

GNSO PDP WG Chairs Support Pilot Project

Application Form

Please provide responses in relation to the following candidate eligibility criteria identified in the *GNSO PDP WG Chairs Support Pilot Project*¹ procedure.

Criteria 1: “...must be a recognized member of the Leadership Team of a PDP WG (Chair/Co-Chair, Vice-Chair, or lead of a working group sub group). **Applications submitted by a sub group lead must be supported by the PDP WG Chair(s) and endorsement by the GNSO Council liaison to the PDP is strongly encouraged;** The sub group lead should submit the application to the PDP WG Chair(s). The PDP WG Chair(s) will then evaluate all applications received from sub group leaders and submit relevant materials with a recommendation to the Selection Panel. ²;”

Question 1: Identify the PDP WG and describe the role that the candidate serves on the PDP WG.

Response 1:

Criteria 2: “...must be able to demonstrate their active participation in the proceedings of the PDP WG (e.g. minimum attendance of 75% of all scheduled meetings directly relevant to the candidate. For instance, a WG Co-Chair’s attendance would be measured against all full WG meetings and any relevant sub group meetings where they play a leadership role. Similarly, a sub group lead’s attendance would be measured against full WG meetings and the relevant sub group meetings for which they lead.³);”

Question 2: Provide evidence of the candidate’s active participation.

Response 2:

¹ For the Procedure, including the Eligibility Criteria described in this document, see:

<https://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-wg-chairs-support-pilot-project-21jun17-en.pdf>

² In the event that two or more PDP WG leaders from the same PDP (including those for sub groups) are submitting applications for the same ICANN meeting, those leaders should coordinate their submissions and provide their guidance on how the applications should be prioritized by the Selection Panel, in the event prioritization is needed.

³ WG attendance is taken for all GNSO working group efforts. The candidate’s attendance will only be measured against the potential opportunities to attend (e.g., which would be impacted by their sign-up date). See here as an example for the already concluded non-PDP Data & Metrics for Policy Making WG:

<https://community.icann.org/x/XbfhAg>

Criteria 3: *“...should not be eligible for other community travel support. Candidates must identify any other sources of funding they considered and an explanation for why they would be ineligible or otherwise unable to receive funding through those sources. The Selection Panel may request further details on why previous funding options are unavailable, if applicable; and,”*

Question 3: Describe what sources of funding were considered and why those funding options are unavailable to the candidate.

Response 3:

Criteria 4: *“...must demonstrate that their attendance is critical to the success of the PDP WG meeting or an aspect of the meeting that cannot be achieved by participating remotely.”*

Question 4: Describe why your attendance is critical to the success of the PDP WG’s meeting(s) during the ICANN meeting.

Response 4: