Adobe Connect: 52 Members

Abdullah Alrubaan	Delia Belciu	Liz Williams
Adrian Carballo - LACRALO	Demi Getschko	Marita Moll
Alan Greenberg	Elsa Saade	Martin Sutton - WT5 co-lead
Alexander Schubert	Francis Olivier (GAC Burundi)	Matthew Johnson
Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO	Goma Serge Parfait	Mirjana Tasic .rs
Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5	Greg Shatan	Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5
Avri Doria	GZ Kabir	Paul McGrady
Aziz HILALI	Hadia Elminiawi	Renata Aquino Ribeiro
Barrack Otieno ccNSO (AFTLD)	Heather Forrest	Robin Gross
Bruna Santos	Jaap Akkerhuis	Rosalía Morales
Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO	Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC)	Sophie Hey
Carlos Gutierrez	Jeff Neuman	Statton Hammock
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair)	John Rodriguez	Susan Anthony
Chris Casavale - IPC	Juan Manuel Rojas - NPOC	Susan Payne GNSO/IPC
Christa Taylor	Justine Chew	Svitlana Tkachenko
Christopher Wilkinson	Kavouss Arasteh	Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC)
Cole Quinn, Microsoft/BRG	Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry)	Timothy Asiedu
		Yrjö Länsipuro

Observer: Milagros Castañón

On Audio only:

Dessalegn Mequanint Yehuala	Bram Fudzulani

Apologies:

Katrin Ohlmer	Sara Bockey	Darcy Southwell
Kan Kaili,	Jim Prendergast	Thongchai Sangsiri
		Maureen Hilyard

Staff:

Emily Barabas	Nanig Mehranian	Berry Cobb

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic Names at the Top Level on Wednesday, 21 February 2018 at 20:00 UTC for 90 minutes.

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_rQK8B&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=cyHtkNzgsni459MhQkgF6Mm_yIdoJ8q2zFQ30MVepoc&s=whTm84Cn7KOvbVk9dHGX5aaHtEgKIesSK8WxXoh9rn4&e=

Timothy Asiedu:Hello Everyone.

Rosalía Morales:Hi Everyone!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):Hello all.

Svitlana Tkachenko:Hello everyone!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Hi all!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):hi

Matthew Johnson:Hi everyone

Elsa Saade:Hey all!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):hi E

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:hello all can you do a dial up to me? + 54 11 4819 7979

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:I cannot access the phone bridge

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):Else sorry for just the E

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:thanks

Elsa Saade:No worries! good to be on the call :)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):ðŸ˜Š

Juan Manuel Rojas - NPOC:Good afternoon/evening to everyone!

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:Hi all!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):hello to all

Bruna Santos:Hello all.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):thx

Hadia Elminiawi:hello

Emily Barabas:One moment, getting the information

Emily Barabas:Applications for strings that are country or territory names will not be approved, as they are not available under the New gTLD Program in this application round. A string shall be considered to be a country or territory name if:i. it is an alpha-3 code listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard.ii. it is a long-form name listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard, or a translation of the long-form name in any language.iii. it is a short-form name listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard, or a translation of the short-form name in any language.iv. it is the short- or long-form name association

with a code that has been designated as "exceptionally reserved" by the ISO 3166 Maintenance Agency.v. it is a separable component of a country name designated on the "Separable Country Names List," or is a translation of a name appearing on the list, in any language. See the Annex at the end of this module.

Emily Barabas:it is a permutation or transposition of any of the names included in items (i) through (v). Permutations include removal of spaces, insertion of punctuation, and addition or removal of grammatical articles like "the." A transposition is considered a change in the sequence of the long or short–form name, for example, "RepublicCzech" or "IslandsCayman."vii. it is a name by which a country is commonly known, as demonstrated by evidence that the country is recognized by that name by an intergovernmental or treaty organization.

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:Alan makes a good point, we should try to find examples

milagros castañon:I believe each country the permutation would be applied, should be asked

Paul McGrady:Was this an actual problem in the last round, or are we trying to identify a hypothetical problem to fix?

