YESIM NAZLAR:

Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the APRALO Monthly Call taking place on Thursday, 18<sup>th</sup> of January, 2018 at 6:00 UTC. On our call today we have Satish Babu, Tijani Ben Jemaa, Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Kaili Kan, Maureen Hilyard, Vladimer Svanadze, Shreedeep Rayamajhi, Tomohiro Fujisaki, Hong Xue, Amal Al-Saqqaf, Aris Ignacio, Amir Qayyum, and Nadira Al-Araj.

Currently, we don't have anyone on the Chinese channel, and we have received apologies from Ali Al-Meshal, Lianna Galstyan, Fouad Bajwa, and Amrita Choudhury. Sorry, someone just changed the AC room setting. Okay. Back to normal.

And from staff we have Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Yesim Nazlar. Our Chinese interpreters for today are Ray Chao and Jessie Doherty, and before we start, I would like to remind everyone to state their names before speaking, not only for the interpretation but also for the transcription purposes. Back to you, Satish. Thank you very much.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you very much, Yesim. This is Satish for the record. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening, and welcome to the first call of 2018. I hope you have recovered from the holiday season and I'd like to wish all of you, all of us, a very productive 2018 ahead of us. We have several key people missing today for various reasons. There are several events happening around us. So, we will pick up their points also as we discuss the agenda today.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Since Evan is absent today, I will speak on the membership pipeline. Currently, we have one ALS which is under the vote process at ALAC and this is the Yemeni Organization for Development and Exchange Technology Unit, so the vote for YODET closes on 23<sup>rd</sup> of Jan.

We have another member from a new country which is in the pipeline and this is the ISOC chapter from Jakarta, Indonesia. We don't have anyone from Indonesia as an ALS currently and it's a very large country, so we are very happy to have this application, and this is currently undergoing due diligence with our staff. We have two individual members whose applications are being processed and hopefully that will get done before the next call.

So that is the status of the membership pipeline. We will now get into the rest of the agenda. As usual, we start off with a policy update. I know that Holly has said she'll be delayed or may be absent today, but we'll have Maureen and Kaili, and of course Cheryl with us. And it's over to Maureen for the ALAC Policy Update.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Satish. This is Maureen for the record. I'd like to welcome everyone to our first meeting of APRALO for 2018 as well, and I'm hoping that everyone will participate as we would like them all to do in our Policy, Comments & Advice section because we're not [inaudible]. That is one of the reasons that we are members of At-Large, and that's actually helped ALAC contribute a statement to the ICANN community about presenting their views.

One of the important things is that as we go through a lot of the policy statements and comments that have been made already, to give you an idea of how we can be involved, we also will highlight some areas that you may wish to participate in a little bit down the track. It's also a reminder that you don't have to be in every single comment on every single issue. Just pick the ones that you think are of interest to you, if you have expertise in that area, or just an interest area. Just join in and volunteer just to make a comment or a statement related to that particular issue.

At the moment, for number one, there've been two statements that have actually been approved by the ALAC very recently. The first one, Competition, Consumer Trust, and Consumer Choice Review Team, this particular review team is one that Kaili Kan has been a member of since its inception, and he reported that last week they held their 66<sup>th</sup> meeting. So, it demonstrates the type of commitment that is required for some of these working groups, and this one has been particularly important.

And then, in the statement that Holly held the pin for, she and her team focused on two areas that the At-Large team felt were relevant to end users, and they were domain parking and DNS Abuse. This was the second part of the draft report and I think it was three issues, but Holly felt that these two were particularly of interest to us as end-users.

As topics, they are quite huge topics in themselves and if they're of interest to you, and they should because these are like hot [inaudible] biggies for us within the DNS, the domain name realm, but if you need to know more about them, you can read the actual report. It's

apparently quite lengthy. I haven't read it all myself, but like I said, it's been a long haul for Kaili and Carlton, but they have reported to the ALAC regularly and gave the ALAC a chance to really put something together that was meaningful for us as end users.

The second statement that has just been approved by the ALAC is probably more relevant to us in that it was looking at the CCWG Accountability looking at diversity as an issue, as a topic. Yrjo Lansipuro who is the ALAC member from ISOC Finland has held the pin on that one and gathered together comments that were made across the community, and I must say APRALO contributed to that.

