Webex Chat:

04/16/2018   21:59:14 PM   from Annebeth Lange to All Participants: Good evening, everyone

04/16/2018   22:00:03 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: He everyone

04/16/2018   22:03:02 PM   from Liz Brodzinski to All Participants: 5226 is me - I'm also logged into the chat

04/16/2018   22:03:04 PM   from Karen Day to All Participants: Just FYI in the WebEx Mobile App the options are Chat with Panelists,Attendees or Everyone.

04/16/2018   22:03:35 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: If you are listed only as a dial in nimber and do not tell staff who you are you will not be recorded in the attendance... Up to you of course.

04/16/2018   22:03:39 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Thx Liz

04/16/2018   22:03:50 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: 202 is Washington DC, 312 is Chicago, 210 is San Antonio TX

04/16/2018   22:04:27 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: we may as well start

04/16/2018   22:04:34 PM   from Phil Marano to All Participants: I'm dialed in as the line ending in 5834

04/16/2018   22:05:28 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Thx Phil

04/16/2018   22:06:14 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: still some teething issues fr some of us with WebEx.

04/16/2018   22:06:35 PM   from Liz Brodzinski to All Participants: spoke too soon Jeff ha

04/16/2018   22:07:48 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Yes we will watch

04/16/2018   22:08:12 PM   from Terri Agnew to All Participants: thank you, Phil!!

04/16/2018   22:09:17 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: We hope sending it out in sections will help you deal with each section more efficiently... The whole doc is *huge* :-)

04/16/2018   22:10:02 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Yes Kristina's input is exactly wht we are after at this syage as we **polish** the Report

04/16/2018   22:10:58 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: As Jeff sys we apprecite input on opinions etc., to come in via the actual Pubic Comment period yet to come

04/16/2018   22:12:05 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: We can come back to Christopher

04/16/2018   22:12:45 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: One moment Christopher

04/16/2018   22:12:57 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: we did hear you :-)

04/16/2018 22:13:46 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: We see your hand Kavouss qued after Christopher

04/16/2018 22:15:02 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Christopher next I thought

04/16/2018 22:15:13 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Oh well

04/16/2018 22:15:54 PM from Steven Chan to All Participants: Thanks Kavouss, especially for the comment about the page numbers.

04/16/2018 22:17:31 PM from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: The full report is 160+ pages. Brace for impact.

04/16/2018 22:17:32 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: and more than 100+ to come Christopher

04/16/2018 22:18:13 PM from Kristina Rosette to All Participants: There's 0% chance that I have the bandwidth to provide detailed emailed comments for the upcoming 100+ pages, fyi.

04/16/2018 22:19:05 PM from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: Pls kindly explain the purpose of this doc.

04/16/2018 22:19:06 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: I doubt you are alone in that, so some seections will get more attention from different WG Members we assume

04/16/2018 22:19:23 PM from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: what do you expect from us?

04/16/2018 22:19:37 PM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: my comment was to Kristina BTW

04/16/2018 22:20:52 PM from avri doria to All Participants: Christopher, by deontologically do you mean: the normative ethical position that judges the morality of an action based on the action's adherence to rules or obligations rather than either the inherent goodness or the consequences of those actions. Usage confused me. apologies.

04/16/2018 22:21:26 PM from Christa Taylor to All Participants: Lots of feedback - need a mute somewhere

04/16/2018 22:22:39 PM from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Substantive comments are untimely for the initial report.

04/16/2018 22:22:52 PM from Christopher Wilkinson to All Participants: How do I unmute the webex screen?

04/16/2018 22:24:16 PM from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: But where the inital report doesn't accurately reflect the WG/WTs discussions, or are factually incorrect, those require fixing the initial report.

04/16/2018 22:24:44 PM from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Spelling and grammar, too.

04/16/2018   22:25:27 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants: Sorry i have not had time to review latest section. are the draft sections keyed to the Work Track # as discussed in the last call? QUESTION

04/16/2018   22:27:24 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Anne, the sections are arranged in a more logical order, although there is a section to WT relation as part of the Table of Contents that is soon to be published.

04/16/2018   22:27:46 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: Jeff

04/16/2018   22:28:01 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: pls let me explain my problem

04/16/2018   22:28:09 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: We will ensure the Table of COntents gets out ASAP

04/16/2018   22:28:22 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Sorry for not hab=ving got it out as yet...