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:rio de la plata

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):The issue is really with transpositions and permutations of 3 characters on the ISO list.

Alan Greenberg:@PAul, *THAT* is the question.

Emily Barabas:The text I posted above is from the 2012 AGB section 2.2.1.4.1 Treatment of Country or Territory Names

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:I think Emily's explanation that permutations can include removal of spaces, deletion of grammatical articles etc means itprobably does make sense to conside some of those terms geographic.

Alan Greenberg:The list above includes the long and short forms of the names and the ISO strings.

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Paul, the main source of conflicts inthe first round came from those names which are NOT in any list like Patagonia Amazon, or others wihch have different meanings city name and a generic like SPA

Justine Chew:t is a permutation or transposition of any of the names included in items (i) through (v). Permutations include removal of spaces, insertion of punctuation, and addition or removal of grammatical articles like "the." A transposition is considered a change in the sequence of the long or short–form name, for example, "RepublicCzech" or "IslandsCayman."vii. it is a name by which a country is commonly known, as demonstrated by evidence that the country is recognized by that name by an intergovernmental or treaty organization

Terri Agnew:finding the line

Kavouss Arasteh:there is some distortion

Kavouss Arasteh:Yes we need examples

Justine Chew:Someone brought up the example of NER before ?

Emily Barabas:Nick Wenban-Smith mentioned this example on the last call: NER is the alpha 3 for Niger, but .REN is a new gTLD under the 2012 round.

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:We discussed 3 letter codes last week and staff confirmed that they had not interpreted this provision in a way that would allow re-ordering of 3 letter codes. which makes complete sense

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:I agree, Susan

Emily Barabas:(three letter transposition)

Steve Chan:In line with other comments, Short Form does not refer to the alpha-3 code

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):Right, just want to make sure we are clear

Kavouss Arasteh:Whar are those geo name which are not covered by ISO 3166

Elsa Saade:some territories are not represented as a "sovereign government" that could possibly have representation in GAC for instance

Elsa Saade:Western Sahara for instance

Paul McGrady:Absent a real, identified problem from the last round related to the juxtaposition of the elements of a country name, I see no upside in expanding the list of banned words.

Kavouss Arasteh:WHAT ARE THOSE .eITHER WE HAVE COUNTRIES OR INDEPENDENT TERRITORIES . PLS GIVE AN EXAMPLE

Kavouss Arasteh:Sorry for CAP

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:absolutely Heather, I think we agreed that interpretation of (a) last call

Elsa Saade:there are territories that are "contested" and the example of Western Sahara is a good one I'd say

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:The way it is written should be clearer

Heather Forrest:Thank you Susan - I am catching up having missed the last call whilst travelling, so appreciate hearing that a) is a question about the scope of what we discuss here, rather than a conclusion as to what should 'validly' receive special treatment.

Heather Forrest:I do agree with Annebeth, that a) is perhaps misleading

Heather Forrest:Thanks Martin for your explantaion

Heather Forrest:I apologise, I need to drop off AC room now but will remain on the phone bridge for the duration of the meeting

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:I recommend all to read the text in 2.2.1.4.1 and see what point vi) refers to

Paul McGrady:@Alan - agree.

Alexander Schubert 2:Agreeing with Alan!

Alan Greenberg:I think we have just had the discussion on benefits and harms!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:.est is the 3-letter code for Estonia

Yrjö Länsipuro:EST is the 3-letter code for Estonia

Paul McGrady:Both examples Alexander mentions are problems with over-banning, correct? If there are no actual examples of under-banning, we do not want to be in the business of banning any terms which could possibly cause a problem rather than just having a transparent and proeictable process to deal with it in objections.