One of the comments, for example, in the statement was relating to how ICANN focuses on the six UN languages for translation, but that there are many people for whom their languages are not recognized and APRALO can totally identify with that statistic. So the comment recommends that there should be some balance of support for other languages, and that ICANN could look at a variety of ways to cater for non-English speakers.

It's probably a little disappointing that we didn't have more comments. The ones for the statement that has been made, I think it does cover some of the issues that are important for us, especially for APRALO, and what has been suggested is a continued use of captioning, which is something that is being used more at meetings, and also some more visual content in our written materials. So these suggestions have been made.

Moving on to section two, that's sort of looking at how some of the comments that we could be involved in, where they are in the process. And of course, the process involves a pinholder making a draft statement, and then that draft statement is commented on by everyone, and then after a certain period of time, the comment is actually sort of like a final comment is made which melds as many of those contributing comments together into the final statement, which then goes to be voted on by the ALAC before it is passed on to the six that it originated from.

So, at the moment, we've got a draft statement that's looking at the ICANN Meeting Strategy, that's Incremental Changes that are being proposed related to the ICANN meetings. If you've been to two meetings during a year through the ICANN meetings, you will note that they're all different. They are different in the length of time of the meeting and the basic structure of them. And what has been recommended is some changes that might be made. What's good? What needs to stay? What needs to change?

So, if you've been to any of those meetings, it's a good idea to have a look through the strategy and to make a comment perhaps on your experience at those particular meetings.

The statement looking at the ICANN office of the ombudsman, that's been a pretty topical subject and there were some interesting comments being made during the meetings that were held to discuss that issue. One of the interesting comments, for example, that came up was that in order for the Ombudsman to retain his or her objectivity, that they shouldn't socialize with other ICANN members, and the ALAC

comments in the recommendations made in the ALAC statement suggest that community policing of the ombudsman wasn't seen as an appropriate way to measure his overall performance.

So, again, that's a note for those who have made a comment. It's very much appreciated, and we've been able to make something that's gone on to effect to the ALAC for voting.

The next one is on jurisdiction. Now, I have to admit that this is not a topic that I actually followed. The legal side of things is a little bit outside of my area of expertise, but for some of you, that would probably be right up your alley and therefore, you will probably be able to [inaudible] with the really really large report that came out of that particular working group, and the statement is also quite lengthy.

There were six people helping to put that statement together, so all their views on the issue would have been incorporated, but it does show you the variety and diversity of the different topics that we are expected to cover, but please, you don't have to comment on everything. Just whatever interests you.

The final one, which was the recommendation to improve ICANN's staff accountability was actually my responsability. It was actually quite a short report, but at the same time, it actually included some things which are quite relevant to us as a regional organization within APRALO, but also within the ALAC, and it was specifically related to ICANN, how ICANN could make their staff more effective and accountable to its member community, and of course, At-Large is one of those communities.

So our statement focused on our support for two important recommendations and the first one was related to the visibility and transparency of ICANN staff accountability mechanisms, so that there'll be ways in which ICANN needs to support staff accountability would be on a website so that everyone is very clear about what those expectations are.

We also were very mindful of the fact that in order to be meeting performance expectations, that staff are adequately and appropriately trained to do that. But we thoroughly believe that the successful achievements of the working group recommendations will be on how they are actually implemented by ICANN organization itself.

The other statements later on, there are sometimes the ALAC had a discussion about all of the statements that are coming up, and sometimes, they decide that there are some issues that it's not necessary for us to write a statement because it actually doesn't impact on end users of the domain name system itself, and it's more organizational. So, we have sort of like skipped a couple.

And number six, the upcoming Public Comments request. This is something that is new and it's been added to indicate to you what is coming up in public comments over the next couple of months. This is something that you would like to read up on and make a comment.

One thing I do want to make note of is the fact that in recent discussions in the leadership team, we agreed that a registry agreement renewals, we probably won't be making comments on that. Those are like contractual agreements that are made between the registry and

with ICANN, so it's not really our domain. Also, making note of the fact that the final report for the competition, consumer trust, and consumer choice review team will be out in February, and as we've already made comments on the two drafts, it will be interesting to see what sort of comes out in that final report.

