

---

CLAUDIA RUIZ: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Welcome to the LACRALO Governance Working Group call today on Thursday, February the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018 at 23:00 UTC.

The participants for this call today are Sergio Salinas Porto, Vanda Scartezini, Cristian Casas, Aida Noblia, David Plum, Antonio Media Gomez, and Hamzah Haji.

We do not have participants on the English channel.

From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, Heidi Ullrich; and myself, Claudia Ruiz. I will be monitoring the call for today. The interpreter for this call is Veronica.

I would like to remind you to please state your name before speaking, not only for the record, but also for the interpreters. With that, I would like to give the floor now to Sergio.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Claudia. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. Today we have a very tight agenda. We have one hour to work really hard. We will have an introduction by David Plum, our CBI consultant, representative and has been with us in the mediation process and the dispute resolution process that we are carrying out in LACRALO. So, part of this presentation we have today, what we are doing in this working group. So, without further ado, I would like to welcome David to this call. We're eager to hear you. We know that this

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

time is not suitable for you, but we are glad to see you on this call. So, David, welcome.

DAVID PLUM:

Thank you very much, Sergio. It's my pleasure to be here with you. You know me already. We have been working together. We were together in Los Angeles meeting last year. That was a very productive and interesting meeting. We will have another meeting in March. We will be in Puerto Rico. That will be on March the 14<sup>th</sup> [inaudible] and to continue with the effort that began last year in 2017.

I am now joining this call, at least at the very beginning of this call, because the content of the meeting that will be held in March has to do with a topic that you are dealing with now – governance topic. We want to take into account your input, the input coming from this working group and to deal with this topic and to have clearer proposals and defined proposals for LACRALO for further revision and approval.

So, one of the main objectives of the meeting that we will be holding with many of you – not all of you, but many of you – the idea of the meeting is to reach a good balance, to strike a good balance, among the stakeholders of the region from Latin America and the Caribbean region as well. Beforehand, I would like to apologize for those who will not be in the face-to-face meeting, but the idea today is to start working on the document. The document that we will be discussing in our meeting in Puerto Rico.

With Sergio, we had been talking about the activities of the working group and the idea of creating input for the working group or for the

---

group of people that will meet in Puerto Rico. Sergio, would you like to comment about that timeline that we are planning to get the Puerto Rico meeting, so as to have good content and good topics to deal with? We will be joining or having a meeting for two days. Sergio, would you like to make any comment about this timeline and how everything will be dealt with? you have the floor.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, David. I have a very tight timeline to be used these days. February is a very important month, a very crucial month, for this working group because since we will have this meeting in Puerto Rico, we will have to finish – I mean, we will have to have the amendments already finished. We also need to work with a timeline. I'm going to post that information now for you to see.

Basically, taking into account the initial document that was sent by the mediation group, I can say that we have addressed most of the hot topics and topics that were controversial to us and we were able to solve those issues in a friendly manner. We have been working very hard on this regard.

There are only two topics standing, one that we will need to finish today or at least approve the topic today. I know that many of you are really interested in the metrics work and we have to finish with that. We need to finish with the document and to send this document for translation in order to circulate that document to the region.

The other topic that we need to address are the operating principles. This is a big issue, a big topic, that we need to address. The other topic

---

is the LACRALO board. Most of the participants here today might believe that it is important to have enough room for people taking responsibility of their roles. Today is February the 1<sup>st</sup>, so we will start by discussing the topic of the LACRALO board that is the preliminary report or draft document. I drafted a very preliminary document and I sent that document to Alberto for him to read, and this was just to have the starting point for our discussion.

So, if we can work between today and February the 8<sup>th</sup>, which is next week, if we can define the LACRALO board topic, we will have to create a brief, a small working group with two or three participants for them to draft the document with all the topics that we have been dealing with. There are general topics or general items that are already agreed and that will not be changed based on the primary principles, but there are some others that we need to change, that we need to modify, because they have a different rationale. So, we will have one week to draft this document. I think that would be enough time. So, if we meet a couple of times during the week, we will be able to finish with that. And once this is over, we can present that information to this working group on February, the 21<sup>st</sup>, so that we can finish discussing the document that will be previously circulated among the participants of the governance working group so that we can read it and study the document.