Christopher Wilkinson:@Paul McGrady: I doubt that the experience of the previous round is relevant. This time, the main Geo-name thrust is likely to be IDN and sub-national entities or regional entities.for which the discussion has not begun. CW

Kavouss Arasteh:We must mention the issue quite clearly to avoid misunderstanding

Paul McGrady:@Christopher, without looking the last round, we are stuck with just imagining problems which may or may not exist. I think looking to the last round is the best (only?) place to identify real world problems.

jaap akkerhuis:Google told that it was a mistake and they didnlt actually wanted to apply for these codes

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:I do not think that this was the meaning, Martin

Goma Serge Parfait:can you make it more clear

Steve Chan:As an example, if you look at point ii. it is a long-form name listed in the ISO 3166-1 standard, or a translation of the long-form name in any language.

Steve Chan:it notes "in any language"

Justine Chew:translation is mentioned in (ii) long-form name and (iii) short-form name

Kavouss Arasteh:yes , once again we must be quite clear avoiding confusion thus examples are helping

Steve Chan:And indeed, what Justine also added

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):back in AC now, lost network connection for a while, so only had audio

Rosalía Morales:I consider this category relevant

Christopher Wilkinson:@Justine: ¿Does translation cover which scripts?

Alan Greenberg:I am comfortable with this one.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):ðŸ •

Justine Chew:@Christopher, as Steve said, "translation in any language". That would include scripts, doesn't it?

Alan Greenberg:Cheryl, not sure what you typed but just shows up as a box with darker top and bottom lines on my system (Windows desktop with Firefox)

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):yes CLO, dont know what you typed.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Alan, this is what should be done later, but it is obviously a geographical name

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:africa was not prohibited. it required consent

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:No, Alan, they were forbidden

Rosalía Morales:At this point we would all agree its a geographical term

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Yes

Robin Gross:It is an important distinction: something requiring comsent is not quite the same as prohibiting

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Agree, Robin, but in 2012 countries & territories in 2.2.1.4.1 were prohibited

Paul McGrady:I'm trying to understand this. Is the idea that .emeraldisle and .unclesam would be banned? Or would the banned list be more narrow than that?

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Paul, only names of countries according to ISO 3166, se 2.2.1.4.1 in AGB 2012

Marita Moll:We can't apply for Canada?? Is there a short explanation. I must have missed it

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:We are now talking about what were the rules in AGB 2012.

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:Concerning 2.2.1.4.1 vii) name by which a country is commonly known - in my view the answer to the question a) is yes it is a valid georgrphvic term

Emily Barabas:@Marita: here is a link to the 2012 Applicant Guidebook: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__newgtlds.icann.org_en_applicants_agb&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=cyHtkNzgsni459MhQkgF6Mm_yIdoJ8q2zFQ30MVepoc&s=szJdvirPNBGIptaDTt3-JLc3fWh9HsM3mfgOG2Nl6T0&e=

Emily Barabas:@Marita, please see Section 2.2.1.4.1 for the text we are currently discussing

Rosalía Morales:+1 Anne-Catherin

Paul McGrady:@Susan - thanks for this.

Alan Greenberg:There could not be!!

Alan Greenberg:SInce it was not allowed, no one applied.

Steve Chan:Martin, I have my hand up as well.

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:Agree with Susan - first we should decide wehter it falls under the definiton / is it a valid term, and then go on to discuss what / how to deal with it

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):yes

Martin Sutton - WT5 co-lead:sorry Steve, adding you to the q

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):like my "Territory": Puerto Rico (PR)

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:+1 Annebeth

Goma Serge Parfait:but what can be te case of congo bassin?

Robin Gross:Agree that we must focus on what falls under the definition of geo term for these purposes first.

Goma Serge Parfait:is like not a country or a state

Goma Serge Parfait:is not a country

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:Thank you for clearifying this, Annebeth - I wil lreapet that in my view this is clearly geographic term

Alan Greenberg:Generic is in DNS terms, anything other than ccTLDs.

Robin Gross:I agree, Alan.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro:Is there a strict definition of treaty organization one can consult?

Alan Greenberg:IDN ccTLDs have obviously messed up that definition.