I think that's it from me. Unless Kaili, you've got something else to add to the review team [inaudible]?

KAILI KAN:

Thank you, Maureen. About the Competition, Consumer Trust, and Consumer Choice review team, Maureen you just said about all of it. The current progress is that the team is trying to finish up the final report, and all the schedules ended in last August, so now it seems like it's going to be dragging out.

Hopefully, it's about some recommendations collected from the community's comments and being discussed and decided and also because of time limit, so [inaudible] some decisions cannot be made unanimously, then the minority opinion [inaudible] however, the current recommendations have been discussed over the last month are more highly related to us as consumer end users. I highly suggest that our whole community to take a look at it.

Also, the webinar of last December of [inaudible] as a time was very bad for our region, however there are recordings, the script is available, and also, we may want to take a look at them. So, that's about it. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Maureen and Kaili, for the Policy Update. Cheryl, would you like to add anything to this?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Just very briefly, and my granddaughter is in the background if you get any other noise. Cheryl Langdon-Orr for the record. I just wanted to point out a couple of the things Maureen mentioned. The ombuds report and the staff accountability. Staff accountability and Ombuds have already met in the last 24 hours, the work group has met in the last 24 hours, and at least the Ombuds, we certainly went through all of the advice we got in, including the ALAC advice, so that's sort of gone through to the next phase.

What was interesting, however, Maureen did mention the disconcerting comments we'd heard from part of the GNSO Non-Commercial Stakeholder group about socialization. In fact, what we were hearing was a push for a total external body. When they put out their final draft, many of their own members had similar concerns that echoed the comments from the ALAC.

So it is important that you watch these things as they go through their full process, because for example, I don't think the ALAC has even recognized, as yet, that some of the issues we were concerned about coming out of another part of the community's commentary was in fact withdrawn before it was published. So, important to keep things on the polls. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:

Thanks, Cheryl. It's indeed very interesting to note about the Ombudsman, the particular socialization issue. Very interesting. Are there any questions or comments on the policy update?

I'm not seeing any hands. We will now move on to the agenda item number four. These are some of the activities that are being taken up by APRALO. The first of these is the APRALO newsletter that we had kind of decided on during the General Assembly. Amrita had volunteered to take this forward, but she is traveling today to Nepal for an internet governance event there, so Silvia will be updating us about the current status of the APRALO newsletter. Over to you, Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Thank you, Satish. Yes. On behalf of Amrita, who has kindly agreed to lead the organization of the newsletter and she has created a structure that she would like to share with you all. The newsletter will comprise of the highlights of the ICANN activities of the month. Also, a brief note on any activity undertaking by any ALS on that month, a message from the APRALO leadership, and then a section entitled "Meet our ALS" where she will ask our ALSes to highlight one activity per month.

Then, a section on important dates for the particular month; so in February, she's thinking about including the APRALO call, the SSAC's Euro call or the next ICANN meeting. She will make a brief note asking everyone to contribute with ideas and pictures so the newsletter can be organized and ready to be issued by the end of the month, and she will ask everybody to send their ideas by the 27<sup>th</sup> of this month, January.

The newsletter will be circulated by the fifth of each month, so the next one will be out on February 5<sup>th</sup>. Then afterwards, after the initial experience, after two or three months, then they can evaluate and make appropriate changes to the format or content, so stay tuned for that email from Amrita. Thank you, Satish. Over to you.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Silvia. Satish for the record. After the history project during our GA, we are now aware of the need for documenting our activities, and although we will be discussing the history project later in the agenda, it's also interesting to note that the newsletter itself is a form of documentation, and it may be useful for us to report on our community, our ALSes and individual members, and APRALO itself, what initiatives we are taking up. It would be useful to use the newsletter as a documentation process.

We'll now move on to an important guest we have today, and this is Tijani Ben Jemaa who's woken up early to speak to us. Tijani will be speaking to us about the capacity building working group of ALAC and the next steps ahead for the current year. So, over to you, Tijani.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you very much, Satish. Do you hear me well?