All the amendments or modifications will be done on February the 21<sup>st</sup>, and February the 28<sup>th</sup> we will be circulating the document and then we will send the document for translation during March so that we can send the information to people traveling to Puerto Rico. That would be the timeline. It is a tight timeline, but we need to define this issue of the

---

LACRALO board, so that we can have all the topics that we discussed in Los Angeles last year.

So, this is the timeline. So, February the 1<sup>st</sup>, this is today. Today we will start discussing the LACRALO board. We can finish with that topic next week. On February the 15<sup>th</sup>, we will be presenting the preliminary document of the operating principles. On February the 21<sup>st</sup>, we will be discussing the document and then we will send that to translation. And between February the 28<sup>th</sup> and March the 7<sup>th</sup>, that would be enough time to send the information to the rest of the participants. Is that clear to you? Is the timeline clear?

DAVID PLUM:

Sergio, if I may. Thank you very much for the information. I believe it is really clear. To be very precise, in Puerto Rico, the document that we would like to address, the governance and metrics document and the operating principles document Sergio is talking about. Based on that, we will have to take the most important topics so that we can provide recommendations for these principles and to make the most of this time that we will have during the meeting. Perhaps we can address other topics, so as to provide interesting solutions. So, we will have two documents and we will be anticipating some of the challenges for the creation of a more concrete rules, if you will.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Okay, David, thank you. I have one question. Would you rather our not sending the document to the region until we discuss that document in Puerto Rico or can we circulate the document to the region as the

---

report that we have already been discussing, and as a result of the final result or deliverable of this working group?

DAVID PLUM:

Well, I believe that – I need to think about this. But, I would like to say two things. One is that I imagine that the metrics document is closely related to the operating principle document and this would be closely related to the rules and regulations. In that sense, I would offer that as a set of documents. That is quite logical for me. That is my first idea.

On the other hand, if we are able to have some feedback from other participants before getting to the face-to-face meeting in Puerto Rico, that would also be good. So, we have to think about this. Perhaps you might have another point of view, but the reason for not sending the document right now is the idea is to send a set of documents that needs to be rearranged and that needs to have closer relationship among them.

On the other hand, I see [inaudible] some benefits in also getting some input or feedback before the meeting in Puerto Rico. That's why I cannot make up my mind right now about your question.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Thank you very much, David, for your reply. So, I propose this and I will give the floor to Alberto Soto. So, I propose this. I propose that, if possible, we will try to see the strategy that we will use for this – I mean, we were thinking about sending this information because that would be a clear sign of our activity. But, this does not mean that we

---

will be able to present this information if there is any inconvenience. Perhaps we can discuss the document in a wider environment, in a wider and more diverse environment.

So, please, pay attention to the tactics that we will be using. Perhaps in Argentina we say that you can look at a tree or you can pay attention to the whole forest. So, in this case, you have one point of view. You have a general overview of everything. So, please, let us know what are the best strategies for us would be.

Alberto, you have the floor. Go ahead, please.

ALBERTO SOTO:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. Welcome, David. I'm sorry for being late, but I am in the middle of a farm, so my connection is not really good.

I heard what you said about metrics. The issue of metrics in LACRALO was always well discussed among all the members and it had great participation for all members, especially members from the Caribbean region who worked really hard on the metrics that we have today. And we have been working on this for more than three years.

You said we have to present a set of documents and I insisted on the fact that metrics should be presented right now as soon as possible because in ALAC, within ICANN, they are talking about [inaudible] issues for the GAs that will restrict somehow the possibility of traveling, at least for some of the members of the RALO. I know that to determine

---

who will be attending, we need our [inaudible] beforehand to see who participated actively.