Alan Greenberg:ie you can have your country name as long as it isn't spelled with ASCII letters.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Capitals needed non-objection or support from the relevant goverment or public authority.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:And they are certainly geographical names

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:other cities should also be included as geographic terms, not only capital cities

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:I know just saying

demi getschko:Annebeth +1

Rosalía Morales:+1 Annebeth, definitely geo terms

Justine Chew:What happens if list of capital city names changes over time? Supposing that could happen.

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:+1 olga Cavalli

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:+1 olga cavalli+

Liz Williams:The problem with this was two fold...the length of time meant that quite often political representatives changed and the second was resolving competing applicant bids in a way that was easily assessed...

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:I agree with you there, Martin, we could make it easier for applicant to find the relevant authority. GAC should be of help here - at least for the countries attending ICANN

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:Agree with Annebeths remark - capiral city name as mentioned in abg 2012 2.2.1.4.2 1) is clearly a geographic term

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:But we should remember that capitals have been among the most successful

Christopher Wilkinson:@ Olga. other cities should also be included as geographic terms, not only capital cities. Also regional and cultural economic groupings.

Liz Williams:These problems existed not just for competing "capital" city bids but also for major cities like NYC or Melbourne.

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:there are many cities (not capitals) with the same name in differents countries

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:they need our atention too

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:+1 to Christopher´s comment

Robin Gross:There are quite a few cities with the same name, and many cities with generic-type word names. Not sure how cities could be restricted by ICANN.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Cities are geonames, but sometimes also generic names and brands

Paul McGrady:+1 Robin. My favorite example is Batman.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Problem could be that the use changed. If applyed for as not used for city, but still were

Justine Chew:No established list -- so who determines if a city is a city?

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Robin all names which use may be conflicting should be somehow contemplated by the policy to avoid conflicts and lower uncertanties for the applicant

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):If it has to be used in its geographic sense, then its ok that its not 100% clear

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):If we require more protection, then there is an issue

Paul McGrady:@Olga - but contemplation doesn't automatically = banning the term. Buffalo was an animal long before it was a city in NY.

Liz Williams:One this that might be considered in future is if the TLD is sold or transferred and therefore the usage may change...

Terri Agnew:finding the line

Alan Greenberg:Please unlock slides or scroll

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Paul agree , I said somehow having a process to avoid conflicts and lower uncertanties, not banning

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:There is a paragraph in AGB about post-delegation, if I remember right. But that is complicated.

Alan Greenberg:oops done!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Alan, there is a post-delegation paragraph, I think

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:In the contract with the applicant?

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:Gatineau

Liz Williams:Another problem was the exchange of money between an applicant and the city for the "permission" to use the term. I find this rather difficult....especially for a generic term which has a meaning outside the name of a city.

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC):*Montreal Canadians

Alan Greenberg:Frankfurt ostensibly to sell hotdogs

Justine Chew:@Alan: currywurst!

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):There is this provision in the Registry Agreement: "all material information provided and statements made in the registry TLD application, and statements made in writing during the negotiation of this Agreement, were true and correct in all material respects at the time made, and such information or statements continue to be true and correct in all material respects as of the Effective Date except as otherwise previously disclosed in writing by Registry Operator to ICANN;"

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:@1 Liz

Paul McGrady:Durango is the name of an SUV made by Dodge.

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):So, if you require a registry to certify that it is not using the TLD to refer to its geographic connotation (if it has one)

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):Then couldnt you apply that provision

Greg Shatan:Aspen is a tree.

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:@Christopher plenty of these terms are not exclusive the the twon/city/region and indeed they weren't necessarily the first user

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Justine : currywurst is Berlin

Liz Williams:@Christopher...and the expansion of the domain name system is precisely what we are talking about. It is a matter of consumer choice, competition and representation of communities that suits those who want them...

milagros castañon:I could agree more with the concept of "cyber colonialism" just mentioned

Paul McGrady:What about .Hamilton (Canada and New Zealand). Would I not be allowed to market tickets for my musical in those locations?