04/16/2018   22:28:49 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants: ok thanks Jeff - the sooner the better on Table of Contents with key to Work track #

04/16/2018   22:28:57 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: Jeff

04/16/2018   22:29:04 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: pls allow me to talk

04/16/2018   22:30:39 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Kavouss, the GNSO PDP Manual and Procedures has specific names for documents that we have to follow.

04/16/2018   22:33:06 PM   from Steven Chan to All Participants: All, you can find the section breakdown, with reference to the Work Track, in the Latest News section here: https://community.icann.org/x/RgV1Aw

04/16/2018   22:33:14 PM   from Steven Chan to All Participants: Staff will also circulate to the list

04/16/2018   22:34:40 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Kavouss, on page numbers, since these are section excerpts, they are subject to change even for content that doesn't change. When we have the full document, then we can refer to page numbers.

04/16/2018   22:34:44 PM   from Martin Sutton to All Participants: Kavous, Jeff has explained this - the Initial Report takes into account comments (CC1/CC2) and wort track discussions for the past two years. It is understandable that this is difficult grasp when coming into the discussions at a late stage but the Co-Chairs have been talking about the purpose and preparation of the Initial Report for the past 2+ months. We need to move on and review the documents presented. Comments are welcome in the public comment period.

04/16/2018   22:35:39 PM   from Christa Taylor to All Participants: Plus there was overlap between the Work Tracks

04/16/2018   22:35:44 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Imagine how many meetings you would all have needed to attend if you all needed to be in 4 (now 5) Work tracks!

04/16/2018   22:36:21 PM   from Martin Sutton to All Participants: Call recordings and transcripts are available if you need to refer back to recent PDP WG calls that has covered this.

04/16/2018   22:36:27 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: We need to move on in the Agenda soon, but please do continue your input on this Understanding matter on the list.

04/16/2018   22:36:53 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: YES Kavouss exactly this is the time to have the whole WG look at things

04/16/2018   22:36:56 PM   from vanda scartezini to All Participants: i was in the phone only and finally after more than 10 times trying I enteres webex.. thanks to Andrea Glandon.

04/16/2018   22:37:32 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Sorry for the tech issues Vanda

04/16/2018   22:38:07 PM   from vanda scartezini to All Participants: use to work weel bu from alst week did not accept the password easily...

04/16/2018   22:38:18 PM   from Terri Agnew to All Participants: Very glad Andrea was able to help get you connected, Vanda.

04/16/2018   22:39:41 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants: Any one's time is that person's to decide what is worthy or not of it.

04/16/2018   22:40:29 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants: If it has not been fully seen by relevant work chat then how the narrative were added who have added what was the rational for that narrative added

04/16/2018   22:41:01 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: The exact text in each section will be frash (thus a draft) for some WT Members but the content should all be "known" that is what we are asking WT Members to check at this time if you have been in a WT and are finding an ommission or a "suprise" Let Us Know now as we polish the doc at this stage

04/16/2018   22:41:29 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: As Jeff just said (more clearly)

04/16/2018   22:41:48 PM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants: May I suggest a path forward: To the extent that WG members are submitting substantive comments/answers to questions, would it be possible to have staff arrange for the submission of those comments/answers, with the commenter's permission, once the public comment period opens with the significant caveat that it's the commenter's responsibility to make sure her/his comments/answers are submitted during the public comment period?

04/16/2018   22:42:21 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Interesting option

04/16/2018   22:43:14 PM   from Jamie Baxter to All Participants: my hand is up

04/16/2018   22:45:08 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Christopher if that is a new hand => type that here, but I think if you need to lower your hand perhaps a double click mught do that but staff can probably let us know if that is the case.

04/16/2018   22:45:25 PM   from Terri Agnew to All Participants: @Christopher: your audio connection to the bridge is UNmuted now

04/16/2018   22:45:33 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Yes Jamie exactly what we need to watch for and act on as we polish THX

04/16/2018   22:45:42 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Yes

04/16/2018   22:46:46 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants: I am not able to scroll on screen document but perhaps that is not possible in Webex.

04/16/2018   22:48:14 PM   from Robin Gross to All Participants: Would be most helpful to send specific proposed changes to the text

04/16/2018   22:49:23 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: People we need to move on in the Agenda now ...

