## Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript CCWG Accountability Plenary F2F - AM Session 9 March 2018

Brenda Brewer: (3/9/2018 08:07) Good day all! Welcome to CCWG Accountability Plenary Face to Face at ICANN61.

Kavouss Arasteh: (08:26) Hi everybody
Niels ten Oever: (08:29) Hello all!
Kavouss Arasteh: (08:31) Here in Geneva, it is 1332.
Kavouss Arasteh: (08:32) At what time, in how many more mints the meeting starats pls
Kavouss Arasteh: (08:33) Does it start at 13,00 UTC
Kavouss Arasteh 2: (08:39) Brenda, pls kindly advise at what UTC TIME THE MEETING STARTS
Nathalie Vergnolle: (08:41) We'll start at 8:45 AST (12:45 UTC)
Kavouss Arasteh 2: (08:45) TKS
Niels ten Oever: (08:49) Thanks Thomas, happy to be here!
Fiona Asonga: (08:54) @Kavouss the reading is in the first 2 hours
Greg Shatan: (09:02) Thank you, Jurors Ab Carter!
Jordan Carter: (09:04) Jurors?
Milton Mueller: (09:06) "the inexorable passage of time"
Kavouss Arasteh 2: (09:07) mAY THE DOC. BE POSTED ON SCREEN PLS
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:09) it is coming soon Kavouss
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:09) thank you brenda, scroll control please
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:10) p5
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:11) thx
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:12) p7
Robin Gross: ( $09: 15$ ) Steve's point may be a good thing to bring up in public comment.
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:16) indeed @Robin
Brenda Brewer: (09:20) currently on page 18
Milton Mueller: (09:21) hey all. I don't support the change on p 6 from "qualified" to approved. Earlier on p 4 we say something similar about Registrars and we use the word "qualified". i see no reason to deviate from that usage

Milton Mueller: (09:25) right I can wait until you deal with Brazil comments
Milton Mueller: (09:25) I simply wanted to talk about qualified
Thiago Jardim: (09:26) I'll paste the suggested addition here ffor all purposes:
Thiago Jardim: (09:26) "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of JurisdictionRelated Concerns" are needed, in particular on jurisdictional immunities, found echo in several comments
subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:28) So on the intervention from Brazil... would additional text such as "The subgroup notes that comments were received and discussed, regarding the desirability of further substantive discussions at a later date regarding aspects of the Jurisdiction topic" ???

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (09:34) Jorge new hand?
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (09:34) sorry
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:37) Thomas, we need to find a middle ground for modified suggestion from Thiago
Steve DelBianco: (09:37) It would be helpful to see Thiago's latest proposed text.
Thiago Jardim: (09:38) @Steve: "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"
Steve DelBianco: (09:39) @Thiago - Thank you
Thiago Jardim: (09:39) Thank you :)
Milton Mueller: (09:41) Can someone help me understand: are we quibbling about whether the drafters were sufficiently neutral in their characterization or are there meaningful, substantive differences in our approach going forward if this amendment is accepted?

Jordan Carter: (09:42) It sounds like the dispute is about whether the comments were considered in detail.
Greg is arguing they are, Thiago is arguing they were not. (that's what I can see).
Milton Mueller: (09:43) thanks jordan
Jordan Carter: (09:43) (I sincerely apologise if I have mis-characterised anything)
Farzaneh Badii: (09:43) Thiago wants to bring greater emphasis to the issue that immunity should be discussed later on. our original text makes immunity as one of the topics $t$ that might be discussed
Milton Mueller: (09:43) so in your opinion does the change affect anything we would do going forward?
Erich Schweighofer: (09:43) Thanks Thiago- I would support this proposal of Thiago - more balanced.
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:43) 0041 xx xxx
Jordan Carter: (09:43) Thiago's revised proposal doesn't mention immunity, as posted here
Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) ha? The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of JurisdictionRelated Concerns" are needed, in particular on jurisdictional immunities, found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Milton Mueller: (09:44) If Farzy is correct then Thiago's amendment would make the report more specific and should be supported
Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) of course it does
Jordan Carter: (09:44) Thiago Jardim: @Steve: "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"
Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) Jordan, scroll up ...
Thiago Jardim: (09:44) Thank you Prof. Milton, thank you Erich
Thiago Jardim: (09:45) Prof. Erich (my apologies)
Thiago Jardim: (09:45) both of whom I understand expressed support.
Jordan Carter: (09:45) Farzaneh, he amended the proposal to remove the point about immunities
Farzaneh Badii: $(09: 46)$ really? then what's the point of having it then ...
Milton Mueller: (09:46) put him on the spot! ;-)
Jordan Carter: (09:46) I don't nkow
Jordan Carter: (09:46) it just then becomes a comment about the process, and the process is disputed Milton Mueller: (09:47) I do not support amendments related to complaining about process. I do support an amendment that makes the focus on immunities clearer and more specific