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:+1 to Milagros and Christopher

Robin Gross:Do we really want to say that if a word has a geographic meaning, therefore govt's should control it? Didn't we skip a few steps in the logic?

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:@Paul - no because Hamilton belongs to Scotland first. The others are copycats :)

Paul McGrady:+1 Robin - the chilling effects of such a presupposition would be breathtaking.

milagros castañon:what about human rightts?

Paul McGrady:@Susan - ha!

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:+1 Liz Williams

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Robin it is not an issue of governments or controlling, is about having less conflicts and more predicatbilityu for community and applicants

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:n my vierw city names are clearly geographic terms, so question a) should be answered with yes. Then it is of course important that we work on the requiremnets in future application rounds in order to ensure predictability both for applicants and governments

Alan Greenberg:However, if you really wanted to sell hotdogs but eventually found out there was not a market, you could change your business plan.

Justine Chew:@Olga: hahaha I'm surprised nobody has taken currywurst to Frankfurt yet.

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):Its in the contract

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2:n my vierw city names are clearly geographic terms, so question a) should be answered with yes. Then it is of course important that we work on the requiremnets in future application rounds in order to ensure predictability both for applicants and governments.

Robin Gross:Olga, but what gives govts' rights to restrict use of words in this way? Where does it come from?

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):Section 1.3(a) of the Registry Agreement.

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Robin, what about the community related withthe name? I am not talking about government

avri doria:Olga, are you saying that community based objections should be used for geo names?

Christopher Wilkinson:Atually, I expect that some past decisions will be 'grandmothered' by mutual agreement, but the debate will become a warning to the industry to choose genuinely generic brands in the future.

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):@Christopher - in some cases geographic areas were names after a company

Robin Gross:If govts can object or must provide permission for a term, then it is a form of controlling the use of words.

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Avri, not sure but perhaps, what I mean is that the community related with the name has a say in this, if it is necesarely done through the government or not this is something we should review

Alan Greenberg:@Justine: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__currywurst-2Dfrankfurt.de_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=DRa2dXAvSFpCIgmkXhFzL7ar9Qfqa0AIgn-H4xR2EBk&m=cyHtkNzgsni459MhQkgF6Mm_yIdoJ8q2zFQ30MVepoc&s=jf6aoB1it3wnu3mgOqcuuo1T0I4VlVrx8du1FI7QeZQ&e=

avri doria:if not protecting a geo name becasue of geography but becasue of community, do the considerations change?

Liz Williams:@Martin...just a thought...where do we put things like africa which is a continent and a collection of countries...I may have missed this but it was a very difficult example as we all know...

Carlos Dionisio Aguirre LACRALO:great point @Christopher

Liz Williams:For any subsequent round europe, americas, australia and other continents can be caught up in this consideration.

Emily Barabas:@Liz, this will come up on the following slides

jaap akkerhuis:Peru tried to get Anazones listed in ISO 3166-2 so they could use it as an argument

Martin Sutton - WT5 co-lead:Liz - the next slide covers this

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):interesting comment by @avri, please address

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Avri, both should be considered

Paul McGrady:I think we should note in Red where the Implementation was inconsistent with this Policy. This is a great example. We are a PDP, so if the Policy wasn't followed, we need to note that and decide at some point whether we are going to stand behind the Policy and insist it be implemented, or if we are going to ignore the Policy and bend to implementation details.

Ann-Cathrin Marcussen, ccNSO 2: @Christopher W + 1

Kavouss Arasteh:How the agreement of a region is obtained such as Asia which has 75 countries and independent territories

Susan Payne GNSO/IPC:@Alexander - yes issues with consent and who to get it from for TATA. But also, of course, issues around requiring Govt consent in that circumstance

Kavouss Arasteh:If all except very few disagreed what could be done

Justine Chew:@Olga: There you go! Courtesy of Alan. Thanks, Alan.

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Kavouss, good point, .asia is already delegated

Kavouss Arasteh:JUST

Kavouss Arasteh:It was just an examplev say Europe

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:@Kavouss this is a very good example

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):@Kavouss - that was the same issue as with .africa, right?