SATISH BABU:

Yes, we can hear you well.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you very much, Satish. Good morning. It is very early here, but I know it is not the same for [inaudible]. Thank you for inviting me to this call to speak about the capacity building program for 2018.

Before starting 2018, the working group did an analysis about the program of 2017, and the two main points that we learned about from this analysis was that the most attended webinar was the one of 26 April, which was about how ALS members and Individual members may contribute to the quality development process.

So, this is something that we took into consideration and perhaps we will try to do another one for 2018 because of the interest in this subject and because it is a tool also perhaps to encourage our community to participate in the policy development process. The second one was the At-Large Policy Advice Development process, so it is the same. So this confirmed the trend that our community is very interested in knowing how to contribute.

The second main point that we learned about from this analysis was that the attendance -- we did a breakdown of the attendance and we saw that per region the champion is APRALO, and the poorest attendance RALO was EURALO.

The three other RALOs have almost the same rate of attendance, so we thought that perhaps because there is more need for capacity building in the AP region and the African Region, because the second one was the African region, those two regions were where we have the most attendance. I think that perhaps also we need to see why in Europe we

don't have good attendance, and I will work with Olivier to see and try to understand this issue.

We did also a breakdown according to the experience in ICANN, and we saw that the people who have five to 10 years of experience in ICANN were the most people interested in attending those webinars followed by people from three to five years.

The poorest attendance was for people who had less than one year, and followed by the people who have one to three years experience, and this makes us think that perhaps we are not interesting people who are newbie, and it is perhaps also because we are not taking their choice into account. That's why this type of survey that we did inside our working group, and we connected a good number of topics from where we will make our program for 2018.

We said that we need to ask our members, ALS members and individual members, to tell us what are their preferred topics. Perhaps we need to go those people who are not especially experienced members because the working group members are experienced people. That's why we asked you to provide us with your preferred topics for this year, and we did as deadline I think 10 February, so I encourage you all to please provide us with your preferred topic.

This will help us. We need to have people, the newbies, the people that don't have experience in ICANN to come to our webinars, because those are people who will benefit the most from our work. This was the main issue I wanted to speak about, to encourage you to provide us with your preferred topics.

Now, an information if you want. The next webinar will be on 24 of January at 13, and it will be about data protection: What do you need to know as an end user about GDPR? The second one will be on 14 February at 21 UTC and it will be about ALAC and RALO hot topics, why should end users care? I hope that you will come and attend those webinars.

Another information I think is important. We will start this year from the first webinar having those webinars directly on the ICANN learn platform. There is a small problem, it is not a problem, but it is exactly like the Adobe Connect. We have only one audio channel. So we will keep the phone bridge to permit to use more than one language on our webinars. So, this is what I wanted to tell you and I hope it was useful for you. Thank you very much.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Tijani, for the update on the Capacity Building Working Group. I think this is a very useful mechanism for our community to build our capacity, and as Tijani mentioned, we should pass on our hot topics, our priority topics.

I also note that we do have a list of hot topics from our GA which we have with us and we can pass on those topics also to Tijani. Some of them are already covered, but others may be new. So -- yes Tijani, please go ahead.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Thank you. If I may, for this webinar, for the webinar about hot topics, we will invite Alan Greenberg to speak about the At-Large or the ALAC hot topics, and we'll invite all the RALOs who already started their hot topics to come and speak about them. So, your hot topics will be part of the webinar. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Tijani. That's very useful. And I see a comment on chat from Amal which says that we need to give sufficient publicity for these Capacity Building webinars on all the mailing lists including the Fellowship Alumni and Next Generation so that everybody will be aware of. I don't know if Tijani, you are presently covering these lists in addition to the At-Large list itself.

TIJANI BEN JEMAA:

Yes, thank you very much, Satish. This was also something that we were interested in. We will make the advertising of our webinar also on all social media in the future, and it is a good idea to use the Next Gen and the Fellowship Alumni lists. Thank you very much.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Tijani. Are there any questions for Tijani? I'm not seeing any hands. On behalf of all of us, we would like to thank Tijani for taking the time to wake up early in the morning to talk to us. Thank you very much, Tijani.

