

Adobe Connect: 8 Members

Cyntia King
Kathy Kleiman
Kurt Pritz
Lori Schulman

Michael R Graham
Philip Corwin
Rebecca L Tushnet
Susan Payne

On Audio only: none

Apologies:

J Scott Evans

Kristine Dorrain

Staff:

Ariel Liang
Julie Hedlund

Antonietta Mangiacotti
Berry Cobb
Terri Agnew

Terri Agnew: Welcome to the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) Sub Team for Data on Friday, 23 February 2018 at 17:00 UTC for 60 minute duration.

Terri Agnew: agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_mRa8B&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=gUfG6IUq7Mez5ZJNC1yctvfma_pXCXXky1baGWHsLIQ&s=uVQe4bA7GVc8Eya95Peg1vU-Ubs_Xe90AQZYbkN90&e=

Terri Agnew: Welcome Phil Corwin

Philip Corwin: TGIF

Kathy: Welcome Phil

Ariel Liang: Google Doc: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1EUXC03ccuYhRMa-5FX4hDCPrq88KkF6qBRkL6sCcNutoI_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=gUfG6IUq7Mez5ZJNC1yctvfma_pXCXXky1baGWHsLIQ&s=ZGYIIR8RdEJymDs9RG8jbZWc21iSEym6zFHuEY_u3mk&e=

Lori Schulman: Hi

Terri Agnew: Welcome Lori Schulman

Kathy: sounds like a good agenda.

Kathy: Hi Lori

Susan Payne: so, I think this is the final version of the Additional Marketplace RPMs Qs, categorised.

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_RARPMRIAGPWG_2017-2D10-2D04-2BReview-2Bof-2Ball-2BRights-2BProtection-2BMechanisms-2B-2528RPMs-2529-2Bin-2Ball-2BgTLDs-2BPDP-2BWG-3Fpreview-3D_71599699_71600621_Addl-2520Mktplace-2520RPMs-2520Questions-2520-2D-2520categorized-2520-2D-25204-2520Oct-25202017.pdf&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0Algn-H4xR2EBk&m=gUfG6IUq7Mez5ZJNC1yctvfma_pXCXXky1baGWHsLIQ&s=-M8A6wGClA17N7Zx0Ou5FkdTf8loBzc-E5I3WW73fno&e=

Susan Payne:when we get to this we could run through and identify whether any fall within scope for the survey

Lori Schulman:It was me. Sorry

Kathy:Tx for the link Susan

Susan Payne:If you can that would be great

Ariel Liang:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__docs.google.com_document_d_1EUXC03ccuYhRMA-5FX4hDCPrq88KkF6qBRkL6sCcNutoI_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpClgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIn-H4xR2EBk&m=gUfG6IUq7Mez5ZJNC1yctvfma_pXCXXky1baGWHsLIQ&s=ZGYIIR8RdEJymDs9RG8jbZWc21iSEym6zFHuEY_u3mk&e=

Lori Schulman:its hard to read the page numbers

Kathy:I have no idea where we are

Lori Schulman:Me too. Back and forth in views.

Ariel Liang:I synced the PDF on AC - page 26/27

Rebecca L Tushnet:No objection as long as it's available to the relevant people

Cyntia King:lol. I was on the page & it started moving up/down. Felt like my eyes were jiggling.

Philip Corwin:I agree. This is a survey on TM Claims. We are not surveying for URS or UDRP

Lori Schulman:Right, maybe "did you receive this notice" How did you respond?

Lori Schulman:Take the jargon out

Michael R Graham:@Kurt +1 -- Don't use "objection" but use "Notice"

Lori Schulman:@Michael - see my suggestion above

Michael R Graham:Change "Did you receive an objection" to "Did you receive a Trademark Claims Notice"

Cyntia King:+1 Kurt for "Notice"

Lori Schulman:There are a broad categories of registrants.

