
EVIN ERDOGDU: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening everyone, and welcome to the EURALO Board teleconference on Thursday, the 18th of January, 2018 from 19:00 to 20:30 UTC.

Today on the call with us we have Olivier Crepin-LeBlond, Olawale Bakre, Yrjo Lansipuro, Roberto Gaetano, Sebastien Bachollet, Jean-Jacques Subrenat, and Erich Schweighofer.

We have apologies from Bastiaan Goslings.

On staff, we have with us Silvia Vivanco; and myself Evin Erdogan. I will also be doing call management.

A kind reminder to please state your name for the record and for transcription purposes. With that, I'll turn it over to you, Olivier, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much. Welcome, everyone, to this first call of the EURALO Board in 2018. In fact, it's the first call of the EURALO Board as it currently stands with all of the new members that have been selected in the last election or selection process.

We have today a number of things that we're going to be dealing with. We will be dealing with the brainstorming session, following up on the brainstorming session that we had in IGF Geneva. I think nearly everybody was there apart from Jean-Jacques Subrenat. There is a link in the agenda, which goes to the summary of that session. There are four proposals, four project proposals, that have come out of that

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

meeting. We'll be going through all four of these and trying to do a follow-up on these.

Then, we will be looking at the EURALO CROP requests for Fiscal Year 17, which are basically this year's CROP requests and seeing what we're going to be doing for CROP as such.

Then, we will be having just a quick update on the collaboration between RIPE and EURALO. The MOU that was signed. I wanted to try and find out what you think we should be doing with RIPE, how we should move forward. Finally, we'll have any other business. In fact, I should ask is there any other business to be added to this call? We can always come back to it a little bit later. You'll notice that this call is registered for 90 minutes. I'm hoping we're not going to take all 90 minutes. I understand there is a webinar about the NomCom review in about an hour's time, in 55 minutes. Let's see if we can finish in an hour, but I didn't want to constrain ourselves to an hour, just in case we had much to discuss since this was the first call of this year and for this current board.

I was just going to, as I remember, two items. I'll open the floor for any EURALO board members that wanted to say anything. I think that you all know each other, but perhaps if you want to share any of your views, projects, or anything that you wish to share with your colleagues here. Frist, we have Jean-Jacques Subrenat. Jean-Jacques, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thanks, Olivier. I just wanted to wish you all a very happy New Year with good health, success, joy. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Jean-Jacques. I didn't do this because I wished some people a very happy New Year yesterday in Brussels and I was chastised for it and told it was way too late. You're absolutely right. I actually went back and checked and you can wish Happy New Year all the way up to the end of January. So, there you go. Thanks for that.

Let's get going and have a look at our sheet, our Google doc. The EURALO brainstorming that took place. Very much ad hoc, might I add. I really want to thank everyone for jelling and coming together. It was a cocktail that was run by ICANN and the Internet Society, a joint cocktail. We all ended up in one corner with a glass in one hand, a notepad in the other, and a pen effectively putting together something and getting ourselves to talk a little bit about what we wish to see coming out of EURALO this year. So, it's the first time we ever do that and I'm very pleased with the overall results that we ended up having. I really would like to thank you all for having pushed and having proposed all the things that have been proposed.

I hope that you all had time to read this document and bring in your input. It's still on the Google doc because it might need some further elaboration. Indeed, what I wanted to do today was to discuss some of the summary of the proposals. We have four proposals that are there, and perhaps if we could take a decision today on how we wish that proposal to move forward and somebody to be assigned the responsibility of pushing it forward, then I think that we will have achieved our goals for today.

Are there, at this point in time, any comments on any parts of the document that anybody wishes to make before we start digging in the proposals themselves? Yrjo Lansipuro?

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO: I was not there either, and since that was an ad hoc cocktail party gathering. I think I would like to move that we approve, accept, those proposals just for, like the Germans say [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. That sounds like a good thing. Hello?

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, okay. No, it's just because I'm receiving another call. For some reason, I didn't hear. That sounds like a good thing. I see a green tick from Jean-Jacques Subrenat, a green tick from Roberto Gaetano and a green tick from Olawale and from yourself, too. Oh, everyone. Full, unanimous consensus on this that this should be moved as being ratified proposals that we can move forward, in which case – so, let's put this to the record please. In this case, what we can do then is to get forward and basically get these proposals allocated with somebody leading each one of them. It doesn't need to be the same person leading all of them, by the way, and it doesn't need to be a distributed

thing with just one person leading a proposal. Perhaps we can have a couple of people put proposals that can help each other out.

Are there any other comments from any other parts, component parts of the proposals or the document? I don't see anyone putting their hand up. Oh, I do. We have Jean-Jacques Subrenat.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thanks, Olivier. Just to be on the safe side of procedure, I move the motion which was proposed by I think Yrjo. Is there anyone to second it and then we can consider it accepted? Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Jean-Jacques. I'm happy to ... I can see Roberto is seconding in, Erich Schweighofer also wishes to second it. So, the motion is moved forward. Excellent. I was going to move it just by consensus, but there you go. It's all fine. Let's move forward, then.