Robin Gross: $(09: 48)$ Sounds like Brazil isn't going to remove the objection with or without the text. Not sure it makes sense to accommodate that.
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:49) I am disconnected now waiting to be dialed through land line
Greg Shatan 2: (09:49) Without suggesting its inclusion, the following would be factually accurate: "For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments (like all other comments) were considered in detail, but did not bring any changes to the report since existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged."
Brenda Brewer: (09:50) Kavouss, when we dial the number you provided above we get voice mail message Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:50) Can we discuss that then Greg, it is perhaps less neutral than the text I proposed earlier in this chat....
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:51) Brenda, I was and still is disconnected waiting the operator to dial me up through land line .pls kindly accerate the process
Jordan Carter: (09:51) we don't have time to send things back to the sub group
Brenda Brewer: (09:52) Kavouss, we have called your landline only to get voice mail message

David McAuley (RySG): (09:52) I respect the views of Brazil, France and others but I feel that the final report well states recommended OFAC changes, governing law/venue changes, and suggests further talks on jurisdiction. I don't support further change.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:53) I do not agree to send it back to the group since the apprpriate forum is CCWG Plenary and not sub group
Robin Gross: (09:53) I didn't think today's meeting was to be a re-litigation of the arguments heard throughout the working group that were not successful in gaining consensus
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (09:55) Could Greg and Thiago work on the text suggested by Greg? and come back after coffee?
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:56) There should be no pressure on Brezil to reconsider its initial statement which was supported by others at ICANN 60
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:57) Steve +1
Olga Cavalli: $(09: 57)+1$ to Kavouss comment
Greg Shatan 2: (10:01) Kavouss, what do you find unacceptable in the language I put forth?
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:02) Greg, you aid in the second part of your suggestion that the added phrase did not add any thing as it was already included in the first instance .If you drop your second part ,it could be a middle ground
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (10:04) again: "Could Greg and Thiago work on the text suggested by Greg? and come back after coffee?"
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (10:04) the gap is narrow and we should brifge it
Greg Shatan 2: (10:05) Thank you, Kavouss. Kavouss, Thiago, then how about this: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received."
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:06) Greg, it gets better but just ask tBrezil's view
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:07) That would be desirable IMO Jorge
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:08) We are almost ther pls do not drop the momentum
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:08) I agree with your understaning on this matter Thomas

Greg Shatan 2: $(10: 09)$ PROPOSAL: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received."

Greg Shatan 2: (10:11) Prior Proposal: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report since existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jordan Carter: (10:13) "THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT SINCE THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS AND UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED."

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:13) Works for me!!!!
Thiago Jardim: (10:13) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report since the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged."