Lori Schulman:Agree that NamesCon is the most savvy venue for registrants

Lori Schulman:A claims notice is not an objection, isn't just a notice of a possibility of an objection

Cyntia King:I would prefer to ask if they received a "Notice", then ask what kind to see what they got. It may be that they perceive other communications as putting them off.

Rebecca L Tushnet:"Notice" is going to get them thinking about the renewal notice they get every year

Michael R Graham:@Cyntia -- Agree to ask: Did you receive a Notice? What sort of notice was it? What did it say/claim? Who was it sent by? Did you take action or respond in response? What was that action or response?

Rebecca L Tushnet:I get notices all the time about my domain names

Kathy:warning notice... protest... challenge...

Lori Schulman:warning is most accurate I think

Cyntia King:What do the providers call their notices?

Cyntia King:Perhaps we could use the verbiage the providers use in their nifications?

Rebecca L Tushnet:Warning or notice of a possible trademark conflict

Julie Hedlund:@Phil: Didn't mean to skip over you if that is a new hand.

Lori Schulman:Are we surveying those we know abandoned registrations after claims?

Cyntia King:@Lori - your mic is open

Lori Schulman:or is this a fishing expedition to a more general audience?

Terri Agnew:Phil's telephone is connected and unmuted on our side. @Phil, please check your mute

Michael R Graham:How about " Notice or Objection"?

Philip Corwin:I am muted

Michael R Graham:At some point we do need to ask if what they received was a Trademark Claims Notice or something else.

Kathy:@Michael, happily, column 3!

Kathy:Cues - I like that word :-)

Michael R Graham:@Kathy -- Roger that.

Lori Schulman:thanks Kathy

Susan Payne:should we finish with the overview of the table first

Susan Payne:I think we could deal with the AMRPMs in 5 mins or on email

Michael R Graham:@Kathy -- ANY and ALL of our potential survey subjects can be in multiple roles/characters.

Kathy:@Lori - you have certainly thought about this -- for years!

Kathy:Tx for writing the note!

Lori Schulman:Kathy - thz

Lori Schulman:thx

Lori Schulman:Very tough area to help NGO's. This generally is not on their radar.

Julie Hedlund:I will lose onnectivity for a few minutes. Apologies in advance.

Lori Schulman:If I were surveying NGO's, I would survey about awareness.

Lori Schulman:Not these specific RPM's

Kathy:@Michael - email sounds great

Kathy:@Michael - can you circulate that proposed deletion in the email???

Cyntia King::)

Kurt Pritz:Agree with Susan on Questions 1, 3 and 4 so far

Kathy:How else will we be able to collect the answers for these questions -- they seem quite related to our work.

Kathy:(current work, that is)

Lori Schulman:Agree about Scope

Kurt Pritz:Let's go on to Question 5, which might be more germane to our work.

Kathy:question 4 is germane.

Julie Hedlund:@All: Recognizing that we are over time so we'll try to wrap up soon.

Kathy:???

Kathy:What happens to those questions that don't get passed on?

Kathy:Have they been sent out already?

Lori Schulman:Some could fit in scope.

Lori Schulman:And if they do, we should add them.

Kathy:I didn't think so, but in the rush, I thought we could clarify. Tx for your work, Susan!

Kathy:Could we do private RPMs next week?

Kathy:Who will be at ICANN61?

Susan Payne:i will

Susan Payne:so will Kristine

Kurt Pritz:I am but am leaving Wednesday morning

Michael R Graham:I will be online

Kathy:Who's available next week?

Lori Schulman:Are staff writing the NGO note?

Kurt Pritz:We are a small group - we could have a pirate meeting!

Kathy:@Lori - I think you are writing the NGO note...?

Kathy:I'm happy to help!

Philip Corwin:I am available next Friday and do not leave San Juan until Friday the 16th

Lori Schulman:OK. That's why I asked.

Michael R Graham:Thanks!

Lori Schulman:I will draft and send to the list

Kathy:@Kurt - no remote access to pirate meetings :-(

Philip Corwin:Bye all

Lori Schulman:no worries

Kathy:Tx all!!