## Subgroup 1: WHOIS1 Rec #11 – Common Interface Work Statement & Work Plan

Work Force Identification		
Members	<ul> <li>Alan Greenberg</li> <li>Susan Kawaguchi</li> <li>Volker Greimann</li> </ul>	
Rapporteur	Volker Greimann	
Scope Objectives	Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(iv), the Review Team will (a) evaluate the extent to which ICANN Org has implemented each prior Directory Service Review recommendation (noting differences if any between recommended and implemented steps), (b) assess to the degree practical the extent to which implementation of each recommendation was effective in addressing the issue identified by the prior RT or generated additional information useful to management and evolution of WHOIS (RDS), and (c) determine if any specific measurable steps should be recommended to enhance results achieved through the prior RT's recommendations. This includes developing a framework to measure and assess the effectiveness of recommendations, and applying that approach to all areas of WHOIS originally assessed by the prior RT (as applicable).  RT1 Recommendations to be assessed by this subgroup:  Recommendation #11 - Common Interface	
Comments on Scope, further details	The first WHOIS RT recommended that "the Internic Service is overhauled to provide enhanced usability for consumers, including the display of full registrant data for all gTLD domain names (whether those gTLDs operate thin or thick WHOIS services); operational improvements should include enhanced promotion of the service to increase user awareness.	
Questions we will need to answer in assessing whether the objective has been reached	<ul> <li>has the creation and deployment of the WHOIS minisite at the direction of the board met this recommendation, considering the old Internic service still exists unchanged?</li> <li>does the minisite provide clear and reliable access to full registrant data for all gTLD domain names?</li> <li>what promotional efforts has ICANN undertaken to increase user awareness of the service?</li> <li>does the minisite provide clear instructions on how to notify ICANN, the sponsoring registrar and/or the registrant regarding data accuracy issues?</li> </ul>	

Estimate of comparative complexity of assessment (1=low, 5=high)	2
Estimate of comparative work load (1=low, 5=high)	2
Estimate of ideal subteam size (1-5 persons)	3-4
Work Space URL:	https://community.icann.org/display/WHO/WHOIS+Rec+%2311%3A+Common+Interface
Mailing List:	rds-whois2-comminterface@icann.org
Important Background Links:	It may be helpful to start from the list provided on your dedicated Work Space under "Background documents":  https://community.icann.org/display/WHO/WHOIS+Rec+%2311%3A+Common+Interface  • WHOIS Review Implementation Reports, including  • Executive Summary of Implementation Report  • Detailed implementation Report  • WHOIS Review Team Final Report (2012)  • WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2007) [HTML] and [PDF]  • WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2003)  • WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 4, 12, 13, 14  • WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 5, 8, 10, 11  • WHOIS1 Implementation Briefings on Recommendations 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 15, 16

## **Work Force Timeline**

• Refer to the RDS-WHOIS2 work plan for deadlines

Resources	
Requests for ICANN briefings	•
Requests for ICANN materials	•
Interviews to be conducted	•
Need for Independent Expert	<ul> <li>[Evaluate if independent expert is needed</li> <li>If independent expert is needed, subject to budget availability, develop and document         <ul> <li>Scope of work</li> <li>Skills and experience needed</li> <li>Timeline &amp; milestones</li> <li>Deliverables]</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Other resources (existing outside studies, articles)	•