04/16/2018   22:49:37 PM   from Sara Bockey to All Participants: Agree Cheryl

04/16/2018   22:50:04 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: I personally want to thank the pen holders for the extraordinary work thay have done *For The Redocrd*

04/16/2018   22:50:07 PM   from Martin Sutton to All Participants: Christopher, no doubt you will submit public comments substantiating the generalised claims rasied on the call.

04/16/2018   22:50:14 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: ergh typos today

04/16/2018   22:51:20 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: a limitation of WebEx some see it as a design 'feature' not so much a bug Jeff ;-)

04/16/2018   22:52:08 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: The FInal will be pagenated Kavouss deffinatly and the mapping of the topics to WT's is part of the doc

04/16/2018   22:52:22 PM   from Martin Sutton to All Participants: Kavous - page number acknowledged by staff already. Jeff has also referenced a document that will refer to the specific WTs.

04/16/2018   22:52:53 PM   from Paul McGrady to All Participants: I'm sorry in that I joined late, but I can't tell what this call is about based upon the last two comments.

04/16/2018   22:53:06 PM   from Robin Gross to All Participants: Perhaps drafting some proposed text to put in place of "none" would be helpful, if one thinks it should be corrected.

04/16/2018   22:53:14 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: Sorry Paul We will move on in the Agenda now

04/16/2018   22:54:16 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: and some of us have reviewed ALL sections several time

04/16/2018   22:54:24 PM   from Steven Chan to All Participants: As noted earlier, the topic organiztion, with reference to the relevant work track, is available on the WIki here: https://community.icann.org/x/RgV1Aw

04/16/2018   22:54:39 PM   from Steven Chan to All Participants: It has also been circulated on the mailing list

04/16/2018   22:54:45 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants: before we send each section out so far you have all seen only 2 sections

04/16/2018   22:55:11 PM   from Robin Gross to All Participants: The drafting has been a very collaborative process between worktrack leaders and staff.

04/16/2018   22:55:18 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants: COMMENT Procedurally i think the question will be whether Working Group members agree with the characterization of the document as an Initial Report. a lot depends on the introductory paragraph in this report. it may be wise to allow a dissenting statement to be made by those who object to this process. This is in addtion to Kristina's suggestions on individual sections. We all need to keep in mind that we have strongly encouraged early GAC participation in PDPs. I dont know how we can get past these objections without allowing a dissenting statement on the process.

April 16, 2018   3:56:40 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: The titles come from documentation (a Final Issues report) that existed before our PDP started...

April 16, 2018   3:58:10 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: PLease mute your phone lines of computer mic unless you are speaking or abbout to speak... Thank you

April 16, 2018   3:58:17 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: COMMENT: Of particular concern are statements like "The Working Group recommends".

April 16, 2018   3:59:04 PM   from Christopher Wilkinson to all participants: Difficult to assess the text without the CCT-RT final report.

April 16, 2018   4:00:31 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: hand up

April 16, 2018   4:01:09 PM   from Christopher Wilkinson to all participants: Hand up CW

April 16, 2018   4:03:58 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: Any text suggestions for that Anne?

April 16, 2018   4:04:50 PM   from Paul McGrady to all participants: Confused. Isn't recommending what we are supposed to do and then the community reacts to that recommendation in public comments?

April 16, 2018   4:05:18 PM   from Greg Shatan to all participants: "The Working Group seeks comments on the following potential recommendation(s)"?

April 16, 2018   4:05:31 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: Yes Paul where we beleived the WT had 'Recommendations to make

April 16, 2018   4:05:49 PM   from Greg Shatan to all participants: The question is whether this document is really far enough along to frame anything in it as a "recommendation."

April 16, 2018   4:05:58 PM   from Martin Sutton to all participants: We should also be careful about the efforts of the group over the past two years, which included public comment periods, so we should state recommendations where approporiate.

April 16, 2018   4:06:40 PM   from Robin Gross to all participants: Agree, Martin.

April 16, 2018   4:06:44 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to all participants: Staff called my attention to the Table of Contents published in the Wiki:
https://community.icann.org/display/NGSPP/New+gTLD+Subsequent+Procedures+PDP+Home?preview=/58000710/84215572/SubPro%20Initial%20Report_Sections_16Apr2018.pdf

April 16, 2018   4:07:06 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to all participants: It contains each section heading and to which work track it refers.