Sebastien (ALAC): (10:13) Can we maek a break and have Greeg ans Thaigo comming back with a writing suggestion?
Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:14) Plas repèlace since by RECOGNIZING
Greg Shatan 2: (10:14) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT SINCE THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY NRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED." Jordan Carter: (10:15) Kavouss - I think replacing "since" with "Recognising" doesn't make sense Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:15) yes

Jordan Carter: (10:16) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY NRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:16) Done
Robin Gross: (10:18) If we accept this new text, is Brazil's opposition to the report withdrawn?
Milton Mueller: (10:18) I am on the phone
Steve DelBianco: (10:19) Milton is correct. We should be consistent on that
Jordan Carter: (10:21) All - we will try and do the coffee break as scheduled if we get there, in about ten mins
Steve DelBianco: (10:21) the process to apply to be a Registrar is
at https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A _ www.icann.org_resources_pages_accreditation-2D2012-2D02-2D25-

2Den\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloD LY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=62k_Ez4ZP_x4ErUI UtA8XO3NLCbWUcvv2GZoL4XRMk4\&e=

Jordan Carter: (10:21) and then we'll pick up the agenda
Jordan Carter: (10:22) (subject to finishing this JD first reading)
Steve DelBianco: (10:22) Note the first part of that process: "Qualification Criteria"
Milton Mueller: (10:23) right
Milton Mueller: (10:24) naw, I am not in favor of "nice" ;-)
Thomas Rickert: (10:24) that was the word you were using earlier:-)
Milton Mueller: (10:25) well if Steve supports qualified in both cases we shuld stick with qualified
Milton Mueller: (10:25) that would be good too Greg
Brenda Brewer: (10:27) Page 20 now
Jordan Carter: (10:36) Approved with amendment
Jordan Carter: (10:36) First reading, Jurisdiction report for WS2
Jordan Carter: (10:37) The additional text goes on the end of the "Red Wall Of Text" on the pages labelled 12 and 13

Jordan Carter: (10:38) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Brenda Brewer: (10:38) We are taking a 20 minute break. We will resume at top of hour.
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:03) (hello, i think there's a hand up from last discussion)
Jordan Carter: (11:05) dear Kavouss - is your hand up for this topic?
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (11:05) is there a link to the doc?
Jordan Carter: (11:05) all of the docs were circlated by email
Jordan Carter: (11:06) checking if there is a Wiki page, Jorge
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:06) Scroll??
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:07) Thx
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:08) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A community.icann.org download attachments 59643278 CCWG-2DAccountability-2DWS2-
2DDiversity-2DFinalRecommendations-2DRedLine.pdf-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-
3D1518990598000-26api-
3Dv2\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloD
LY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=8Cq0Kgk1vJk0DW Exhy_yNGU9d_1Zsij6VL9Jdmgx19E\&e=

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:10) wiki of the group is this https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https3A community.icann.org_display_WEIA Diversity\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6s Jms7xcl4I5cM\&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=6hfz1HtCFpk2thJX1 3FMQM -VBCTf_HTHIStM_yoGHM\&e=

Brenda Brewer: (11:10) scroll is now on
Kavouss Arasteh: (11:11) The first change from would to should seems ok with me but the composite term should agree seems awkward Isuggest to replace should agree by should take into account the following 7....
Jordan Carter: (11:11) All - staff have confirmed that lunch will be available in this room.
Kavouss Arasteh: (11:12) BON APETIT
MM: (11:16) Does all the presentation material in this F2F meeting post to the website for reference ???
Brenda Brewer: (11:16) Yes, you may find the documents posted on wiki
here; https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A _community.icann.org_x_9wJyB\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\& r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=N0F-
OcgtoNOwBF9-oC-FJNT4a2IakbzNmAbqbBApews\&e=
MM: (11:17) thansk a lot
Kavouss Arasteh: (11:17) IGeneral comment ,From the very begining I was of the opinion that the implementation of these Recommendations would be extermly difficut due to the subjective nature of criteria suggested

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:18) Chris Gift - Universal registration project - for data collection upon participant registration to a meeting

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:20) The discussion on SO/AC data collection for implementation of recs should be indeed updated
Kavouss Arasteh: (11:24) General comment ,From the very begining I was of the opinion that the implementation of these Recommendations would be extermly difficut due to the subjective nature of criteria suggested.These criteria are mostly of theoretical and idealistic nature and may not be implementable since many other entities try hard to take the same course of action but were faced with certain difficulties Jordan Carter: (11:24) folks I will just remind you that this is a second reading

