

**GNSO Review Working Group Response to Organizational Effectiveness Committee (OEC)
Questions on Implementation Status**

Questions Sent on 05 January 2018 by Avri Doria

Question on Recommendation 16: Has the Policy Impact Assessment (PIA), been done for any PDPs yet, i.e, those completed since February 2017? Has the template proved effective? If not, when is the first one that will test the template provided.

Working Group Response: No PDPs have been completed since February 2017. Per the Completed Projects List: <https://gns0.icann.org/en/group-activities/inactive> that most recently completed project was the Cross Community Working Group on the Use of Country and Territory Names as Top-Level Domains. There are currently 5 active PDP Working Groups. Protection of International Organization Names in All gTLDs (reconvened); Review of All Rights Protection Mechanisms in New gTLDs; New gTLD Subsequent Procedures; Next Generation gTLD Registration Directory Services (RDS) to replace WHOIS; and Curative Rights Protections for IGO/INGOs. The closest to completion is the Protection of International Organization Names in All gTLDs. It hopes to complete its deliberations by February 2018, following which its recommendations will be published for public comment before a final report is submitted to the GNSO Council. Once it is complete, a PIA can be conducted.

Question on Recommendation 18: Given that ongoing evaluation was considered impractical, what is being substituted?

Working Group Response: As noted in its report the Working Group determined that the GDD Consensus Policy Implementation Framework of 31 May 2015 completes the implementation of the recommendation that post implementation policy effectiveness evaluations are analyzed by the GNSO Council to monitor and improve the drafting and scope of future PDP Charters and facilitate the effectiveness of GNSO policy outcomes over time. However, the Working Group further determined that it is not feasible to evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness **“on an ongoing basis”** (rather than periodically as stated in the current GNSO Operating Procedures) it is not feasible to implement this aspect of the recommendation. The Working Group envisioned that the current requirement to evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness “periodically” as stated in the GNSO Operating Procedures would be the continued approach.

Question: Recommendation 27 indicates that the membership lists for all GNSO constituencies are available. Is there a url for these?

Working Group Response: The URLs are listed in the attachment to the Charter. They are as follows:

- GNSO
 - CBUC - <http://www.bizconst.org/bc-membership-list>
 - IPC - <http://www.ipconstituency.org/current-membership>
 - ISPCP - <http://www.ispcp.info/members-of-the-ispcp>
 - NCSG - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1o0n2H5xkTPmon8K8wbFg0dAZTouHWgkWjcyNsSs_YXw/edit#gid=0
 - NCUC - <https://www.ncuc.org/about/membership/>
 - NPCC - <http://www.npcc.org/about/members/>
 - RrSG - <http://icannregistrars.org/members-list/>
 - RySG - <https://www.rysg.info/rysg-membership-roster>

Note, however, as Recommendations 26, 27, 28, and 29 are on hold pending further guidance on the potential impact of the European Union General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) that will be enforced beginning 25 May 2018. See: <https://www.eugdpr.org/>.