Looking at the summary of proposals, the first one was to set up a webinar about GDPR practical implementation with three people – in fact, perhaps, even four people being tentatively listed as the people that would be running the webinar. The focus would be about EURALO At-Large Structures and EURALO individual members. They would involve the named participants. And the way that we're interested to work with them would be focus being the implementation of GDPR. So, implementation of GDPR, not just across ICANN, but implementation of GDPR at EURALO and implementation of GDPR in our At-Large Structures and the implications for the individual members.

So, we have four names here of people who are experts in this. I should let you know that there is going to be a capacity building session about GDPR that is likely to take place later on this month. There's also some talk to have a RALO-wide – so, across all the RALOs and the ALAC – some kind of a webinar also about GDPR.

That said, I'm not sure that the exact – that the topic would be exactly the same thing, because the discussion that we had was really to have a very Euro-specific outlook on the implementation of it and what it means – more like what it means for our ALSes rather than what it means for ICANN, making use of the knowledge or the combined knowledge that we have in those four individuals listed here.

I'm happy to lead this one in contacting the different people. What we need to do, though, is to find a suitable time for this webinar to take place, whether it should be later on this month or whether it should be in early February. I'm also happy to share that webinar if there is a need for a Chair for it. Are there any comments? Yrjo Lansipuro?

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO:

Just to mention that the finished chapter of ISOC [inaudible] finished ALS, we are partnering with an [outlet] called Open Finland in building an MOOC, which is a sort of massive open online course, and basically trying to educate people about what benefits the GDPR gives to other people, end users and so on and so forth.

The [inaudible] has started, and later in the spring we might have something to contribute to this webinar. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Yrjo. By later in the spring, what sort of date do you mean?

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO: I would say April.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: April, okay. Thanks for that.

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO: Maybe that's the [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The question I was going to ask of everyone here, if you think it's okay to have it in April, then please put a green tick. If you believe it's too late and we have to have it earlier, like in early February, let's say, then please put a red cross.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: I am not on the Adobe. Sorry for that. And if I can jump into the queue.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Please go ahead, Sebastien.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier, and hello everybody. If you're saying the [inaudible] I don't think we need to wait for [inaudible]. We will not have this one call. This subject will be [inaudible] a few months and then we will have to take care of this subject for a different call.

I would like to [inaudible] we can do two different things. The first one is to gather in one call people with knowledge to help us understand, but we can also ask our ALSes specifically which one are doing something in conjunction with GDPR, not just with ALAC GDPR, but more globally. I know, as we just said, that ISOC Finland is doing something. I know that ISOC France is also doing something. I am sure that there are other ALSes among in Europe that are doing something. It could be useful to [inaudible].

The second point. If we take a look and [inaudible] with European lenses, it could be useful to try to have one from ccTLD world and ask RIPE for their input on that and to try to gather other stakeholders to do something. If we go in that direction, I know that APNIC, the French ccTLD, is doing a lot on that topic and it could be useful to have them on [inaudible] one of our calls. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Sebastien. We indeed have a listing here of Thomas Rickert who is German and is a real expert on GDPR having led some of the work that ICANN has worked on. Michele Neylon, whom I think that you all know who runs a small registrar, a medium-sized registrar, based in Ireland. Chris Buckridge from RIPE NCC is, as you all know, is the regional [inaudible] registry for Europe. Pierre Bonis is also

listed as being from APNIC and APNIC runs the dot-fr country code top-level domain. That sounds like a good panel, a good potential panel.

Having heard the part that was made here and read actually what some are saying in the chat, I wonder if we could have that panel earlier on. Let's say either the end of January or the later part of – or the early part of February. Then, in April, have a second webinar asking our At-Large Structures to present on their work on GDPR. That then completely takes away the part of any panelists and so on, and on the other hand has the whole point of putting our At-Large Structures in the light and getting them to share information with each other.

Would that be an interesting way forward? So, there'd be ISOC Finland and we might have – if Sebastien said that ISOC France is also working on something, so ISOC France could do a presentation as well. We can have two or three other At-Large Structures. Perhaps not all ISOC chapters, but others. I'm sure there are others that could perhaps – if we geographically distributed as well could have this coming from different countries.

I see two people having raised their hands. Yrjo Lansipuro, you're first. Yrjo has put his hand down, so Erich Schweighofer, you're next.

ERICH SCHWEIGHOFER:

I would support your proposal for review because we can then start as a more general introduction about problems, ICANN solution, and then link to the part of implementation practice in several countries. I guess we'll get some quite interesting solutions [for] GDPR and [inaudible] ALSes can be supportive. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Erich. Next is Roberto Gaetano.

ROBERTO GAETANO: I think that in Puerto Rico we are going to have further discussion on GDPR because the implementation is unless there's some decision [inaudible] is in May. So, if we want to – it depends on what we want to do. If we want to really provide input, I think that we need to have some sort of action before the Puerto Rico meeting, otherwise it's going to be just – I don't know. Trying to catch up with what has been already been outlined by the other parts of the communities.