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) That is not what the detailed analysis of our PC comments showd it showed that there was *not* support for such an office

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) That is not what the detailed analysis of our PC comments showd it showed that there was *not* support for such an office\}

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) perhaps we can link that analysis paper here for the record

Thomas Rickert: (11:31) Hi all, we have an audio issue...
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:31) lost audio at the dais
Thomas Rickert: ( $11: 31$ ) there is no sound in the room
Thomas Rickert: (11:31) tech folks working on it
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:32) no audio here too
Kavouss Arasteh: (11:32) Pls include my General Comment in the Report of this meeting
Brenda Brewer: (11:32) Techs are working on audio, please stand by
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:32) all microphones in the room are down - tech working on it
Niels ten Oever: (11:33) :clapclap:
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: $(11: 33)$ we are back
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:33) thanks for restoring the sound
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:34) also rec 8 highlights external expertise can be brought
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:34) sorry Jordan
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:38) Would probably be interesting, in the future, see how the recs intertwine as well with the review processes of SO/ACs

Fiona Asonga: (11:40) +1 Renata
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:40) no sound
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (11:41) It is unfortunate that the notion of a potential external/independent review is not included - rec 8 does IMO not cover that, at least at the level of what is in the text

Sebastien (ALAC): (11:41) @Renata yes but "only" by staff
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:43) Sebastien I'm guessing either way procurement will be involved moved by ICANN.
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:43) Procurement for diversity assessment is not simple so certainly more discussion for implementation later.
Robin Gross: (11:49) I support Farzi's objection
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:55) Back soon
Brenda Brewer: (11:56) Lunch break. Will resume in 1 hour. Thank you!
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (12:59) we should be starting shortly
Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (13:02) AFTERFNOON SESSION STARTING
Brenda Brewer: (13:03) Welcome all to the PM Session of WS2 CCWG Accountability Face to Face Plenary at ICANN61!!

Brenda Brewer: (13:04) You may find douments on the WS2 CCWG ACCT wiki
here; https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A_community.icann.org_x_9wJyB\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\& r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-
6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=N0F-
OcgtoNOwBF9-oC-FJNT4a2IakbzNmAbqbBApews\&e=
David McAuley (RySG): (13:06) I like this approach
Robin Gross: (13:11) Yes, this sounds like a good approach going forward
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (13:14) it was clear that Thomas Rickert would not allow the CCWG Acc to disappear altogether ;-P

Jordan Carter: (13:17) ;)
Niels ten Oever: (13:19) The implementation cannot only be done by ICANN org, but parts also need to be done by the SOs and ACs
Jordan Carter: (13:19) Priorities, Dependencies, Complexity
David McAuley (RySG): (13:19) Bylaw 27.1 addresses some of this and even implies CCWG continuing on post June to dialogue with Board over recommendations the board rejects etc - with budget support perhaps
Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (13:20) good point, David
Steve DelBianco: (13:20) If the CCWG can agree on priorities and dependencies, I think we should add that as a statement to our final report
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: $(13: 26)+1$ Niels
Niels ten Oever: (13:27) I cannot imagine any subgroup deprioritizing their work
Niels ten Oever: (13:29) We should deprioritize prioritization and prioritize implementation ;)
Robin Gross: (13:29) completely agree with Jordan on who / how to go forward
Niels ten Oever: (13:29) Agree with Jordan and Robin
Steve DelBianco: (13:30) I am saying we (CCWG) should indicate the order of implementation, BASED
UPON agreed priorities and/or dependencies. If we can agree on Order of Implementation, then that should be in our report that is approved by chartering orgs.
Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (13:32) yes, the community is having trouble prioritizing as it is w/fy19 looming Jordan Carter: (13:33) Impact / Complexity / Dependencies

Jordan Carter: (13:33) these are all factors for implementation
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (13:34) Agree @Michael
Robin Gross: (13:34) Me too
Robin Gross: (13:38) We need to include the minority report on the transparency recommendations also. Please don't exclude that minority report. Thanks.
Jordan Carter: (13:40) Good point Robyn

Michael Karanicolas: (13:41) Minority report will absolutely be included with the transparency recommendations.