Olga Cavalli - GAC - CO lead WT5:My apologies I need to leave the call now to attend other activities, will review the remain of the recording and notes. Thanks to all.

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):But they did figure it out

Greg Shatan:Maybe we should find out how .asia was delegated.

Paul McGrady:There are some really common terms out there in the US for counties: Baker, Barber, Bath, Bond, Butler, Canyon, etc.

Christopher Wilkinson:@Kavrous: .EU was delegated to the EU with the agreement of all the Member States.

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):.asia got the majority of the active ccTLDs at the time to agree

Justine Chew:That's REGION under the next point

Alexander Schubert 2:I think you needed 70 of the nations supporting the regionial application (.frica)

Liz Williams:With respect to .europe, we could explore what happened with the establishment of .eu...

Alexander Schubert 2:(.africa)

Justine Chew:@Alexander: 60%

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):It wasnot all of the countries, no. BUt no one objected

Liz Williams:@Kavouss...it was a small group of countries and people that came together to agreement on it.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:.EU is very special, because it is considered a ccTLD, but is actually a TLD for the Union, not for the geographic Europe

Alexander Schubert 2:Thanks Justine!

Justine Chew:60% + no more than 1 objection

Liz Williams:@Annebeth...yes I agree with you but I think the principles are useful to look act particularly with respect to agreement of countries, consistent policies, serving the needs of a very distinct but diverse group.

avri doria:Paul, your musical?

jeff neuman (SubPro PDP Co-Chair):.asia had the support of the ccTLDs for China, Iran, Japan, Korea, Singapore, Phillippines and a bunch of technology pan-asian groups like APTLD, AAPNIC, etc.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Take a look at the spread sheet and see the differences between the policy of 2007 and the implementation in 2012 in the AGB

Paul McGrady:Yes, but we have to keep track of it

Paul McGrady:@Avri - I intend to revive it once its off Broadway. :)

Robin Gross:How do we decide between conflicting rights to geo words? We must have a balancing of free expression (or trademark)rights with govt's request to control the use of these terms.

milagros castañon:Has the issue of homonyms been consider?

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:It would be good if you could come with comments on the last point now, so that we could continue with geographical names not considered next time.

Liz Williams:@Martin...when we come to the "treatment" section, could we first take a look at principles of transparency and objectivity for evaluation and then take a subjective look at "treatment" with respect to how evaluation took place...there is plenty on the record on this but I think it's useful to really think about the impact of application on evaluation and then implementation.

Paul McGrady:@Robin - importantly, that balancing was already done by the WG that sent the 2007 Report to the Council. I think starting with the presupposition that the AGB was better than the collective wisdom of the PDP is a presupposition we should look at very carefully before we just "buy it."

Robin Gross:Indeed principle G of the PDP said applicants free expression rights should be respected, so we have to take that into account.

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):yes

Paul McGrady:+1 Robin

avri doria:i admit to gaining some confusion in the intermix of geo, community, expression and trademark that has come up in this meeting.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:If there is one capitol and one city, the capital wins.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO. - PDP Co-Chair):good progress today, thank you all, ðŸ‹ bye for now...

Alan Greenberg:I thought whoever applied needed permission from other(s). If multiple had applied, and interesting question.

Paul McGrady:Great call today Martin! thank you!

Alexander Schubert 2:Bye!

Justine Chew:UNESCO World Heritage Sites for "additional geo terms" sheet plse

Liz Williams:@milagros...that is a contending application...it assumes that there are two (or more) applications for the same name...and resolution of contention sets in.

Robin Gross:thanks, bye.

Christa Taylor:Have a great day

Annebeth Lange, co-lead WT5:Good bye all!

Javier Rúa-Jovet (ALAC):thank you all see you in PR

Matthew Johnson:Thank you!

avri doria:bye

demi getschko:Thanks

jaap akkerhuis:Bye all

Christopher Wilkinson:B'ye - CW