I'm strongly in favor to have some sort of action before Puerto Rico so that we can go to the Puerto Rico meeting with a position of [inaudible]. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this, Roberto. I take it that late January, early February, webinar would be the way forward for you. Next is Olawale Bakare.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Hello. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, we can hear you very well.

OLAWALE BAKARE:

Yes. My comment really is about from two points. I think Roberto has clarified one part in his last comment. I just want us to be very much aware that we need to have the webinar about the GDPR because GDPR [inaudible] came from Europe and [inaudible] for me.

So, if we are going to have the EURALO webinar, we are doing that to capture, to educate, the Internet end users and some other interested parties as well. That means we need to give time for people to be able to ask questions and also before the GDPR taking place in May – towards May ending – so probably we should have it between the end of February and before [inaudible] because we are definitely going to ask people, push some EURALO members from other regions as well. [inaudible].

GDPR is coming from Europe, so EURALO, what can you say about this? Can you please add details? And what is your position? I think it is very [inaudible] without that.

So, regard to ISOC, what [inaudible] to say with regard to having that before the [inaudible] meeting. That is one aspect of that.

The second part I want to raise is just the panels [inaudible]. If you want to have this webinar, I will suggest that we make it At-Large webinar, so that we can also get [inaudible] from other regions – from [inaudible], from Africa. Mostly people from Africa, they don't [inaudible] understand what it means and they want to know how this GDPR will affect them.

Also, other people from maybe other parts of the [inaudible] as well. They want to know what [inaudible]. So, by having EURALO to have this

webinar between now and March, for instance, we need to make sure [inaudible] to make it At-Large wide webinar. Thank you so much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Olawale. I see there are several layers, several levels, of webinars that we need to make sure will happen. As I mentioned earlier, there is a more general webinar about GDPR that is going to be an At-Large wide webinar in a couple of weeks' time, I believe before the end of the month that will happen.

I've been looking at my own calendar as well and my own timings. We're already halfway through the month of January. Next week, policy staff have a retreat in LA, so we cannot have a webinar then. The week after I'm actually going to be away for three days of the week with plenty of travels. In fact, no, for the whole week I'll be away with [inaudible] travel. Traveling is a little tough.

I would suggest a time for the EURALO webinar, which is much more focused on EURALO stakeholders and the implementation for EURALO – bearing in mind that EURALO is a semi-independent organization from ICANN as it has an MOU with ICANN. So, there could be some angles to that.

I would suggest we have that first webinar – EURALO webinar – on the 5th of February, which is likely to be a week-and-a-half or a week after the ALAC-wide webinar or At-Large wide webinar. On the week of the 5th of February. Then, we can have a second EURALO webinar with our At-Large Structures speaking in April, which will be after the March ICANN meeting, which will then be the time when our At-Large

Structures will be able to provide us with more information, what they've done in their part of the world. Is that okay? Am I following the right line here?

OLAWALE BAKARE:

Thank you so much. I didn't even know that At-Large is having its own separate webinar on that. Thank you for that. That's okay.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks very much, Olawale. I think that there might be even more than one of such webinars because the way I understand it, the Capacity Building Working Group will have a webinar that will explain what GDPR is and the different issues to do with it.

Then, there is likely – if there needs to be some input or feedback on some of the proposals of the GDPR that has been shared with the community. As you know, there are three choices at the moment – choice one, choice two, and choice three – for WHOIS GDPR and WHOIS issues. There might be a webinar on that as well. They are more a single-issue call. I'm not sure what the ALAC will decide, but if there's a single-issue call, there will be another process with more calls on this topic. It's likely to be a very hot topic in the March meeting, as we all know.

Okay. Well, thanks. We've got some action items on this. One early week 5th of February. The second one, [inaudible] and April in the action items. Thanks very much.

Let's go then to proposal number two. Proposal number two is the one when it says when it gets implemented, all policy development and commenting at ICANN within the GNSO and ALAC will need to do a human rights impact assessment, such as a webinar on the framework of interpretation, develop a checklist for human rights impact assessment. Very important topic again.

The second webinar – I would be a little concerned about having two webinars in the same week. Should we have this one earlier or later? Should we have it in January or February or should we move it to March? The floor is open.

I see Yrjo ready to volunteer to help with organizing the second webinar. Thank you for this. Any thoughts on that? Yrjo, I know you're saying helping with organizing the second webinar. I think you just set forward and said, "Yes, we need to do that."

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO:

Yeah. I'm speaking about the second GDPR seminar, which [inaudible] webinar with the ALSes. I think that would tie in to the previous work I had been doing for activating the ALSes. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Yrjo. In the action items, Yrjo is to assist on the second GDPR webinar. Thanks.

Jean-Jacques says number two is less time-sensitive than number.

Yes, Olawale, we have. Well, I haven't seen anybody say no to the proposal that it would be on the week of the 5th of February and the second one in April. Regarding the exact date of it, what I would suggest is to first find out from the panelists which of the dates they would be able to attend. It gets hard to set up an exact date and time and then get the people to tell us, "Oh, sorry, I can't make it on that day." We'll have to, when we contact them, find out a mutually agreed time. Usually we do them at 19:00 UTC. So, we'd have to find out 19:00 UTC for any of those days of the week, starting 5th of February.