The next agenda item is based on an addition that came from Lado, who is our member from Georgia, and also some discussions that have been

happening between the leadership team and the APAC Space, so I will request Lado to speak to us on his addition on the focus group. Please, go ahead. [AUDIO BREAK]

I don't see, maybe Lado has dropped off. He was supposed to have come. So his addition was that we should have a focus group on data security. Are you online Lado? [AUDIO BREAK]

No. I don't hear him. So, he wanted to set up a data security stability working group within APRALO which he felt was quite relevant. Now, when I discussed this point with our leadership team, Holly pointed out that we have a similar topic with the APAC Hub. ICANN'S APAC Hub is also pushing forward as a discussion topic in the region. So, we would like to combine both these initiatives, this addition from Lado as well as the APAC Hub initiative, and perhaps merge the two. So that is the addition that Holly had made. Do we have any comments on this from anybody in the community? [AUDIO BREAK]

Not hearing any comments, what I would suggest is that -- Yesim tells me that Lado is not on the phone bridge, so maybe he's dropped off. I will then discuss with Lado and Holly again, with the leadership team and take it up as a joint initiative with the APAC Hub so that we can have a larger group. Both the APRALO group as well as the larger Asia Pacific community can join in this process.

The next item is on ALS criteria and expectations. ALS performance has been something that we have been very much interested in and also concerned about. There are some initiatives that are happening within ALAC to push this agenda which is also a consequence of the At-Large

review. Over to Maureen on this agenda of ALS criteria and expectations.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Satish. This is Maureen again. I just wanted to remind people that I did mention that I would try to get together a little working group of APRALO members to initiate a discussion about what our views are in relation to ALS criteria and expectations.

So what I'm doing is I'm currently putting together a survey, and I want to distribute it to the members to get your feedback on what you think about current criteria expectations as a general introduction to APRALO discussions and how we might be able to make some recommendations to maximize the potential of our current and our future ALSes and individual members.

So, I'm putting together the survey. I guess it's going to be quite lengthy, but I'm trying to make it so that the responses are just a yes or a no, if at all possible, and also I feel like I was trying to get it done before the Puerto Rico meeting so that we can actually report on it at the meeting and then we could actually establish with the At-Large working group and have something to take to that first meeting with the [inaudible] so that we've got a starting point. So, I've just given you a warning that you are going to be getting a survey real soon. Thank you.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you, Maureen. This is an important survey and it is going to impact the way we are going to be prioritizing our ALSes, particularly when it comes to things like the ATLAS B and so on, so please cooperate with us and send us your survey response.

We now move on to the next agenda item, which is the NomCom Review outreach. Now, the NomCom review has been going on and the co-chair of the review is Cheryl, who is on the call. Now, the NomCom review has come out with a draft report now, and the report is out for comments, and I am requesting Nadira to talk to us about the NomCom review, and Cheryl can add on if there's anything that Nadira has missed out. So Nadira, please go ahead.

NADIRA AL-ARAJ:

Hello. This is Nadira for the record. Good day for everybody. Thank you, Satish. The Nominating Committee Review Working Party was an independent examiner of the analysis group, that's their name, are the ones performing the review for ICANN Nominating Committees. They are organizing an outreach to share their assessment report to the NomCom and get feedback from the community.

The assessment report is the first phase of the review for the performance of all the NomComs since 2011, and I'm putting in the chat room, let me put the link to that report for easy reference if you maybe find it easier to get in the chat.

I also want to talk about the program of the outreach because already maybe some of you -- I think APRALO already disseminated the information. The outreach letter was circulated to all ICANN's SOs and

ACs. It starts from today. There is a one hour webinar today at hour 20 UTC time where the community attendees can provide direct comments. To reserve and get dial information, send your interest to the email I will put now in the chat. Just a minute. I prepared that also for easy access.

Also, in addition to this [inaudible] information, please do reserve to get the dial-in information. Send an email to the email address I provided in the chat room. In addition to this, there is another two public webinars. It's going to be open to everybody. They will be on January 25<sup>th</sup> and February 1<sup>st</sup>, both of them also at 20 UTC time. It seems that they are not suitable to our region in general. I have the feeling that -- that's my personal comment.

