IDN Implementation Guidelines (IDNG) Working Group (WG)

Notes from meeting on 17 August 2017

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

> WG members:
> 1. Dennis Tanaka
> 2. Edmon Chung
> 3. Mats Dufberg
> 4. Satish Babu
>
> Staff:
> 5. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
> 6. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The WG continued discussing the document revision based on community feedback received.

1. **Recommendation 5.** It was discussed that the text of last sentence needs to be edited to make it a full sentence. The WG also discussed and agreed that 'should' be used when the sentence is completed.

   There was extensive discussion on how registry should handle invalid domain names when the IDN table is updated, either for complying with the guideline or for some other reasons. The WG agreed that this should be noted either by altering this recommendation or adding a new one. The different views are:

   (a) The existing label that becomes invalid should continue to be renewable, but such a label is no longer valid for new registration to anyone.
   (b) The existing label that becomes invalid should only be renewable for a limited time then discontinued.

   The guideline will be revised to incorporate the additional case of domain name becoming invalid due to change in IDN table in a way that allows to accommodate existing registry policy but informs the registrant.

2. **Recommendation 7.** Based on previous discussion, an implementation note has been added. This has been added as a footnote to keep the flow of the document. The group would reach out to RySG to determine the number of months needed to adopt the change to the LGR format, to be noted in the implementation note. Regards to IANA's publishing of the IDN tables, it was shared that currently it has not been discussed within ICANN whether or how IANA repository will start supporting the LGR format. If the guideline 7(a) is adopted, it will trigger the internal process to update the process of publishing the IDN tables in LGR format in the IANA repository.

3. **Recommendation 11.** The revision in the guideline was discussed, intended to take out "must" or "may" out of the sentence, and was accepted.

4. **Date for public comment response.** The due date was 9 August 2017. As the WG is still waiting for some responses from community e.g. SSAC, therefore the due date needs to be postponed. It was agreed to discuss this issue as the first item in the next meeting.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	*Update recommendation 5 to add the expected Registry action when a domain name label becomes invalid due to an update in IDN table. Also restructure the last sentence of the first paragraph to complete it, with a "should".*	MD