The second proposal here, Jean-Jacques mentioned earlier that it is less time-sensitive than the first one. That's absolutely agreed. Of course, that's when the human rights impact assessment is going to be implemented. Perhaps we can do this in ... Let me have a look at my calendar. Make suggestions as to whether you have a proposed date.

The March ICANN meeting starts on the 10th of March. So, it might be that with all of this frenzy about GDPR before the March ICANN Puerto Rico meeting, there's not going to be very much time to focus on this, in which case we can move it until after. So, either the end of March or early April. How does that sound? Sounds like most of you are totally date agnostic. "Sounds reasonable," says Roberto. I do have to check this as well. The end of March, the week after ... So, we do have a small problem in that, of course, usually after an ICANN meeting staff takes a week off. In fact, we all take a week off – all the people that go take the week off because it's so taxing. So, we would be "reopening for business" on the 26th. That's the week of Easter, ending with Easter Sunday with Good Friday on the 30th.

I'm not very good with dates, but would you say it's better to have it before Easter or after Easter? When do most people take their holidays, before or after? I take it before.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Before.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks. In which case, we move it to April. Roberto mentioned that people take their holidays in March. So, we could do it either in the week starting the second or the week starting the ninth of April. How does that sound? The week starting the ninth of April. Does anybody wish to lead on this? The human rights impact assessment. The week of the ninth of April. Okay, excellent. Let's do that then.

I think that it was Annette who was particularly focused on the human rights impact assessment. Perhaps we could have her lead on this, unless anybody else wishes to lead on this. Roberto mentioned I'm sure [inaudible] volunteer. Okay, let's tentatively write down Annette as leading on this. I'll of course be happy to assist. We will need to find out ... Well, if I could ask staff to try and find out how we could have a webinar in the human rights impact assessment. It might be something, by the way, that would be also an ALAC-wide webinar of interest. Perhaps another thing might be to check if ... Because the human rights impact assessment is something that is going to be – well, GNSO, ALAC, everyone is going to be subjected to. So, the webinar on the Framework of Interpretation that we all throughout At-Large need to know about.

Let's move to number three. Proposal number three is discussions are still ongoing about the registration directory services and the SSR2 Review Team. Security and Stability Review Team Number 2.

There is a focus on the security aspect, privacy versus security. Study the practical issues linked to security. Could this be done with the help of SSAC? Someone has highlighted this. The anonymous [inaudible].

It's an interesting one here. There is indeed a big discussion about this. I'm not quite sure where we are now because as you've seen now, with the GDPR being where it is and with the SSR2 Review Team being where it is, too – and in case you're now aware, I believe that at the moment the review has been stopped for the time being for various reasons.

I don't know whether we're ready for something like this yet, or should we have a general webinar about this? Perhaps ask the SSAC what they think about it. I'm not quite sure. I would be interested in some guidance here, please.

Yes, Roberto. You mentioned that there are a couple of individual user members that are interested, that you cannot commit but you believe that there will be support and participation including leadership. Roberto, you have the floor.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

I don't know if I remember correctly, but I think that it was raised by a couple of people that participated in the individual user association meeting. There is interest and also specifically [inaudible] registration directory services [inaudible] SSRT2. There are some activities that are

being planned within – or foreseen within our association and relationship to EuroDIG, but also participation to the review team.

I'm just saying that – I mean, I might go and poll the membership and come back with a little bit more information, but I think that there is some sort of interest.

Now, in terms of timeframe, I think that we need at this point, if we want to have some sort of activities, I think that we need to be [faced] with the review team calendar.

On the second question, whether this could be done with the help of the SSAC, the answer is definitely yes in my point of view. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks very much for this, Robert. Olawale puts a very good suggestion in the chat, which is perhaps we could open a Wiki page for discussion on this. I'll ask if we could have, please, a Wiki page on this topic under the EURALO hierarchy of pages. I'll probably have to work with Silvia in finding out where should we house this? What kind of hierarchy do we want for people to be able to find it easily? Then we can start building on this.

Obviously, with the SSR Review Team 2 currently being stopped, there is no urgency to get that page completely filled up and to get us to do webinars and things like that about it. So let's please create that page, coordinate, and start filling that page up. Then, during our next call or during one of the EURALO calls, we can then decide as to how to expand on this and take this further. Great.

Anyone else wishes to speak about proposal three? I'm not seeing anybody's hand up, so let's go to proposal number four. Proposal number four is that EURALO needs to improve its communication changes, both about [inaudible] project and [inaudible] proposal, but also about the ICANN processes. Publish results of project successes and publish them. That probably has to be revisited, but you can see what I mean.

Link Twitter feed to the Facebook page. Link [Blockfeed] to the Facebook page. Tell the members to post to the Facebook channel. Need to find a curator for the Facebook page. Could it be the EURALO secretary?