So, in this opportunity, we said that and [inaudible], for example, agreed on that. So, I don't see what is the reason for not presenting this document right now. I mean, I was the one working on the metrics document as an ALAC member and I know that there are other RALOs working on the same issue because the idea is to send people who should go and to avoid what happened in our previous, in our last GA in London. We had to work really hard with ATLAS II, but many people who attended the meeting never participated. And they didn't participate there. They were just on holidays. So, those actively participating will continue participating actively. That's why we created the metrics.

We have another reason. Pay attention to the participants that we have today in this meeting. We should have many more participants, and we have low participation in monthly meetings and in these type of meetings.

So, the creation of these metrics is a drive for the RALO members to actively participate, but the main reason for this is for us to have a record so that we can decide who will be attending to the meetings and who will not be attending to the meetings because of a lack of budget. Thank you.



---

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Alberto, for putting forth your point of view. David, I don't know if you have heard about this and I don't know what your opinion is.

DAVID PLUM: Thank you very much, Alberto, for your comment and nice hearing you. I believe your argument is very logical. If you believe that metrics alone are really useful, well, let's take this opportunity because the document is ready and let's circulate the document and let's say these are the metrics that we need to take into account. As I said before, this will give us the advantage of receiving some input and feedback and we can revise those inputs and feedback [inaudible] once we're in the meeting.

As I said before, I don't have a strong opinion, but if you really believe that it would be better to circulate the information right now, okay let's do that so that we can have input for our meeting.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, David. If you agree, we can discuss the metrics document. We can review this document to see if everything is okay and to provide [inaudible] to start, so that document can be sent for translation. And once the document is translated, we can circulate the document to the region for the region to discuss the document and to see if we are going to approve or not this document.

What do you think? I see Vanda with a green tick. I see Aida; she says okay. Alberto, can you please state your point of view? Cristian? Alberto

---

agrees with the decision. Okay, Antonio, what do you think? Okay, Alberto, you have the floor. Go ahead, please.

ALBERTO SOTO:

I want to make a brief comment. I see my messages are duplicated. I don't know if it is my Internet provider, but the Adobe Connect in my case is really slow. I'm not crazy. You see my message twice because the message didn't appear the first time I had the message on the screen, so sorry for that.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

So, if you agree, I can ask Silvia to read the document. This is a one-page document. The most important thing are the topics that you see. Silvia, if you could be so kind so as to read or Claudia, any of you, could you please read the document? I would appreciate that. If there is any disagreement, please let us know because once we close this topic, we will send the document for translation.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

This is active participation metrics in LACRALO project. The LACRALO Governance Working Group gives or provides this work on active participation to the region. The objective of creating these metrics is to promote and motivation active participation of ALSes duly accredited before the At-Large community for them to participate in the debate and [inaudible] important topics within ICANN, and therefore they are important for Internet end users.

---

The criteria listed in this draft document for participation and engagement indicators are [intended to] monthly meetings be [participated] in a working group at all levels – LACRALO, ALAC, and ICANN – and see participation in mailing lists. Until otherwise defined, the [inaudible] the chair and the secretary of LACRALO will be responsible for creating the participation tables. The participation of any ALS member is valid for metrics.

LACRALO will use the following criteria and [thresholds] to measure an ALS participation within other regions and activities in At-Large. One, active participation of an ALS means the participation in (a) at least 50% of meetings, teleconferences, during one calendar year (b) participation in at least one webinar during one calendar year (c) to have at least one member of the ALS working on LACRALO, ALAC, ICANN or cross-community working group within the last calendar year being considered.

The chair and the secretary shall have the responsibility of keeping regular contact with ALSes that are not participating and they shall record this contact. [inaudible] have the objective of maintaining the inclusive criteria in order to avoid decertification and increase participation.

In case an ALS member should not meet the minimum active participation criteria set forth in these standardized participation rules for LACRALO, the chair after 30 days of notification may change the status of the ALS to inactive status without the right to vote or to access membership benefits and they should not be able to apply for funding for a representative to attend ICANN meetings.

---

The same criteria shall be applied for ALSes in applying for the CROP program. This process should be well-documented in the Wiki page. The ALS shall be notified of this change and to have the opportunity to challenge this change.

