plan
132 - Alocate renumbering => other
133 ○ Postal renumbering
134 ○ Are codes
135 - Done within 24 months
136 - Egs

- 137 - Lots of notice
- 138 ○ In Policy
- 139 ▪ Fixed time
- 140 ▪ Negotiating depending on
- 141 • Size
- 142 • Other factors
- 143
- 144 Tips
- 145 - Temptation to push date out
- 146 - If have short timeframe then technical solution helps
- 147 - Technical
- 148 - No registration window
- 149 ○ Egs. DNS Sec Instalaltion
- 150
- 151
- 152 Purpose
- 153 Is to check/set expectations of
- 154 - Registry of emer
- 155 - Contact way back
- 156 - Google(?)
- 157