I think that you weren't there at the time when this was discussed. In fact, yes, you were in the [inaudible] but you didn't attend that specific part of the discussions. Someone mentioned you as being the curator of the EURALO Facebook page. In case you haven't – I don't know if you are on Facebook. It's simple. The EURALO Facebook page is facebook.com/EURALO. I'll put the link in the chat. As you can see, it hasn't been really updated for quite a while. In fact, so much so that I can't even remember any of the people that are here. Have I actually seen these people? Oh, no, I recognize one person. Very terrible, not very sharp picture. That I believe was a EURALO meeting that took place in – where did that take place? That was in the [inaudible] about eight years ago or nine years ago. It was quite a while ago. This might need to be updated. I don't think anyone knows how to link the feed and stuff. This page is currently not really living. You can see no posts have been posted to it, no website clicks and zero post engagement it tells me at

the moment. We might need to get this thing – push it forward, effectively.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thanks, Olivier. On the chat I just put a sentence. I volunteer for proposal for EURALO [inaudible] to improve the communication channels with interested EURALO board members and designated staff. The reason I volunteer for this is that for the past few months I've been quite engaged in a collaborative journalism project, which is called Wiki Tribune founded by Jimmy Wales who is the founder of Wikipedia. I'm I think one of the principal community contributors, at least. I'd be willing to do this work with of course interested EURALO board members and designated staff.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much for this, Jean-Jacques. Now staff will not be updating a Facebook page. It's not part of the ICANN family of pages. Really, the Facebook page is something for us to run volunteers and to liven up.

Olaware, how do you feel about taking on the task to liven up the ... June 2016 was the last update. The one before that, I think – and it wasn't even an update. It was a post by Sebastien Bachollet. The post after that or the post really before that, was also a post by Sebastien Bachollet on May, the 24th, 2014. Two years earlier. Maybe there was one – yeah, there's one post a year. Not really great at the moment.

But, there are 80 people following and I'm sure if we did a bit of a push here we could get more people to become friends on that Facebook page and perhaps even get people to start talking about things and discussing things together on this.

Jean-Jacques, we'll put you in the team for this. And Olawale, you have the floor.

OLAWALE BAKARE:

Okay. Thank you so much. I'll volunteer to update the EURALO Facebook page. If [inaudible] be part of that so we can move the [inaudible] if he is willing to be part of it.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Owale. That's great. Since we have now an accounts focus, communications focus, should we be looking at putting together a communications group, perhaps? Owale, does the Facebook page – there's a wider communications push here and I note that Jean-Jacques mentioned the overall task. The overall communications challenge.

Currently, we have a taskforce on ALS engagement, which it looks at ways to engage At-Large Structures and we've got several projects under that.

I don't know – Yrjo, I'm going to turn to you because you're leading the ALS engagement. Do you think that we need – would a [inaudible] team be complementary to the ALS engagement or would it actually walk on the same patch of grass? I'm being very direct.

YRJÖ LÄNSIPURO:

Thank you, Olivier. That's what I was thinking. I think that our communications – of course, it's very good that we have a EURALO page on Facebook and wherever, but I think that ALSes would really be a very good sort of second step in our communication channels. This is something that we could combine with the engagement sub-group or sub-working party, whatever it is. I'm certainly willing to be part of the enhanced communication effort also regarding other social media. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this, Yrjo. I would suggest then that we don't formalize a communications group as such. So we don't have a working group for EURALO communications, but what we have is an [accounts] team within EURALO that will work with the working group on At-Large Structure engagement. That could be actually part of the working group on the ALS engagement. So, the communications effectively is the continuous and continued engagement of At-Large Structures. I see a green tick from Roberto. Thank you. Okay, let's [inaudible].

I think that we've got enough on this one. We need [inaudible]. We've got the curator for this. The Twitter, the blog, all of that, you know that there is also some At-Large wide Twitter feeds and At-Large wide discussions also with the At-Large Outreach and Engagement Working Group that are leading on this.

How many of you are members of the Outreach and Engagement Working Group? Yrjo, Olawale, and Jean-Jacques, are you part of that

At-Large wide working group or not? It's just that ... No need to get a quick answer here. It's just that I'd like to also be able to coordinate with what we're doing, as in what At-Large is doing at an At-Large wide basis, so that, again, there's no duplication of resources between the push that is done in the At-Large working group and the EURALO working group.

Yrjo, you're a member of the ALAC group, okay. Jean-Jacques mentions, "Yrjo, could you lead out the list of your group?" That's the input there. Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [inaudible] has put a link actually to that page in the Wiki.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: May I ask, Silvia, is there any – because I can't remember off the top of my head, is the communications or is it social media working group, still running or has that been merged with the outreach and engagement?

SILVIA VIVANCO: I have Evin on the call and she has been working on social media. If I may, Evin, ask you, are you aware?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I was not aware.

