

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCUC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

I think the dialogue was useful on transparency, for example, because there was some space for overlap and advancing discussions - we had areas of agreement.

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

The GDPR sessions, which we had strong disagreements on, were not useful per say... but I guess it's important to include them nonetheless, since these are areas where dialogue can potentially be most important, even if it won't always be fruitful.

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Time with the CEO

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Attorney-client privilege section. No new information shared.

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

A workshop on how we can all work together better.

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Every other year. Smaller delegations from each group.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCSG ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR conversations

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Community priorities and introductions

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

The hotel was very dated and uncomfortable unfortunately. I would suggest selecting a different hotel and venue in the future; though the location of Los Angeles remains very suitable. I think the Intersessional in Iceland worked better as we had a community reception on the evening \*before\* the Intersessional began.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Gdpr/Whois, SSR2,

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.





# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCSG ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Session with CEO

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

PDP Discussion 1

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

Introductions

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR and SSR 2 discussion with CEO and Board members

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

PDP reviews

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR, next gen RDS, RPMs

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Excessive intros and overviews

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Deeper dives on current roadblocks on PDPs and long term initiatives

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR/WHOIS compliance

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

The community overviews

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

None

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Not sure we need these every year -- possibly biennially depending on developments.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.





# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

conversations with staff

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

too much talk about process

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Reconciling WHOIS and GDPR / GDPR Compliance

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Community Overviews

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NPOC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR, SSR2

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Transparency

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

How to collaborate more

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Sessions for interacting and discussions between attendees

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

**Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \***

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

**Which topic(s) did you find most useful?**

WHOIS/GDPR

---

**Which topic(s) did you find least useful?**

none

---

**Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?**

none

---

**Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings**

Not annual, not part of core budget, repeat intersessionals linked explicitly to outcomes and budget-driven

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR, Discussion of WS2 Recommendations

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

Account Budget: Input to ways to reduce waste

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Better to take place in LA with access to key staff.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCSG ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

We don't need the annual intersessional. We might not need intersessional at all. I would like to give my gratitude for the ICANN staff impeccable support, they were great. Pushed us forward although there was a huge wall which was unbreakable. I would like to specifically thank Bendetta Rossi. She has always been great but great support for this event too.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NPOC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

### Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

### Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Session with ICANN CEO

---

### Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

None

---

### Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

ICANN reserve fund

---

### Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Yes, it helps in closing gap between the CSG and NCSG communities

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NPOC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Community overview, new gTLDs, GDPR Compliance, informal lunch, ICANN CEO, ICANN Transparency

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Slot D.

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

IDNs, visit to ICANN Office

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

More innovative and responsive travel desk resulting in savings in travels and stays.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCSG ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

All GDPR and WHOIS related sessions

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

WS2 accountability

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

I am of two minds. ICANN staff did a fantastic job and so did some of the community members in preparing the discussions. The venue was good. And this is why it feels like a particular waste of ICANN and community resources: at the end neither the quality of discussion nor the level of engagement were great. The meetings should be restructured, redesigned, re-thought, but there is no real value in holding them in the current form.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Whois/GDPR, and discussion with CEO and our board members

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

recap of Work Stream 2 comments

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

do a 1-day session before the Policy Forum

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

**Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \***

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

**Which topic(s) did you find most useful?**

GDPR/WhoIS, Procedural Inhouse issues

---

**Which topic(s) did you find least useful?**

CEO prep session, Role of Board & SO/ACs in Community driven reviews

---

**Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?****Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings**

staff to insist in advance that well-prepared (co-) chairs are clearly identified for each session (incl. SG breakout); next meeting closer to Europe

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

NCPH Procedural In-House Issues

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

PDP Discussion 2: Rights Protection Mechanisms in all gTLDs

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

None

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

At least one more day, it was too short.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.





# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NPOC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

The limited discussions about the slow pace of the pdp-wgs - across various topics

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Bickering to defend positions, rather than exploring mechanisms for working together better - across various topics

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

More attention to lessons learned, or difficulties encountered in the format of the pdp-wg process as it now operates

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

More of a focus on identified problems prior to the session, and less focused in time slots for what frequently looked/felt like PR updates.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCUC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Community breakout

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Preparation for talking w/ CEO

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

I would prefer not to work with CSG anymore, join only when NCPH procedures were important

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

I don't see why NCSG and CSG should join to discuss policy when they may have fundamentally opposing views. Meeting could be much more profitable if each SG had their own track to discuss. Also it could be integrated with ICANN meeting (like 1 day previously), this way we could also bring in our newcomers to learn more about current policy themes.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

BC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Role of Board and SO/ACs in Community Driven Reviews

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

Insight into how ICANN makes decision. For example, with all the comments on the interim compliance models, who is in the room and involved in the process of making the decision on the final model?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

ICANN Board/Exec contact was the most valuable. So, keep it in LA.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Lunch meeting with Board

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

In depth discussion of FY19 Budget

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Working group discussion with minimum number of Board members (not lunch)

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.





# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCUC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

((PDP sessions)) and ((Reconciling Whois and GDPR session))

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

GDPR Compliance, as ICANN legal did not attend as planned

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

N/A

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

Intersessionals should be held far from ICANN main offices in order for everyone to focus on the purpose of the intersessional and nothing else.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

ISPCP ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

GDPR

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

None. They were all relevant.

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

No. It was comprehensive.

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NPOC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Plenary 1 - The Community Overviews and the meeting with ICANN CEO

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

N/A

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

N/A

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

It was my first intersessional meeting and went as I expected it would.

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

Google Forms



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessional meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

It is not optimal to follow the GNSO Council strategic planning session with the NCPH intersessional, as the Council did not have an opportunity to update the community on its outcomes and thus the discussion was duplicative and conflicting between these two efforts. NCPH Intersessionals are not achieving their intended purpose of identifying commonalities, and rather are simply an environment to deeper entrench longstanding distrust. Annual meetings are not necessary; perhaps less frequent offerings can improve the outcomes and agenda.

---

# Google Forms

# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCSG ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

.....

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

.....

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

.....

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

hold them in every other year to allow more time for planning

.....

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

IPC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

## Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

## Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

Time with ICANN Senior Staff on GDPR

---

## Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Capacity Building

---

## Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

I think we should have more time with senior staff as CSG/NCSG separately. I do not think that the intersessional works with both groups. I think the CSG and the NCSG should have separate meetings. We could come together on the limited topics that we need to discuss together like the Board seat or GNSO Council Vice-Chair. But I no longer want to participate in CSG/NCSG group discussion on substantive topics. It is not productive because all the NCSG wants to do is fight.

---

## Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

There should be a CSG intersessional and if they want it, and NCSG one. I don't think the meeting structure as is is productive or well spent time. Staff was great. People did their best, but the NCSG sabotages it every time. The really valuable bit is the time with senior staff. That should continue.

---

# Google Forms



# NCPH Intersessional 2018 Evaluation Survey

Community Group \*

NCUC ▼

Please rate your overall satisfaction with the meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly dissatisfied	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly satisfied

Good value for time spent \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Strongly agree

Please rate the agenda/program for the NCPH Intersessionaol meeting \*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not effective	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Highly effective

Would you recommend holding yearly NCPH Intersessional Meetings? \*

Yes

No

Please rate the hotel/venue facilities \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Which topic(s) did you find most useful?

NCHP leadership election procedures, New GTLD SubPro, Privacy Compliance

---

Which topic(s) did you find least useful?

Budget

---

Any topics you would like to have seen that were not offered?

More discussion about how the two sides of the house can work together on our shared goals more effectively.

---

Recommendations for future NCPH Intersessional Meetings

---

---

This form was created inside of ICANN.

