Subgroup	Rapporteur	Members	Status	Questions	Estimated Complexity (1=low,	Estimated Workload (1=low,	Estimate of Ideal	Briefings Requested	Materials Requested	Interviews	Independent Expert
WHOIS1 Rec #1 - Strategic Priority	Cathrin	Cathrin, Carlton, Volker	<u>DOCX</u>	Yes	S=high)	S=high)	1;2	Briefing on how the WHOIS as a strategic priority has been integrated into the organizational objectives and on the impact that this integration has had in practice, as compared to the approach before 2012.	Information on incentivization measures for ICANN Org staff including ECO information on Board/ECE Committee on WHOIS including minutes, work plan, objectives and outputs  Any materials that can provide responses to the questions outlined above	To be determined	None needed
WHOIS1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy	Carlton	Cathrin, Carlton, Thomas	DOCX	Yes	3	3	3	None	Any published analog document titled 'WHOIS Policy" or other approximation     WHOIS I Implementation Report & Action Plan     SAC055 Report	WHOIS 1 Implementation Team Leader     SSAC liaison	None needed
WHOIS1 Rec #3: Outreach	Alan	Alan, Cariton, Erika	DOCX	Yes	2;3	2;3	3		Consider the various efforts on reaching out to registrants and providing information: Registrant Right & responsibilities: http://www.icann.org/en/registrars/registra nt-rights-responsibilities-en.htm (Required by RAA 3.16) • Registrant Benefits and Responsibilities-https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/benefits-2013-09-16-en and https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/benefits-2013-09-17-en, Section 9 (required by RAA 3.7.10, 3.12.7) https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/registrans-0d-2012-02-25-en-https://whois.icann.org/en WHOIS Information portal Registrant's Benefits and Responsibilities		None needed
WHOIS1 Rec #4: Compliance	Susan	Erika, Susan, Thomas, Carlton, Chris	DOCX	Yes	3	4	3,5	Compliance team provide a briefing of impact and challenges, how RAA or RA is interpreted for compliance actions Registrar audits Overview of the inaccuracy report process—What is the flow for managing these reports, what discretion does staff have to change the process? Other compliance responsibilities related to the WHOIS	Compliance reports relating to WHOIS CCT Review report Report on all inaccuracy reports received and resolution Review of any registrars that have failed to adhere to the inaccuracy report process	- Senior Executive - Interview all management on compliance team that manage WHOIS related actions - Interview team members who work on WHOIS related actions - Interview Board subcommittee - What would the compliance team like to improve? - Ask community what improvements they would like to see in the compliance dept Data gaps? - Has accuracy improved with the additional accuracy requirements imposed on registrants? (email validation, others) - Review of registrar up time in Port 43 access - Gather input from Community on issues with WHOIS record and inaccuracy reporting process	TBD after investigation     No need
WHOIS Rec #5-9: Data Accuracy	Lili	Cathrin, Dmitry, Lili	DOCX	Yes	3	4	5 (LEAs, Registrar, Registry, Registrant, ICANN implementation team should all be represented)			ICANN's contractual compliance team     WHOIS Accuracy Reporting System (ARS) project team	
WHOIS Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services	Volker	Cathrin, Stephanie, Susan, Volker	DOCX	Yes	3	3	4;5			Speak with Caitlin Tubergen and Jen Gore - staff leading the IRT. Compliance team to discuss how they will ramp up to manage the compliance	
WHOIS Rec #11: Common Interface	Volker	Alan, Susan, Volker	0								
WHOIS Rec #12-14: Internationalized Domain Names	Dmitry	Alan, Dmitry, Lili	DOCX	Yes	3	2	3				
WHOIS Rec #15-16: Plan & Annual Reports	Lili	Alan, Chris, Lili	DOCX	Yes	1	2	3	None	2016 WHOIS Annual Reports (provided)	None	None needed
Anything New	Stephanie	Alan, Stephanie, Susan	0								
Law Enforcement Needs	Thomas	Cathrin, Chris,	0								
Consumer Trust	Erika	Dmitry, Erika, Stephanie, Susan	DOCX	Yes	4	3	4	Update about the lack of data as reported in the relevant WHOIS reports mentioned above	Short compilation of data to understand current use of new gTLDs in relation to alternative identities (FB etc). Short compilation of data between legacy TLDs and gTLDs to understand the acceptance rate of gTLDs. The acceptance rate might be an important factor in valuing consumer trust.	GDD	None needed
Safeguard Registrant Data	Alan	Stephanie, Volker	DOCX	Yes	1	1	2				