EVIN ERDOGU:

Hello. To my knowledge, I think social media is a separate working group on its own. Having said that, we are going to confirm the status this month of several working groups, but social media is its own entity. John Laprise is the Chair. I'll be staff lead on that, as Ariel is transitioning.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much for this. The question is, then, we'll have to find out. Let's have an action item to find out who of us is in the Social Media Working Group. I know that I'm part of it. But, if we want to have a concerted strategy, maybe we'll also have to look at then beefing up the number of people we've got in the Social Media Working Group. At the moment, I note three people in the Social Media Working Group. Jordi Iparraguirre has left EURALO. He's now working for a company in Berlin, so very far away from Barcelona. Yuliya Morenets has not been active at all. And me. I think we need to populate this. There's three more people listed further down for some reason. I'm not sure why [inaudible]. Never heard of that person. Oksana Prykhodko from Ukraine. [inaudible] whom I don't know either. We'd have to check on that. Perhaps we need to send more people on this.

I don't see any other hands up. Then, let's move on. We've got a good number of action items. Any further comments on any of those projects that we have here or is there anything else which you believed should be derived from the document that we've had in front of our eyes from the EURALO brainstorming? In fact, any feedback from Jean-Jacques, Olawale, and Yrjo who hasn't been there? I know, Yrjo, you've given some feedback. But, Olawale and Jean-Jacques, if there's anything that

you wish to add to this, now is the time. If not, then we can move on to the next part of our call. That's the EURALO CROP requests for Fiscal Year 17.

I'm not talking about requests for next year. The way that the CROP works is the Community Regional Outreach Program – it only has one "P" this year. The previous year it had two. This is why you can see one link, which is the link for last year. The processing flow diagram, which hasn't changed, has got two Ps, but the procedures and guidelines are now with one.

There is a link to the EURALO draft. There is also a link to the overall Community Regional Outreach Program Review Team. We've done some minor changes to the – well, we haven't done any changes to the review team so far because of the question mark as to whether that review team will continue operating as it currently is. This is static.

But, so far, EURALO has made one request for a trip proposal and that was to have Olawale Bakare come to Geneva for the Internet Governance Forum. You all can see the trip proposal there. Olawale [inaudible] has also now or is in the process of producing a trip report, which would be good actually to share with our community, Olawale, when you have it concluded. It would be good to share with everyone.

Just to let you know, we now have four more slots. We somehow have to think about what we want to use these spots for. In previous years, we've used them all for EuroDIG. This year, EuroDIG is taking place out of region. We are likely to be able to work something out with ICANN

even though EuroDIG is a bit out of region. It's still a European event. But, we might wish to do other things with the funding.

Because it takes eight weeks from the time of proposal to the time of travel, we need to think about this earlier rather than later. Does anyone have any suggestions as to where we could send someone between now and the end of June 2018?

Last year, there was an opportunity to have RightsCon – to send someone over to RightsCon. That, unfortunately, we're too late in filing the request. Fortunately, we had a very understanding regional vice president, Jean-Jacques [Sahid], who spoke to one of our colleagues – Bastiaan Goslings, who is based in Amsterdam, just around the corner from RightsCon, which was in Brussels, and therefore they extended some ad hoc funding for Bastiaan to go and attend this.

This year, RightsCon is taking place in Canada, so completely out of region and therefore we're not able to go there. There were thoughts about going to Republica. There were thoughts about going to other locations.

If you look at the EURALO outreach strategic plan, we actually missed out various potential places to go to. [inaudible] 2017, of course that's not something for CROP. Republica is from the 2nd to the 4th of May 2018. We have mentioned that we ask for CROP slots for this. Republica I believe is taking place in Berlin. In case you don't know what Republica is, 700 bloggers in attendance with 9,000 national and international participants coming from all areas of society. It's quite a big event and it takes place in Berlin. Yes, [inaudible] in Berlin. [inaudible] suggest that

we send someone for Republica. We can do two things. One thing that we will get pushback on will be that we already have At-Large Structures based in Berlin, so why do we need a CROP slot to send someone from elsewhere to go to Berlin?

EuroDIG and SEEDIG are the same. RightsCon we mentioned is not happening in Europe. CPDP, Computers Privacy and Data Protection, is something that is taking place from the 24th to the 26th of January. Unfortunately, we're too late for this. The Internet Governance Week in Brussels is also taking place from the 23rd to the 25th of January, so we're too late for this, too, which is exactly what we have to try and avoid. We really haven't got very much choice right now with just Republica and with EuroDIG being the two identified meetings.

Olawale mentions, "What about the IETF meeting coming up in London in March 2018? Could there be a CROP slot for it?"

There could be, if we can show that there is a possibility of ... It's outreach. It's effectively outreach to get members. What likelihood is there that we would get members from the IETF meeting is something I'm a little confused about.

Roberto, we're talking about 2018. We're talking now. From now until first of July 2018.

The IETF meeting I will be attending since I'm based in London. In fact, not only that, but the ISOC England will be organizing some sessions over it, the IETF.

Sebastien Bachollet, you have the floor. Apologies. I was scoping through pages and pages of Wikis. I didn't see your hand up.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Now you can maybe hear me.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now we can hear you. Go ahead.

SEBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yeah. Just because you read the comments of Olawale, but you don't read my comments which were just before. I was suggesting that maybe something could be done for you to travel from Niece to Marseille to go to the RIPE meeting. Not [inaudible] but CROP could be very useful as we have now an MOU with RIPE. It could be good to show that we can do something together. [inaudible] France, but [inaudible]. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Sebastien. That's a good point. RIPE meeting in Marseille from the 14th to the 16th of May. What we might do for this is to ask RIPE whether there could be a fellowship of some sort, a RIPE fellowship for this. That's a good point. Let's take note of this.

First action item, RIPE 76. Second action item, regarding Republica, let's look for maybe one slot for one person to go to Republica. What we can do is to coordinate with our German ALSes based in Berlin as well, so that the person who would go there would not be just by themselves

and lost in the wider scheme of things because I think that our German ALSes in Berlin have already attended some of the Republica. It might be helpful if somebody speaks German there as well.

This is for outreach, by the way, so we need someone to really push the thing.

Just to keep the timing on this, Republica is 2-4 of May, so we need to be quick on this as well. The fastest one that we need to work on, of course is – well, they're all one after the other. 2-4 of May and 14-18 of May.

Then, the third one – so, we have four slots. Perhaps then we can have two slots for EuroDIG this year. Are we all okay with this? Sebastien? Sebastien has put his hand down. Anyone else? Okay, that's good.

Now, the last thing about the CROP and about outreach and engagement is to let you know about the outreach and engagement calendar. That's linked from your agenda. I'm not sure if anybody is aware of this. If you click on this, you will find an ICANN At-Large community calendar, which has Europe [inaudible] outreach.

It's a calendar where we can get all of our At-Large Structures to add events and things that they actually do in Europe. I'm not sure anybody is aware of this because, right now, I can't see anything at all being put in there, although we have publicized it in the past. It does need someone to curate it and help out with making sure things are in there and to push it to our community.

Do you think it might be helpful perhaps if we have a quick demo of how to use this calendar to bring our next EURALO conference call? How can we push this? That, again, is a community resource that can help At-Large Structures get to tell each other what they're doing. If there's remote participation, people can participate remotely, etc. It's very much like a Google Calendar type thing. It's something that is for our own use.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thanks, Olivier. Since we decided on the previous point that the communications subgroup will act in coordination with the ALS group headed by Yrjo, I think it would make sense if we make an action item or took note of an action item which would be to refer this exact question you have mentioned to Yrjo's group and we would take it up there. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this. Let's do that, then. Let's have an action item, please, as Jean-Jacques says, that the question on how to populate the calendar will be placed to the EURALO ALS Engagement Taskforce. In accordance, of course, hand in hand with the communications team. Good. Thank you for the suggestion, Jean-Jacques. Very good.

Let's just then quickly let you know of [inaudible] agenda item number five, collaboration with RIPE following the RIPE and EURALO MOU. I

think that Sebastien has touched on this, in fact. That might be the next step. Are there any further comments on this?

Let me just get in touch with ... So, action item for me to get in touch with Chris Buckridge from RIPE inquiring about the RIPE meeting, the forthcoming RIPE meeting, in Marseille. It's [inaudible] because I had dinner with Chris Buckridge last night. Never mind, it's okay. Email works, too.

Next, the further thought about the EURALO MOU with RIPE. As you know, there is also a domain name [inaudible] in Europe for the country code top level domain. It's called CENTR. Now, APRALO already has an MOU signed with their regional top level domain organization, APTLD. The idea is that we should be signing something also perhaps with our regional country code top level domain association.

I've gotten in touch with CENTR thanks to the fact that I was in Geneva. I spoke with one of their people during the IGF and I actually then spoke to ... Well, Peter Van Roste, who is the Chair or CEO of that association, had just left the day before, so we e-mailed each other and I look forward to following up with him perhaps – I've already shared with him the idea of signing something along the same lines as with RIPE and the response has been very positive so far.

If we were to move forward, which I think is quite likely, I would propose that we have the signature ceremony in Barcelona, since this is October 2018. We have plenty of time until we sign, but at least then we will be doing this over in Europe. The previous signature with RIPE of course took place in Abu Dhabi, so we didn't have that many people

present because of the small contingent of Europeans that were there. Hopefully, in Barcelona we'll have more people.

Are there any comments or questions on this? None? Okay, thank you.

Finally, then, we have the any other business part of our agenda. I don't see anyone putting their hand up. Oh, I do. Roberto Gaetano, you have the floor.

ROBERTO GAETANO:

My question is how are we going to communicate to EURALO to all EURALO members what has been discussed and what has been decided in this board meeting? I'm saying this because when I was on the other side, the seating side, of the communication from the EURALO board, I could not get the information. So now that I'm on the other side, on this side, I'm worried about we have an official channel of communication by which the ALSes, but also the people that are members of the ALSes can know what has been decided. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much for this, Roberto. Very good point you're making. What I might suggest, because this call is recorded, the action items are taken down, the transcript will be drafted and released in good time. And of course this call is open for anyone to attend, not just the EURALO board. What I would suggest perhaps is ... Well, the recording will be ready in 24 hours, but when the transcript is ready, perhaps should we then publicize the location of the agenda and remind everyone that the

transcript and recording can be accessed for anyone to follow?
Roberto?