This is all. Thank you. You have the floor.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Silvia. I would like to know and I would like you to be very active. Please, if you agree with the document indicate that with a green tick, or indicate so in the chat, so that I can record your opinion. Alberto says yes. Antonio says he agrees. Vanda agrees. Cristian Casas, can you please state your opinion? Aida Noblia says she agrees. Okay, so participants do agree, so this document will be sent to translation immediately. So, Claudia, Silvia, please send this document for translation so that we can then send the document to the LACRALO community as soon as possible.

I don't really know the time – I mean, I don't know the procedure. So, Silvia, can you please help me with that? Once this document is translated, what happens?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Well, that depends on the type of document. Once the document is translated, if you want to submit that information to the whole LACRALO community, one possibility would be to have a call or perhaps you can present that document or introduce the document in the LACRALO monthly meeting or in ad hoc call. You could also open the

---

document for public comment for all the community who are not able, or for members who are not able to read the document.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. Thank you, Silvia. Before giving you the floor, Alberto, my suggestion would be not to have an ad hoc meeting or to have an ad hoc meeting between the monthly meeting and our meeting. But, this means ... Oh, we have a meeting in February. So, I believe that we can introduce this document in the LACRALO monthly meeting because there we have many participants. And if we agree with that, if any of you would like to make any comment or provide feedback, this will remain open. But, of course, this cannot be open forever. So, we will have to provide a fixed period of time to get feedback.

Alberto, you have the floor. Go ahead, please.

ALBERTO SOTO: It's okay. Perhaps we can in our next LACRALO meeting devote some minutes to the document, and based on that, we can put the document for public comment for 15 days, and after those 15 days, we will have one week to analyze and see the changes, and after one week or after two weeks, we will have one week for the working group to analyze the changes. Then we will have one week and the document will be posted for votes. So, we will be okay for the Puerto Rico meeting.

On the other hand, I would like to say something to David. We are not asking for weird things. Within the working group in the last meeting, we had Carlton Samuels and he agreed with that. Why? Because Carlton

---

and I are the ones working in different working groups, and in my case, I work in ALAC – Carlton is not an ALAC member right now.

So, we have been working for at least 60 monthly hours. I know there are ALAC members working individually on this topic, so we have 60 hours in a month. With these metrics, what we are requesting is that an organization should devote at least 15 hours per year so as to consider that organization an active participant.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Alberto. I'm really happy to finish with this topic and I think that we should continue with the other item on the agenda and that is the LACRALO board. But, before closing this item, I would like to ask Vanda a question. I would like to ask her if she could communicate with Dev, not only because of the GA – I don't know if Dev was able to provide some feedback. Vanda, please go ahead.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Hello. I think Dev is on holiday. He was not on the Outreach Working Group, so I believe he is on holiday right now. He didn't answer my message. But, I believe that we can continue working on that. But, I believe that the information is ready, but since this was not based on consensus, I believe that we need to be very aware and very cautious with the expressions that we use.

Next week, I will send a final version in Spanish and English so that the group can have the information and read that information.

---

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Vanda, for your comment. Now, once we finish discussing the LACRALO board item, I would ask you to create a small working group so that we can draft the operating principles, so Vanda, Alberto, and myself – we could gather together and we could finish this. Do you agree with that? If you have enough time, it would be okay to have a small group. Okay, thank you, Vanda, for your reply. Alberto, today we were talking about the LACRALO board, so I would like to give you the floor to speak about that.

ALBERTO SOTO: Okay. The issue is this. We were working with Sergio. We were working together. Today I was not able to find the Governance Working Group Wiki page. I believe there are some problems to publish that information, because in the Governance Working Group, there is a discussion of some other topics that are not related to what we are discussing right now. So, sometimes it gets a bit complicated. Perhaps, we can get some help to see how we can publish this information in only one link. Perhaps we can create another Wiki page so that I can publish the information that is already ready.

The LACRALO positions, this is the chair, the vice chair, the secretary, the vice secretary and ALAC members. Sergio, what was the name that we gave to that? The alternate ALAC member? Okay.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, that's correct. That's the name.

