

Root Zone Label Generation Rules Study Group (RZ-LGR-SG) Meeting

19 November 2018

Attendees:

1. Ajay Data
2. Dennis Tan Tanaka
3. Edmon Chung
4. Gaurav Vedi
5. Mirjana Tasic

ICANN org staff:

1. Sarmad Hussain
2. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana

Regrets:

Summary of Notes

The SG reviewed the revised Recommendation 3 in the draft [document](#).

1. **Recommendation 3.** The SG reviewed this recommendation, pertaining to the labels which are not validated by the RZ-LGR, even if the script is supported. The RZ-LGR will invalidate the label and the subsequent policy will decide how to deal with such labels. The SG does not suggest policy, but the intention is to capture any technical limitations on subsequent policy. For example, if a code point is not IDNA2008 PVALID. In that case the label must be rejected. In case the label is invalid due to a code point in IDNA2008 but not allowed by MSR. In such case a request for such a code point can be sent to the Generation Panel (GP) for consideration, and the label can potentially be accepted if the GP includes it.

SG members agreed that the SG should not recommend anything beyond the RZ-LGR. Beyond RZ-LGR, there may have many cases, which the SG may not be able to capture. However, it was argued that this recommendation explains the different reasons through which a label can be invalidated, so that policy may use that information.

The SG reviewed and agreed with sub-recommendations. The SG agreed to add another sub-recommendation related to adjusting a WLE rule. SG members raised that an issue on what would happen in case policy suspends an application, but the GP does not form? SG agreed that that would not be in the scope of the SG. ICANN org may call for a GP in this case. SG continued to discuss if a deadlock may be created in case GP cannot be formed in a timely manner. An application round may not be able to handle such cases. However, suspension process allows for some recourse to the applicant. Applicant could always withdraw. SG discussed if this could be raised as a question during public comment of these recommendation. An alternate would be for the applicant to pro-actively try to trigger the GP before the application round.

The next call will be 26 November.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	<i>Update Recommendation 3 based on the discussion.</i>	DT
2	<i>Raise the challenge of managing the GP review within the application round, in case policy suspends an invalid application instead of rejecting it.</i>	DT
3	<i>Review the updated recommendations.</i>	All