ROBERTO GAETANO:

Well, I beg to differ. We cannot ask to every participant in EURALO to go and read the transcript or listen to the recording of a 75-minute call up to this moment. I think that we need to have a way to communicate with them an executive summary or with minutes or with something that can be basically one A4 page that people can read. Obviously, nobody is going to read a 40-page transcript, but maybe a one-page executive summary can do a longer way also in terms of outreach. We spend a lot of money in terms of outreach, but then some of the solutions that will be simple to reach out to people, like having summaries of the decisions and of the meetings. I think that we [inaudible] to do that.

I'm just wondering what happens with other stakeholder groups where they have a different way of communicating with the membership and potential members. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this. The issue of making notes or a summary or minutes of a meeting, when it comes down to the RALOs, it was discussed a few years ago. The consensus was that it would be the RALOs themselves – the volunteers that would be putting together. If they wanted to have minutes, it would be putting together the minutes themselves.

I know that Olawale has mentioned in the past that we have taken of minutes of meetings and we've done a great job in summarizing the discussions that have happened in the last EURALO calls. Is this something that you might wish to consider for future calls, Olawale, just to be able to make a few notes on the discussion so we have a summary of the call? Jean-Jacques Subrenat?

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: A remark. There has been a tendency in EURALO, and elsewhere certainly in the EURALO Individual Users Association, that we had various formats on meetings. Sometimes it was too long, too detailed, sometimes not enough. Really, I would engage, or rather suggest, that for EURALO meetings we agree on one format, always the same, which is really the executive summary.

Certainly, we have to steer away from the he said, she said type of all the detail, the interruptions and all that stuff, which is of totally no use after a month or two have passed by. So, I plead very vigorously for the executive summary. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Jean-Jacques. Next is Olawale Bakare. Olawale, I'm afraid we cannot hear you at the moment. Or at least I can't hear you. Has Olawale dropped?

OLAWALE BAKARE: Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: We can hear you. Yes, go ahead, Olawale.

OLAWALE BAKARE: Yes. I just want to, with regards to the question you asked on the reports, because – like I said in the past, to every activity on the meeting that has taken place within [inaudible]. So, for instance, with this EURALO board teleconference now, the minutes is taken care of today. Also, by the end of this month, [inaudible] have taken place within EURALO will be mentioned in the EURALO secretariat monthly report. Is that [inaudible]. I understand [inaudible] every meeting because I don't think that has been done in the past, but on this [inaudible], the EURALO monthly report will be communicated to the EURALO members. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Olawale. Jean-Jacques mentioned in the chat that his suggestion was somewhat different. Rather than detailed minutes, he mentions that we need an executive summary with action items.

Well, the action items, Jean-Jacques, are there. They are recorded. It's just the executive summary that is not recorded.

Roberto Gaetano?

ROBERTO GAETANO: Yeah. As I said in the chat, asking that stakeholder groups will be treated equally. It's a global equal multi-stakeholder was the [inaudible] of this organization under Fadi. I don't think that this has become obsolete with the change of CEO. If some activities are performed for some supporting organizations by staff, staff should be committed to the same things for ALAC, for instance, to the advisory groups and so on. I think that everybody should have the same type of support. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Roberto. As I mentioned in the chat, [inaudible] discussion between the ALAC Chair and the At-Large director – director for At-Large, Heidi.

The only thing I can point you to at present is for you to have a look at the action items. In fact, action items and notes on the bottom right-hand corner of your screen, where Evin and [Claudia] have very kindly put some notes there. And we've got the action items that are drafted. I know that they've done a little more as well. For example, they've said here "brainstorming document [December 19] was approved by all board members" and then a mention about proposal one, and with whoever is taking the lead, etc., proposal two, blah-blah-blah. That, to me, is the closest it comes to notes that are taken on the chat.

Any thoughts on this? I'll let you shift through this. Let me know if that's good, as a good first step forward.

Perhaps we can share that with EURALO. Roberto, [inaudible].

ROBERTO GAETANO: Yeah. Let's think about that and have it as a point of the agenda for the next call. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Roberto. With this, it's 19:23 UTC. I wanted to thank everyone for being on this call. It's likely shorter than 90 minutes, so you've got 10 minutes back. Thanks, everyone. We will be sharing the action items and will be notifying the EURALO list in the meantime. [inaudible] UTC. Oh, it is, because of course I'm so used to being in a different time zone. It is 20:23 UTC. I am in the UK, so we are on UTC time. Thanks, everyone. This call is now adjourned. Have a very good evening, everybody, bye-bye.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Bye-bye. Thank you, everyone.

EVIN ERDOGU: Thank you, everyone. This call is now adjourned. Please do not forget to disconnect your lines from the bridge and AC room. Have a wonderful rest of your day. Bye-bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]