---

ALBERTO SOTO: Hello, can you hear me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, Alberto, go ahead. We can hear you.

ALBERTO SOTO: Okay. So, now what we are doing is just adding the figure of the LACRALO vice chair. We had some cases in other RALOs where this figure already existed and this was really useful because when changing the position – for example, the Asia-Pacific region – when there was a change, the vice chair had to take the role of the chair and there was no problem because that vice chair was attending meetings and he was attending all face-to-face meetings. There was no problem for the RALO in that situation.

So, what we are proposing is this. If there is any issue, any temporary issue or problem, the vice chair might take the position of the chair for a short period of time. And in case there is a severe issue – for example, the chair dies or he gets ill, the vice chair should take the position of the chair until the end of the term until elections are being held. So, this same considerations will be applied to the secretariat – the vice secretary. ALAC members will remain the same. The difference between – sorry, in terms of chair and vice chair, the duration of the term will be three years and it will be the possibility of being reelected only once. So, the whole term would be four years as chair or vice chair.

When it comes to ALAC members, there are no modifications, no changes. We will only have an alternate ALAC member and the



---

conditions are the same. When it comes to the LACRALO board, this will be composed by all the members I mentioned before. We have to discuss it further to see if we're adding someone else. Perhaps we might add two other members elected among the LACRALO or ALSes. That group of people would be the LACRALO board. Of course, this is just a proposal.

We will have to discuss this. This has nothing to do with the [Mentors] Council. The [Mentors] Council is focused on mentoring. It has to do with administrative issues. So, these members – the chair and the secretariat – would be the chairs of the working groups. They would be the head of the working groups. There are some issues that needs to be addressed within a smaller group without of course taking any decisions, but those topics that are necessary should be addressed with a smaller group.

So, there are really complicated things that cannot be just presented to the RALOs as it is because they need further explanation. So, I believe that is my summary. That is what I wanted to say.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO:

Thank you very much, Alberto, for the head's up. We only have just ten minutes in our call, so I would like to say some comments. Perhaps, in terms of the board, I remember that [inaudible] the chair and vice chair, secretary and vice secretary, to alternate ALAC members. So, we're talking about eight people.

It might be the case the if we think about a vice chair acting as a secretary, if the secretary is not able to act, I believe that would make

---

more sense. And instead of having two ALAC members, perhaps we can appoint an alternate ALAC member so that we can work on ALAC - related topics.

I don't know if this idea might fix or might help, but I believe that one of the discussions that we had with some colleagues in the Caribbean region is that there was a [inaudible] issue.

And in terms of the LACRALO board, I would also request the opinion of other members. I know there are only two or three working groups, so these would be the official working groups and we will have three other people. We would also have a person representing the [inaudible] Working Group or we may just [inaudible]. In that case, we would have three instead of having four. Well, I'm just thinking out loud. I don't know what you think about this. Have we got any questions or any comments? Vanda, go ahead, please. Vanda, can you hear me? Go ahead, please. Vanda, you are muted.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Can you hear me?

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Yes, go ahead, please.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Well, I don't have any strong positions in terms of who might be part of this. I believe that it is a very good and simple idea. What Sergio is suggesting, what Alberto is suggesting, I believe these proposals are

---

really interesting, but I cannot tell which proposal would be better. I need some time to think about this and we need to take some time to think about this and understand how this would work so as to be able to decide how the composition would be.

So far, what I can say is that this is a very good idea. Now, how the composition? Well, I cannot express my opinion right now because I need some time to think about this. Thank you.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you, Vanda. And I would suggest something. I don't know why my Adobe Connect screen disappeared, but while I reconnect myself, I will speak.

Okay, look, I propose this. There is a draft, a very preliminary draft. We have been discussing the document with Alberto and Alberto has the document in his hand. So, I propose to redraft this document. If it is necessary, we can start from scratch. But, we need to discuss this quite quickly because we need to have—

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Alberto already sent the document.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay, great. So, if Alberto has already circulated the document, what I will do or say is this. Based on this document, let's work. We have one week to work. Silvia has just told me that on the 8<sup>th</sup>, this is our meeting

---

– the day of our meeting – we will have a report on the [inaudible] Council activity. Sorry, we will have a call.

