

24 Members

Anne Aikman-Scalese	Maxim Alzoba
Bruna Santos	Mike Rodenbaugh
Christa Taylor	Philip Corwin
Christopher Niemi	Quoc Pham
Gemma Keegan	Raymond Zylstra
Greg Shatan	Robin Gross
Jeff Neuman	Rubens Kuhl
Justine Chew	Samantha Demetriou
Karen Day	Sara Bockey
Kavouss Arasteh	Sarah Langstone
Kristina Rosette	Sophie Hey
Kurt Pritz	Tom Dale

Apologies:

Susan Payne
Alan Greenberg
Heather Forrest
Martin Sutton
Jim Prendergast
Cheryl Langdon-Orr

Staff:

Steve Chan
Julie Hedlund
Berry Cobb
Emily Barabas
Michelle DeSmyter

Adobe Connect chat transcript for Tuesday, 06 February 2018

Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG call on Tuesday, 06 February 2018 at 03:00 UTC

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_Jgu8B&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVz_gfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1C3-yWxJBdABOYp3_scCjaGGoUbckTq11xyUvBKdzu8&s=A0nY8s5xXe8RN_wT9eEBpXQ_sgUBk6nk5AJpPdhZ9L_k&e=

sarah 1:can hear you Jeff!

Jeff Neuman:Thanks Sarah. I see Kavouss is on Adobe. Have we

dialed him in?

Michelle DeSmyter:The operator will be joining him momentarily

Jeff Neuman:Should I test out the sound again?

Steve Chan:Go for it Jeff, although I could hear your fine

Michelle DeSmyter:the line sounds great!

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Julie

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Pls kindly advise to dial me at +822753 7788 room 1212

Michelle DeSmyter:Thank you Kavouuss, we are dialing you now

Jeff Neuman:We are going to start at 2 minutes past the hour (according to my clock)

Julie Hedlund:@Kavouss: Thank you. Michelle is handling your dial out with the operator.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):Hello All

Jeff Neuman:30 second warning

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Waiting for a dial out

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Tks awaiting to be dialed

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Michelle, did you advise to dial up pls

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Waiting for dial-out still and Jeff is cutting out on my Adobe

Jeff Neuman:I am cutting out for everyone?

Justine Chew:not me but voice was coming out choppy

Kavouss Arasteh 3:The voice is too low and difficult to understand

Michelle DeSmyter:I think your line sounds good

Steve Chan:I am dialed in and sounds good here

Justine Chew:yes, okay now.

Rubens Kuhl:I can hear you thine, Jeff. I'm on Adobe and not on the phone bridge, if that makes a difference.

Sara Bockey:No issues here. But Rubens was a bit choppy

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):I have the dial-out connected now.

Rubens Kuhl:(fine)

Emily Barabas:The phone line is working fine for me.

Kavouss Arasteh 3:No more than how many per year

Kavouss Arasteh 3:pls kindly repeat the no. per year

Steve Chan:@Kavouss, it was 5% per month actually (as recommended by the RSSAC)

Kavouss Arasteh 3:5%of what pls?

Rubens Kuhl:5% of the then current number of TLDs, so it is not linear, but a slow exponential growth.

Steve Chan:You can review the RSSAC's full response here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_download_attachments_58735967_RSSAC031-2520FINAL.pdf-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-3D1517582919000-26api-3Dv2&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_W

[hwIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1C3-yWxJBdABOYp3_scCjaGGoUbckTq1lxyUvBKdzu8&s=dfnGTs5VGM4nSwDbGXihxgeqJV2hxAz8gc46j2I_XKg&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1BN5aJEJ-2Dj57k0z0z2b4Smqaf6rRt6ahcidxqaubfQ_edit-23gid-3D1539509842&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1C3-yWxJBdABOYp3_scCjaGGoUbckTq1lxyUvBKdzu8&s=dfnGTs5VGM4nSwDbGXihxgeqJV2hxAz8gc46j2I_XKg&e=)

Jeff Neuman:5% growth per month in the number of TLDs

Jeff Neuman:so in month 0 the number of TLDs is 1200, it could grow to 1263 or so in Month 1, 1323 in month 2, etc.