Jordan Carter: (13:50) I personally want to invite my SO (ccNSO) to approve the report at Panama
Jordan Carter: (13:50) I'd like to see this closed out.
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (13:51) Hello from Argentina. An honor
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (13:51) Hi
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (13:51) hi
Niels ten Oever: (14:01) Yes
Niels ten Oever: (14:01) Some mic issues
Niels ten Oever: (14:01) but don't see any issues
Niels ten Oever: (14:02) I fully concur with Greg
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:04) Interesting the subject of online human rights and jurisdiction
Steve DelBianco: (14:05) Apologies that I had to leave the room as we entered this discussion of dependencies. I have a call that I had previously scheduled. Be back soon as I can!

Thomas Rickert: (14:06) Thanks for letting uns know, Steve.
Olga Cavalli: (14:09) Hi I am also following remotely for a while, will come back later
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:10) Hi Dear Olga, an honor.
Niels ten Oever: (14:21) Did Sebastian just propose to refactor the report?
Greg Shatan: (14:22) I suggest we translate the entire report into German, and then back again.
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:22) We should deepen the transparency and neutrality of the network
Greg Shatan: (14:24) H/t to Mark Twain: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A www.cs.utah.edu -
7Egback_awfgrmlg.html\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\&r=kbiQDH5 4980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-
6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc\&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M\&s=BZa24oTvCH1-
QgQXLAK5hB1uMf9onloZPWFWxWeo2YY\&e=
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:24) We need to be at Agenda 10
Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC): (14:25) Time/Date of the meeting?
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:25) Gee havingan ICal or advance knowledge of this meeting would have been nice!!!!!!

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC): (14:26) Unless it's closed...
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:26) Too darn late for me to request changes to other Agenda's so people like me can make it GRRRRRR

Michael Karanicolas: (14:27) Yes - when's the meeting happening?

Jordan Carter: (14:27) I believe Bernie said 10.30 Wednesday, but I also don't have anything in writing about it that I can find

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) Wed 14th 1030/1100 I gather Michael Karanicolas: (14:28) Ah. I am leading the open data session then.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) YUP
Michael Karanicolas: (14:28) *which you all should attend*
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) and it is aginst the part 2 of the Budget FY19 session as well :-(

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:29) thanks
Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:29) All, I just sent a reply to Greg's email to the list, in which I pasted the text that was agreed on the plenary, which is not exactly the one that appears in Greg's latest version of the report. Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:29) Feel free to check the transcript and the chat of our earlier exchange. Julie Hammer (SSAC): (14:31) Greg's document was only to the Jurisdiction sub-group. Would someone kindly send to the other CCWG-A members please.
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:31) thanks
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:32) Thiago I have not yet received you message to my inbox...
Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:33) Let me paste it here then:
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:33) thx
Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:33) Dear Greg, Dear All, I notice that the text you added is slightly different from the version that was agreed on the plenary.The text that was agreed to be added to the last part of that session was as follows:The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.Feel free to check the transcript. Best, Thiago

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:34) P 17 if your scrolling in AC
Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:37) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of JurisdictionRelated Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jordan Carter: (14:37) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY

## UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Jordan Carter: (14:38) Thiago's version has the right capitalisation and punctuation
Jordan Carter: (14:39) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (14:39) thanks Jordan
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:41) p 25 if scrolling
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:44) p 34 if scrolling
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:45) P 41
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:53) Great Work everyone!!!
Jordan Carter: (14:53) All done!
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:53) thanks all
Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:53) by
Rosalía Morales: (14:53) Thanks everyone!
Niels ten Oever: (14:53) Thanks all
Niels ten Oever: (14:53) Bye!
Brenda Brewer: (14:55) Thank you all for joining today's meeting. Enjoy ICANN61!

