Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript CCWG Accountability Plenary F2F – AM Session 9 March 2018

Brenda Brewer: (3/9/2018 08:07) Good day all! Welcome to CCWG Accountability Plenary Face to Face at ICANN61.

Kavouss Arasteh: (08:26) Hi everybody

Niels ten Oever: (08:29) Hello all!

Kavouss Arasteh: (08:31) Here in Geneva, it is 1332.

Kavouss Arasteh: (08:32) At what time, in how many more mints the meeting starats pls

Kavouss Arasteh: (08:33) Does it start at 13,00 UTC

Kavouss Arasteh 2: (08:39) Brenda, pls kindly advise at what UTC TIME THE MEETING STARTS

Nathalie Vergnolle: (08:41) We'll start at 8:45 AST (12:45 UTC)

Kavouss Arasteh 2: (08:45) TKS

Niels ten Oever: (08:49) Thanks Thomas, happy to be here!

Fiona Asonga: (08:54) @Kavouss the reading is in the first 2 hours

Greg Shatan: (09:02) Thank you, Jurors Ab Carter!

Jordan Carter: (09:04) Jurors?

Milton Mueller: (09:06) "the inexorable passage of time"

Kavouss Arasteh 2: (09:07) mAY THE DOC. BE POSTED ON SCREEN PLS

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:09) it is coming soon Kavouss

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:09) thank you brenda, scroll control please

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:10) p5

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:11) thx

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:12) p7

Robin Gross: (09:15) Steve's point may be a good thing to bring up in public comment.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:16) indeed @Robin

Brenda Brewer: (09:20) currently on page 18

Milton Mueller: (09:21) hey all. I don't support the change on p 6 from "qualified" to approved. Earlier on p 4 we say something similar about Registrars and we use the word "qualified". i see no reason to deviate from that usage

Milton Mueller: (09:25) right I can wait until you deal with Brazil comments

Milton Mueller: (09:25) I simply wanted to talk about qualified

Thiago Jardim: (09:26) I'll paste the suggested addition here ffor all purposes:

Thiago Jardim: (09:26) "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-

Related Concerns" are needed, in particular on jurisdictional immunities, found echo in several comments

subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:28) So on the intervention from Brazil... would additional text such as "The subgroup notes that comments were received and discussed, regarding the desirability of further substantive discussions at a later date regarding aspects of the Jurisdiction topic" ???

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (09:34) Jorge new hand?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (09:34) sorry

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:37) Thomas, we need to find a middle ground for modified suggestion from Thiago Steve DelBianco: (09:37) It would be helpful to see Thiago's latest proposed text.

Thiago Jardim: (09:38) @Steve: "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Steve DelBianco: (09:39) @Thiago - Thank you

Thiago Jardim: (09:39) Thank you:)

Milton Mueller: (09:41) Can someone help me understand: are we quibbling about whether the drafters were sufficiently neutral in their characterization or are there meaningful, substantive differences in our approach going forward if this amendment is accepted?

Jordan Carter: (09:42) It sounds like the dispute is about whether the comments were considered in detail. Greg is arguing they are, Thiago is arguing they were not. (that's what I can see).

Milton Mueller: (09:43) thanks jordan

Jordan Carter: (09:43) (I sincerely apologise if I have mis-characterised anything)

Farzaneh Badii: (09:43) Thiago wants to bring greater emphasis to the issue that immunity should be discussed later on. our original text makes immunity as one of the topics t that might be discussed

Milton Mueller: (09:43) so in your opinion does the change affect anything we would do going forward?

Erich Schweighofer: (09:43) Thanks Thiago- I would support this proposal of Thiago- more balanced.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:43) 0041 xx xxx

Jordan Carter: (09:43) Thiago's revised proposal doesn't mention immunity, as posted here

Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) ha? The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed, in particular on jurisdictional immunities, found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Milton Mueller: (09:44) If Farzy is correct then Thiago's amendment would make the report more specific and should be supported

Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) of course it does

Jordan Carter: (09:44) Thiago Jardim: @Steve: "The late suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed found echo in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report, nor could they be considered in detail, on the understanding that the existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged"

Farzaneh Badii: (09:44) Jordan, scroll up ...

Thiago Jardim: (09:44) Thank you Prof. Milton, thank you Erich

Thiago Jardim: (09:45) Prof. Erich (my apologies)

Thiago Jardim: (09:45) both of whom I understand expressed support.

Jordan Carter: (09:45) Farzaneh, he amended the proposal to remove the point about immunities

Farzaneh Badii: (09:46) really? then what's the point of having it then ...

Milton Mueller: (09:46) put him on the spot! ;-)

Jordan Carter: (09:46) I don't nkow

Jordan Carter: (09:46) it just then becomes a comment about the process, and the process is disputed

Milton Mueller: (09:47) I do not support amendments related to complaining about process. I do support an amendment that makes the focus on immunities clearer and more specific

Robin Gross: (09:48) Sounds like Brazil isn't going to remove the objection with or without the text. Not sure it makes sense to accommodate that.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:49) I am disconnected now waiting to be dialed through land line

Greg Shatan 2: (09:49) Without suggesting its inclusion, the following would be factually accurate: "For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments (like all other comments) were considered in detail, but did not bring any changes to the report since existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged."