Emily Barabas:Next WT2 call is February 8 at 21:00 UTC

Emily Barabas:Topic scheduled: Vertical Integration.

Rubens Kuhl:Provided we finish before January 2019, when this will repeat itself. ;-)

Steve Chan:WT5 is 7 Feb at 14:00 UTC

Steve Chan:Wednesday

Rubens Kuhl:LC is Wednesday I believe

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):FYI, the Wednesday WT5 call overlaps with the RySG call so WT5 may lose some folks after the first hour.

Bruna Santos:there's also a webinar on the history of Geographic names on feb 8th I believe

Rubens Kuhl:8 February 2018 - 19:00 UTC New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team -Work Track 5 - Webinar on the History of Geographic Names at the Top Level at ICANN

Steve Chan:Thanks Rubens, was in the middle of typing a similar note!

Rubens Kuhl:Which is different from WT5 call at 7 February 2018 - 14:00 UTC New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team - Track 5 - Geographic Names at the Top Level

Justine Chew:webinar vs call, got it.

Steve Chan:The document is unsynced as you will need to zoom in to review.

Steve Chan:Or you can review the Google doc here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1BN5aJEJ-2Dj57k0z0z2b4Smqaf6rRt6ahcidxqaubfQ_edit-23gid-3D1539509842&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw113mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwhehFBfjrsjWv9&m=1C3-yWxJBdABOYp3_scCjaGGoUbckTq1lxyUvBKdzu8&s=KRY-Ua_ycL1AytSHTvdnmBE-oykC--9Y8m4jJxwE7p0&e=

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):I have an example

Rubens Kuhl:In this use case, provided the Terms and Conditions are kept the same as before

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC): COMMENT: I am going to have to express reservations on this Predictability Framework since this entire question and various use cases were addressed by the Policy and Implementation Working Group. I know that Jeff feels there are changes that can be made by staff that are not covered

by the work product of the Policy & Implementation Working Group. Since the recommendations of that group were adopted by the GNSO and then also by the Board, I think that we should only be focusing on "internal operations change" that does not (theoretically) fall within any of the cases specified by the GNSO and the ICANN Board to come under "GNSO INput" or "Expedited PDP" or "PDP" In other words, those procedures already apply and we are duplicating. COMMENT

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@Jeff: please add this use case: Need to transfer contacts from Applicant to Registry in ICANN systems (or replacement with the Registry contacts) when RA executed (or right before the moment).in our case The RA was executed and ICANN was still trying to obtain approvals (such as passwords change, in situation where ICANN staff changed it "for our security") from the Applicant contact (consultant company) after RA execution.This created a deadlock (Applicant contact has no formal contract with the new Registry, and ICANN staff explicitly requests approvals from them, and not from RA , with whom ICANN has an agreement.Given that the passwords were changed without confirmation from Applicant or Registry)which we had to resolve by mentioning that ICANN effectively sabotages execution of our RA.it looks to be Revised Process

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Would the proposed revised NSP ToU fall in this category?

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@Michelle , please read my example, it is too early here an I can not us mic

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):*use

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):with correction "and not from RO"

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Maxim's comment/question should take priority over mine (as far as I'm concerned).

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@JEFF - The Policy and Implementtation Working Group determined after a lot of work that there is no way to define what is policy and what is implementation. We should not be duplicating that work and there is not nearly enough cross-reference going on in this discussion with the work already done and adopted by the GNSO and subsequently by the ICANN Board.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):OK, thanks.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@ Jeff - the whole purpose of the WG was to address issues that arise AFTER go live. We exxamined use cases just like these and that is why that framework developed.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):@Jeff - Please just ask Chuck Gomes

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):as I understand, we discuss processes between going live and execution of RA with the new Registries

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Also ask Marika - she was the ICANN policy person and Chuck Gomes led the WG

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):and processes specific to the next new gtld round, and not to other policies

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT: No one is looking at how the framework already adopted by the Board in relation to issues arising after the applications are accepted interacts with this so-called Predictability Framework.