April 16, 2018   4:07:17 PM   from Martin Sutton to all participants: Thx Ruben

April 16, 2018   4:08:15 PM   from Susan Payne to all participants: @Greg I think there are some topics where we have recommendations. Not on everything, in some cases there are options being floated, and if so that's what it says (rather than being termed a recommendation)

April 16, 2018   4:10:11 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: "I would suggest "No member of the Working Group objected to the structuring of the next applicaion process in a "round". this seemed to be the favored approach. Other options discussed were as follows:"

April 16, 2018   4:10:32 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: Thanks @Susan

April 16, 2018   4:14:16 PM   from Christa Taylor to all participants:I think it may be covered in other sections not yet reflected in this document

April 16, 2018   4:14:34 PM   from Jamie Baxter to all participants: @Cheryl ... will do

April 16, 2018   4:14:42 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: @ Paul and Susan The question is whether these are in fact "Recommendations of the WG" when the requirements in the PDP Manual for Initial Report require a Consensus Call".

April 16, 2018   4:14:55 PM   from Christa Taylor to all participants:I believe it is in the CQ section

April 16, 2018   4:15:05 PM   from Terri Agnew to all participants: not yet

April 16, 2018   4:15:40 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to all participants: @Anne, my understanding is that this requirement applies to final reports.

April 16, 2018   4:17:01 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: @Rubens - No -please see elements of Initial Report. This is why we need to say something other than "The Working Group recommends."

April 16, 2018   4:19:32 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: HOW ABOUT "The Working Group is leaning toward a reccommendation that" - or give that explanation in the Intro.

April 16, 2018   4:19:57 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: and now as I knew it would my phone battery has died just in time as I handed over to Jeff

April 16, 2018   4:22:34 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: back on phone now ...sorry

April 16, 2018   4:23:10 PM   from Terri Agnew to all participants: Poor timing to send this, but at your convenience, Staff would appreciate it if you could please take a moment to complete this WebEx survey: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/NM82XJS

April 16, 2018   4:24:16 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: I cannot see whether specific reference is made to GNSO Input, GNSO Guiudance, Expedited PDP. If not, it should be added.

April 16, 2018   4:25:37 PM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to all participants: hand up

April 16, 2018   4:25:50 PM   from Rubens Kuhl to all participants: 1.2.2, Predictability, is the one just after 1.2.1, so we are moving in sequential order, even though it was not required.

April 16, 2018   4:28:11 PM   from Emily Barabas to all participants:Consensus Policy Implementation Framework

April 16, 2018   4:29:26 PM   from Kristina Rosette to all participants: Have to drop for another meeting. Thanks, all.

April 16, 2018   4:29:45 PM   from Paul McGrady to all participants: The survey that Terri posted is very easy to fill out and only takes a few moments.

April 16, 2018   4:29:48 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: Thx Kristina

April 16, 2018   4:30:33 PM   from Martin Sutton to all participants: If Kavous was referring to 1.2.1 the overarching issues did not have different options. The manner in which future rounds could be organised is covered further under 1.2.3

April 16, 2018   4:30:36 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: We can cover that in the 1st instatnce in the text Anne ...Thx

April 16, 2018   4:30:51 PM   from Kavouss Arasteh to all participants: Pls kindly put on record that the new platform is NOT a user's friendly one

April 16, 2018   4:30:56 PM   from Paul McGrady to all participants: Thanks Jeff!

April 16, 2018   4:30:59 PM   from Katrin Ohlmer to all participants: Thanks Jeff, thanks Cheryl

April 16, 2018   4:31:01 PM   from Christopher Wilkinson to all participants: The Phase 1 paragraph should not leave the impression that the GNSO Council can arbitrate anything

April 16, 2018   4:31:04 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: THANK YOU everyone for your input today... More discussion on mail list please

April 16, 2018   4:31:08 PM   from Martin Sutton to all participants: Thx Jeff et al

April 16, 2018   4:31:09 PM   from Robin Gross to all participants: Thanks, bye!

April 16, 2018   4:31:12 PM   from avri doria to all participants: bye

April 16, 2018   4:31:14 PM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to all participants: Bye (for now)

April 16, 2018   4:31:19 PM   from Christopher Wilkinson to all participants: SO we have done the first 5 pages

April 16, 2018   4:31:25 PM   from vanda scartezini to all participants: thank you all