In addition, the webinars are one of the tools, the independent examiner soliciting feedback comments and questions from the community, but personally, I find the stronger way for the community to give their feedback is to have a careful review of the assessment reports and provide written feedback via the NomCom Review Mailing list by Friday the 2<sup>nd</sup>. Let me provide to you the email of the -- okay, just a minute. Let me copy the address. This is to send maybe as individual ALSes or as APRALO leadership team, to provide detailed feedback.

Also, there is another link important for the outreach to keep yourself updated on this regard, you can follow the NomCom review wiki page. I think it keeps the recording of the chat discussions, the reports, and all the timelines, and that's also another handy reference, and here I have provided also the link. Going back, this assessment report is just the first stage. The final report with all the feedbacks from the community,

the independent examiners will publish during this year. It will include the recommendation in how to increase the nomination committee effectiveness.

To move to just the highlights. Let me give you some highlights on what is on the assessment reports. I picked some points from the executive summary. I'll start with -- there is a concern of the extent of the independence of the NomCom operators and their priority of the global internet committee. This is one of the concerns that was highlighted.

Another one is about the recruiting skills and experience of the NomCom members when selecting candidates for ICANN board. Also, the community should also a lack of understanding or agreement on the role and effectiveness of board [inaudible] for recruitment and application and evaluation of the candidates. This is kind of recently, but introduced for the NomCom. There is also a concern in terms of the length of the NomCom members as it feels that it doesn't allow sufficient time for the learning and engagement.

And my last point that I picked that is another point and there is a [inaudible] explanation of all this and the way the independent examiner compiled all this information, and the methods are all included in the report. My last point is about the need to enhance the diversity of the candidates pool, especially gender and global candidates.

With this, I do encourage all of you to give careful attention to provide your valued input on the NomCom assessment reports. Regarding my role as the NomCom member, currently we don't have any updates.

The only updates we have, the website, I expect the coming week you will find the announcement. Once the announcement, I will also disseminate it through the APRALO email and other mediums. Thank you. Back to you, Satish.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you very much, Nadira, for the update. I do note that we have several of the APRALO leadership who were in the NomCom earlier. Cheryl, of course, is the co-chair of the review committee, the review party, but we also have Siranush, me and Amir who have been on the NomCom, so it would useful if all of us together with Nadira can [inaudible] the report, and if in the committee there is a need for responding with feedback as APRALO, in which case we can put together a short APRALO response.

I would request Amir if he can spare the time for it to lead this initiative because he was the last person on the NomCom. Nadira of course is presently in the NomCom, but she has not been through a full round of the NomCom process, which is also quite interesting. This we will take offline. Cheryl, do you have anything to add here?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Nope.

SATISH BABU:

Right. Thanks, Cheryl. So, we will now move on to the next agenda item. I note that we have only nine minutes left. The next is the

APRALO history project. It's over to Kaili for an update on the history project.

KAILI KAN:

Thank you, Satish. Kaili Kan for the record. At the General Assembly we have discussed how to continue with the history project. There are quite a few issues that as a community we need to decide upon. What kind of role do we want in the history project, like an ebook, what kind of role do we want it to play? Also, how frequently do we want the ebook to be updated? Also, about who preserves the history material, like photos, documents and so forth?

We started off with the depository, but it didn't work, so in order to preserve our documents and pictures and so forth, what do we want to do with the depository, and also, what to be included in the depository. So, maybe Satish and Amir, you have some thoughts about that? Satish, you want to say something?

SATISH BABU:

Yes Kaili, thanks for this. I'll quickly make a suggestion here. We have multiple events which are useful as milestones for our history. We just had an anual general meeting at Abu Dhabi. We will have possibly an ATLAS III meeting in the next few years. We have periodic ICANN meetings. We also have ALAC and APRALO monthly calls, and then we also have a newsletter which is coming up; and in addition to all of this on an adhoc basis, we might also have reports by ALSes and maybe updates to the website and so on.

So, we do have a number of resources to use for documenting our activities, so I was wondering if we consider to make it an ongoing process and if we task one of the leadership team members and a staff member to pick out the historic and relevant items out of all of this and add them to a common repository which may be something like a wiki. That's a suggestion. Back to you, Kaili.