Rubens Kuhl:On measuring position of impacted parties, the registry agreement amendment process has some useful precedents.

Rubens Kuhl:Defining where a change is supported or not by impacted parties.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):few year of approval/negotiations for annual process of RA ammendment

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT - which of your "use cases" already fall within the description of issues in relation to "GNSO Guidance", "GNSO Input", Expedited PDP, or PDP. The question that has to be asked is which of your use cases ALREADY fit within that Policy and Implementation framework already established.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Okay Jeff - so we are saying one through 3 do not fit there?

Rubens Kuhl:The change from digital archery to priority draw changed the balance among ICANN regions, so it's something that definitely should have gone to a community consultation.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):To say more - offshore applicants were added to EU region

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):even islands from ocean, which was far from Europe , and it changed balance for EU applicants

Rubens Kuhl:entire community in that case

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):+1

Trang Nguyen:How does the role of the ICANN CEO as the top executive with operational oversight and the role of the ICANN Board as an escalation path for ICANN org fit into this framework?

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Jeff, we need to examine and decide on the scope ,magnitude of change, the entity or entities requesting and submitting the chane, the threshold for accepting changes, the manner and means to examine those changes , justification for changes, implementation of changes and more importantly the impact of change i.e. retroactivity or otherwise of changes if and when implemented

Rubens Kuhl:Because it was not just the randomization, there was also a regional balance.

Rubens Kuhl:DA had a per region system.

Rubens Kuhl:Not able to speak anymore, late here.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):QUESTION: How do you determine whicch party is affected and how big the effect is? Who makes

that determination?

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):also regional balance was shifted due to addition of applicants from some offshore jurisdictions to EU

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):without geo reasons (tiny islands in ocean are not in EU region)

Anne Aikman-Scalèse (IPC):name collision definitely comes under the Policy & Implementation Working Group framework - at the very least GNSO Guidance.

Anne Aikman-Scalèse (IPC):COMMENT: Standing IRT is a GREAT idea!

Rubens Kuhl:Specifically on topics of security and stability, RSTEP could also be invoked.

Greg Shatan:Also support the Standing IRT.

Mike Rodenbaugh:I don't think a standing IRT sounds very realistic, since any of a myriad of issues could arise, so the team to evaluate them might need different skillsets.

Rubens Kuhl:The panel. The process would require some tweaks.

Trang Nguyen:Currently, org goes to the GNSO when we have policy questions. It seems if the recommendation is for org to go to an IRT instead, that the GNSO should weigh in on that recommendation.

Justine Chew:@Mike: composition of IRT can address that

Anne Aikman-Scalèse (IPC):@Trang - the way the IRT works, it brings issues to the attention of GNSO if needed.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):My concern is that the potential IRT panel members with the relevant skills are also most likely to be the folks who have an interest (financial, conflict of, etc.) in the panel's determination.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@Kristina, most probably

Mike Rodenbaugh:+1 Kristina. Maybe a standing IRT composed of subset of the GNSO Council might make most sense.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Mike - like that idea.

Justine Chew:and TOR for IRT should be specific

Rubens Kuhl:They being here. ;-)

Steve Chan:There could be a model were the GNSO Council members make decisions, but be supplemented with subject matter expertise as necessary?

Rubens Kuhl:IRT, not IRP

Greg Shatan:IRT = "Implementation Review Team"

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Thinking back to those Council as PDP days gives me a headache. Raises the issue, though, that having the IRT be subset of Council could overload a group of folks with extreme bandwidth issues to start with.

Mike Rodenbaugh:for sure

Anne Aikman-Scalèse (IPC):Disagree with Kavouss - this is exactly the best and highest use of the IRT

Mike Rodenbaugh:but I can't see a realistic vetting and selection process for such an IRT, outside of existing Council members, especially without knowing the specific issue(s) it might address

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT: ICANN should have a procedure for participants to identify when they should recuse themselves.

Greg Shatan:Acronym Collision

Greg Shatan:The IRT is typically a subset of the WG. Often those hardy enough to remain engaged....

Justine Chew 2:Every group should be subject to Conflict of Interest policy, if not already

Rubens Kuhl:In case of consensus-based groups like WGs, having published SOIs is considered enough.

Rubens Kuhl:But that assumes that less than the majority of members are non-conflicted.

Mike Rodenbaugh:remember that nearly half the Board recused itself from the NGPC

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):is request to extend history of text windows in Adobe Connect fall into minor changes (this tool might be used in the next round :)

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):*does

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Do not support "perception or appearance of a conflict" It disqualifies too

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Disqualifies too many people

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):and registrars too

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):the issue is - industry is not large, and excluding all experts with "perception of appearance of a conflict" will make it full of totally non-relevant persons into the team ... I doubt that outcome will be great

Mike Rodenbaugh:agreed, Maxim -- that is EXCTLY what happened with NGPC and it was a disaster, imho

Justine Chew 2:Where a member of group gets into a position of where a conflict of interest is reasonably likely to arise should recuse herself, and only in respect of a decision that can greatly influence the outcome? Just thinking out loud.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):and diversity requirement might help in finding balance (so , for example registries are outweighed by registrants e.t.c.)

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):I think a direct conflict could merit recusal. For example, there could be specific strings implicated in a particular issue . Direct conflict is different from general interest.

Greg Shatan:Steve, that group is intended for "Council-level" review and comment on the Budget. Each of the SGs and Cs also comment individually on the Budget. So I don't think it is

usable here.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Agree with Jeff here

Steve Chan:Actually, one comment I forgot to raise is that the Council is ultimately responsible for initiating the GNSO Expedited PDP and Guidance Process

Trang Nguyen:Also, as the issues are wide ranging, it would be important to ensure that the process allows for relevant expertise to be consulted (i.e., SSAC/RSSAC/other technical communities on technical issues).

Greg Shatan:@Steve, true, that is consistent with their policy management role.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):There was GAC advice that would have stopped certain applications from moving forward. The PIC process was developed to address that but GNSO definitely should have been consulted.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):and registrars, eventually.

Steve Chan:Sure Jeff, I'll grab your suggestion from the transcript.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Yes Jeff _ i think that is right

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):as I understand the current GDD Portal is intended to be used later by applicants (now used by Registries, and will be used by Registrars in the near future)

Greg Shatan:Now the call is interrupted....

Justine Chew 2:Steve Chan is speaking

Steve Chan:Maxim Alzoba(FAITID): @Jeff: please add this use case: Need to transfer contacts from Applicant to Registry in ICANN systems (or replacement with the Registry contacts) when RA executed (or right before the moment).in our case The RA was executed and ICANN was still trying to obtain approvals (such as passwords change, in situation where ICANN staff changed it "for our security") from the Applicant contact (consultant company) after RA execution.This created a deadlock (Applicant contact has no formal contract with the new Registry, and ICANN staff explicitly requests approvals from them, and not from RA , with whom ICANN has an agreement.Given that the passwords were changed without confirmation from Applicant or Registry)which we had to resolve by mentioning that ICANN effectively sabotages execution of our RA.it looks to be Revised Process

Steve Chan:That's easier than reading into the record!

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):with the correction "and not from RO "

Greg Shatan:Jeff the Magician....

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Great Job Jeff - COMMENT - please enter into use cases which ones already fit within GNSO Guidance, Input, Expedited PDP and PDP! COMMENT

Justine Chew 2:so comments should be made to the list?

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):COMMENT: The reality is that an

Initial Report reflects the work thus far and if there are differences of opinion, those have to be highlighted in order to get public input. COMMENT

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):I have to drop. Thanks everyone. Productive call.

Justine Chew 2:Bye

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Many thanks Jefff and everyone

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):bye all

Christa Taylor:Thanks