So, my question is: what is the most appropriate time for you if it is not 23:00 UTC? Please take into account that I don't have access to the AC room.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Alberto has his hand up.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. Alberto, you have the floor. Go ahead, please.

ALBERTO SOTO: When it comes to times, I have no problems. I'm just waiting for surgery. But, if there is any change, I will let you know. As I said at the very beginning, we had some problems in accessing documents. They're all in the same place. If you agree, I can open a new Wiki page [inaudible] LACRALO board or LACRALO leadership proposal. Of course, we are talking about a proposal – so that we can put our opinions there, because this is something urgent that we need to read. But, in order to discuss this, opinions should be on the Wiki page. So, I can open a Wiki page with a name that you choose so that we can put the information there, so that we can send the link so the document can be easily accessible.

---

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Alberto. Let's take that into account. Please go ahead with that to create the Wiki page. I see Silvia already on the AC room. I see Silvia with her hand up.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Thank you very much, Sergio. Alberto, what I proposed some I'm not sure ago in the chat is this. Since the Governance wiki page is the main page of all the works and draft documents of this working group, what we can do is to create a child page within that Wiki page. So, that would be a dependent page with a title of your choice so that you can publish all the draft documents that you are mentioning. But, I would recommend to keep everything within the main page of this working group because these documents were generated and created by this working group. We have the Council of Elders was created within this working group, but then it developed to be an independent working group. That's why the Council of Elders is not contained here. If you wish, I can create a child page, so that you can post all the necessary information.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Thank you very much, Silvia. Alberto, you have the floor.

ALBERTO SOTO: Okay, great. Silvia, that's a good idea to create that child page so that we can circulate a link. Sergio, since we have been working about general leadership – I mean, we were talking about the chair, the vice chair, ALAC members and the LACRALO board. Should we include all this

---

information all together, the [inaudible] changes, the LACRALO board, so that we can discuss all the topics together? What do you think about this? So, we have to discuss this and see what we do.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Sergio dropped, so can you please redial him?

ALBERTO SOTO: Can you hear me or did I drop?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Yes, Alberto, we can hear you. The echo is now gone.

ALBERTO SOTO: I know Sergio dropped.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: I'm back.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay, Sergio, go ahead.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: I didn't hear Alberto's final comment.

---

ALBERTO SOTO: On the same document, we speak about the chair, vice chair, secretary, and vice secretary, and ALAC members and the LACRALO board. So, Silvia will open a child page. I propose a title for this Wiki page or the title page and there should be changes to the LACRALO leadership so that all comments might be posted there because we have been talking about this.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay, I do agree with you. I believe that within one week we will be able to move forward so that we can finish with the document. I suggest opening the child page and posting information there, and then lets send this document to be translated so that we can continue with our activities. It should be a brief document with clear metrics with plain language, so that we can start working on the main issue or the main topic, these are the rules of procedures. I know that would be hard work to do because we need to think about the procedures to implement all these rules.

We are almost at the top of the hour. We only have two minutes, so I would like to know if there is someone who would like to make a comment or a question. If not ... Vanda, please go ahead please.

VANDA SCARTEZINI: Just a brief comment. Let's pay attention to this proposal. Alberto, you, Sergio and I will be working, so I would like to create a closed working group so that we can communicate by e-mail because we need to very close follow-up of a proposal. So, we need to have something calling our attention, something for us.

SERGIO SALINAS PORTO: Okay. Okay, we will do that. I would like to thank David Plum for his participation and his willingness to work with us. I would also like to thank you all for your participation. With that, I would like to finish this call. Tomorrow I will be conducting via WhatsApp with Alberto and Vanda so that we can tune up some of the topics. I will discuss with Silvia Vivanco – the proposals made by Silvia in relation to the Wiki page, so that we can start working, so that we can finish with our goal and so that we can get to the Puerto Rico meeting with this document already finished. Thank you very much for your participation. Have a nice rest of the day.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]