KAILI KAN:

Thank you, Satish. Maureen, you want to say something?

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Kaili. Probably not too much more to add. I do think that it is important that we start archiving material, and Satish has already listed a whole lot of information that if we start collecting it and storing it, but making it so that it's still accessible for anyone who needs to use it. The newsletter is a perfect example.

As Cheryl has already outlined in the chat, it's important that any documents that are archived are suitably labeled so we know where they fit in. It would have been so handy to have all that documentation available and I wouldn't have been knocking on Cheryl's door and the door of other people who might have been around at the time to try and collect the information.

So, if we could start putting something together now, it just means that the production team of the next decade of diversity will not have so difficult a chore trying to gather the information. It will be just in the presentation. Thank you.

KAILI KAN:

Thank you, Maureen. So, as I see it, now for the history project, we actually have two issues to decide upon. One is no matter what, we need the depository to work to preserve all the material. That is one thing.

Another thing is that we need to think about how do we want to assemble a team to periodically update as an ebook that is to publish the material collected in the depository, and so I think part of the top priority is that we need to decide on who gets the depository to work. Does anybody else have any other ideas? Please raise your hand.

I do not see any hands. Satish, back to you, and I will assume that we have reached consensus on contacting with the staff of the depository, that everybody agree on that. Satish, anything else?

SATISH BABU:

Thanks, Kaili. We do have a rough consensus here and we will now discuss with the staff and the media specialists in ICANN and then decide on how to manage this process that we have identified now. Thanks very much, Kaili, and we will take it from there.

We are now short of time and we have two items. The first is the idea of outreach. So, we had several travelers from the leadership team at IGF. Ali was there. Lianna was there. Maureen was there. I was there, and there was an active outreach program. We do have a list of contacts that we have from the Asia Pacific region who were present at the IGF in Geneva in December.

We just wanted to inform the community that some of these people are new. Of course, others were existing participants, several of people who are on the call today. Also they are in the IGF, so we will now be contacting them.

Normally, we expect people to contact us to become members, but as an unusual step, we will be -- because they have expressed interest in joining APRALO, we will be writing to them and we will be asking them if they would like to join us as individual members or as ALSes. This is just the outreach component. Maureen, do you want to add anything here?

MAUREEN HILYARD:

No, that's fine. Thanks, Satish.

SATAISH BABU:

Thanks, Maureen. With that, we'll move on to the last item, which is a call for volunteers. I will request Silvia to talk to us about this. Over to you, Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Yes, thank you very much, Satish. Yes. This is the real time transcription formerly known as the captioning project which will start up again in February. As you know, this is a continuation of the two pilots we had back in 2016, the pilot number one, in 2017 the pilot number two. It will be relaunched, it's been relaunched.

Judith Hellerstein sent a call for membership asking all the communities to join the working group. The working group will review the criteria.

They will review the survey, the results and they will evaluate the performance and the usefulness of this service. The call for membership is open until the next Saturday is actually the 20<sup>th</sup> at 23:00 UTC. There is so far one member from APRALO.

I also wanted to mention that this will be for a total of 30 calls, six calls per month for a semester, and part of the fiscal year '18 project by this request. Thank you, and there will be also another email sent by Judith requesting all the communities to send their requests for calls that they wish to have the real time transcription service offer. That's all. Thank you very much.

SATISH BABU:

Thank you very much, Silvia. Satish for the record again. This project is important from multiple points of view. It's important for everybody who's on these calls to see the realtime transcription. But it is especially important for people living with disabilities who may at different points want to join the APRALO community, so from this point, it is important and I request anyone interested to join the group as a volunteer, so that is on this last agenda item.

With it, we come to the end of the list of agendas on this call. Does anybody have any additional items? Any burning questions? If not, we are two minutes late. I apologize for that and we would like to now close this call. I wish everybody a very good rest of the day and rest of the week, and see you soon at the next call, and it's over to Yesim to close the call.

YESIM NAZLAR:

Thank you very much, Satish. This meeting is now adjourned. Have a lovely rest of the day. Please don't forget to disconnect your lines. Thank you. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]