Notes from call with Elaine Pruis – 06 October 2017

Clarification CSC internal review document. Discussion to take place with CSC

Quick guide
Explanation of the CSC documents

Question item 3: suggest to go to ccNSO and GNSO. Need to go back to original docu
mentation, why is was originally included.
GNSO and ccNSO could trigger further action.
Martin: exhausted RAP, where to take it from there. CSC not authorized to take further action.
Suggested wording misses that point.

Action: look up original considerations

Item 4.
Martin: issue here was that CSC was mediator between a registry and PTI in a specific case. It may have had more to do with the ccTLD side, in particular for
those ccTLDs who are not member of the ccNSO.
Weary that CSC takes a decision-making role

Item 5.
Change SLA procedures
See below
Item 7 Liaisons

Item 8:
Change of frequency to meet needs to be updated

Item 9: Members to receive travel funding
(distinct from liaisons)
More relaxed about it. Right people for the job.

item 10: RAP reporting

item 11.
Donna: Potentially an issue
IFO being independent from ICANN. Concern that role of CSC being a product of PTI. P
TI itself would not have the resources to perform secretariat question.
To maintain independence: any issues with ICANN constraint.

item 12. RAP

SLA change procedure discussion

The 4 different procedures
Different changes of the service levels.

Donna: CSC challenges proposals are part of charter review
Try to find a way to wrap this up and make part of things that need to happen.
Martin: It seems not unreasonable to flag and suggest how this could be modified
Suggest to give it serious thought to resolve it.

Elaine: adding suggest
Martin: time to make CSC a useful body
- Not a lot of interchange between CSC charter drafting team and DT -
  A. Modification done in parallel

Notes from call with Elise Gerich – 11 October 2017

Welcome

Opportunity to hear your view on CSC

Cognizant about Elise leaving

Discussion with Elaine, and follow-up next Monday

Focus on charter

Elise:

Effectiveness of CSC

CSC has grown. Selection of the individuals reflects well. Membership is opreational

Collaborative. Focusing on performance of IFO. Taking job seriously. Starting with SLA + baseli ne info.

Some SLA granular and some based on very limited data set.

CSC kept this aspect SLA and its history in mind in discussions.

CSC charter and Bylaws + documentation transition

Sometimes not consistent

Donna: Focusing on members.

DT-C spend quite some time on separation of members and liaison

Does split work, any issues?

Elise: Charter states roles for members

Limited membership small pool of people who do work

Details are worked out

Liaisons have engaged

Some liaisons active participants.

As PTI liaison, never need to be member

Being liaison not limiting. Difference between liaison of PTI and community liaisons. Roles are different.

Donna: Is there a need to differentiate responsibilities of PTI liaison and reflect in charter? Separation between PTI liaison and members is essential

In charter it is stated primary and secondary points of contact from PTI.

See membership composition.

Is also current practoice and works well

A to Difference between voting and non-voting

Elise: only relevant when discussing SLA. whne it comes to determination, then it comes to membership.

Conversation includes all

Cadence of meetings CSC need to meet at every meetings

Was necessary first year, to start -up CSC and CSC-PTI interaction

As to monthly meeting

It is important to decide for CSC members what they want report to community

CSC meeting focus on RAP, SLA change procedures and other matters. Need to be completed

Donna: administrative work will continue for a litlte while.

Elise on interaction between CSC and PTI:
Quaterly meeting might be necessary to ensure feed-back and to avoid misunderstandings

CSC charter is narrow, and for good reasons at the time

Q: Should scope CSC expand?

Elise: Like the narrow scope keeps focus. If something would emerge, take it back to community
.

Focus on performance.

Donna: ensuring limited focus

Closure of meeting 15.32 UTC

Questions for the CSC

1. How effective is the CSC in handling its business (as seen as by us as independent observer
s) – and if there are any issues, are they associated with the charter?

2. A discussion on the CSC proposals (and their wider implications) for charter changes.

Notes from call with CSC – 16 October 2017

1. Welcome and Introduction

Meeting is quorate

Naela, welcome in her new role

2. Discussion with the CSC Charter Review Team

a. How effective is the CSC in handling its business (as seen as by us as independent observer s) – and if there are any issues, are they associated with the charter?

b. A discussion on the CSC proposals (and their wider implications) for charter changes.

Jay:

The CSC has been effective.

Proper scrutiny of performance. Future direction of travel of SLA successful.
Structural stuff around CSC, all has been very good.
Nature of interaction between PTI and CSC has been working very well

James: Thanks to members and chair: no differentiation between members and liaisons.

Donna: Not restricted to members, good to know open communication.

Terms: no specific conversation about term, other then who is doing which term.

Scope of Charter?

Concern: There could be a call for broadening the scope. Is keeping scope narrow scope more preferred option?

Jay: PTI has been very open to share even beyond scope of CSC (for example survey).

Is instrumental in success. If is no proper sharing scope might become an issue.

James, Kal, Byron: focused remit allows CSC to do its job properly.
If broadened, topics become more qualitative then quantitative. The narrow scope allows CSC to be a trusted entity.

Elise Gerich: Narrow focus helps in selection of membership

Jay: 2 directions in future.

Formal role of customer and development roadmap for PTI. Membership been approached to take this role

Donna: regarding
Members and liaisons, has charter done a good job in clarifying roles of liaisons and members?

Lars: Up to date it has worked very well, also due to personalities of people selected.

Is relationship with PTI from RSSAC perspective helpful. Lars Liman: this is definite a separate, important channel. Also for future to have a direct channel with direct customers.

Charter specific about meeting monthly- and meeting with community

Byron: In first year monthly has been very helpful

Once moving past setting the stage, having a discussion on lesser
frequency might be useful, on frequency and type of meeting.

Donna: schedule Session with community.

Pre- meeting earlier. Expectation from CSC review team to have another conversation CSC

Notes from meeting with PTI Board – 01 November 2017

Key findings and questions to date
- Required to review, Elaine Pruis liaison CSC

- Scope of the review is to ensure charter is fit for purpose CSC was designed for.

- CSC provided redline of Charter. Interesting perspective on IFO in charter. Neutral, generic term for entity performing the IANA Naming Function. In charter IFO not change charter to PTI

- **Timing of reviews.** Staggering of reviews identified as issue. Bylaw driven

Encourage integration between PTI Board and CSC
Entities involved around CSC:
- CSC
- Board PTI, PTI Org
- ICANN Board, ICANN Org

NRO and IETF not associated with CSC, no liaison, not participation in CSC& PTI

Note: Accountability relation might be muddled by too much integration

Observations Lise, Jonathan
Understand ICANN Board has delegated responsibility with PTI Board to ICANN's CEO
Not documented, need for documentation

ICANN Board having meeting with CSC. PTI Board not invited

Formally no relation with ICANN Board.
ICANN CEO is establishing relation with CSC and PTI Board.

Review team comment is whether that is addressable
And what is relevance in context of CSC charter review ?

Additional Observations
CSC RAP: Who needs to confirm? PTI Board
SLA mechanism change?
Making sure in charter CSC relationship are spelled out better

Areas for CSC relationship with PTI
Integration in Budget, early consultations between CSC and PTI Board
On PTI Strategic plan and Budget. MoU between PTI Board and CSC.
PTI Board and CSC meeting 2-3 times a year.