Brenda Brewer: (09:50) Kavouss, when we dial the number you provided above we get voice mail message Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (09:50) Can we discuss that then Greg, it is perhaps less neutral than the text I proposed earlier in this chat....

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:51) Brenda, I was and still is disconnected waiting the operator to dial me up through land line .pls kindly accerate the process

Jordan Carter: (09:51) we don't have time to send things back to the sub group

Brenda Brewer: (09:52) Kavouss, we have called your landline only to get voice mail message

David McAuley (RySG): (09:52) I respect the views of Brazil, France and others but I feel that the final report well states recommended OFAC changes, governing law/venue changes, and suggests further talks on jurisdiction. I don't support further change.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:53) I do not agree to send it back to the group since the apprpriate forum is CCWG Plenary and not sub group

Robin Gross: (09:53) I didn't think today's meeting was to be a re-litigation of the arguments heard throughout the working group that were not successful in gaining consensus

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (09:55) Could Greg and Thiago work on the text suggested by Greg? and come back after coffee?

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:56) There should be no pressure on Brezil to reconsider its initial statement which was supported by others at ICANN 60

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (09:57) Steve +1

Olga Cavalli: (09:57) + 1 to Kavouss comment

Greg Shatan 2: (10:01) Kavouss, what do you find unacceptable in the language I put forth?

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:02) Greg, you aid in the second part of your suggestion that the added phrase did not add any thing as it was already included in the first instance .If you drop your second part ,it could be a middle ground

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (10:04) again: "Could Greg and Thiago work on the text suggested by Greg? and come back after coffee?"

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (10:04) the gap is narrow and we should brifge it

Greg Shatan 2: (10:05) Thank you, Kavouss. Kavouss, Thiago, then how about this: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received."

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:06) Greg, it gets better but just ask tBrezil's view

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:07) That would be desirable IMO Jorge

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:08) We are almost ther pls do not drop the momentum

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:08) I agree with your understaning on this matter Thomas

Greg Shatan 2: (10:09) PROPOSAL: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received."

Greg Shatan 2: (10:11) Prior Proposal: For example, the suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received, but these comments did not bring any changes to the report since existing support for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jordan Carter: (10:13) "THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT SINCE THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS AND UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED."

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (10:13) Works for me!!!!

Thiago Jardim: (10:13) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report since the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged."

Sebastien (ALAC): (10:13) Can we maek a break and have Greeg ans Thaigo comming back with a writing suggestion?

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:14) Plas repèlace since by RECOGNIZING

Greg Shatan 2: (10:14) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT SINCE THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY NRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED."

Jordan Carter: (10:15) Kavouss - I think replacing "since" with "Recognising" doesn't make sense Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:15) yes

Jordan Carter: (10:16) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY NRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Kavouss Arasteh 3: (10:16) Done

Robin Gross: (10:18) If we accept this new text, is Brazil's opposition to the report withdrawn?

Milton Mueller: (10:18) I am on the phone

Steve DelBianco: (10:19) Milton is correct. We should be consistent on that

Jordan Carter: (10:21) All - we will try and do the coffee break as scheduled if we get there, in about ten mins

Steve DelBianco: (10:21) the process to apply to be a Registrar is

at https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A www.icann.org resources pages accreditation-2D2012-2D02-2D25-

 $\underline{2Den\&d=DwIFaQ\&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM\&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloD}\\ LY6-$

<u>6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M&s=62k_Ez4ZP_x4ErUI</u> UtA8XO3NLCbWUcvv2GZoL4XRMk4&e=

Jordan Carter: (10:21) and then we'll pick up the agenda

Jordan Carter: (10:22) (subject to finishing this JD first reading)

Steve DelBianco: (10:22) Note the first part of that process: "Qualification Criteria"

Milton Mueller: (10:23) right

Milton Mueller: (10:24) naw, I am not in favor of "nice";-)

Thomas Rickert: (10:24) that was the word you were using earlier:-)

Milton Mueller: (10:25) well if Steve supports qualified in both cases we shuld stick with qualified

Milton Mueller: (10:25) that would be good too Greg

Brenda Brewer: (10:27) Page 20 now

Jordan Carter: (10:36) Approved with amendment

Jordan Carter: (10:36) First reading, Jurisdiction report for WS2

Jordan Carter: (10:37) The additional text goes on the end of the "Red Wall Of Text" on the pages labelled 12

and 13

Jordan Carter: (10:38) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Brenda Brewer: (10:38) We are taking a 20 minute break. We will resume at top of hour.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:03) (hello, i think there's a hand up from last discussion)

Jordan Carter: (11:05) dear Kayouss - is your hand up for this topic?

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (11:05) is there a link to the doc?

Jordan Carter: (11:05) all of the docs were circlated by email

Jordan Carter: (11:06) checking if there is a Wiki page, Jorge

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:06) Scroll??

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:07) Thx

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:08) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A__community.icann.org_download_attachments_59643278_CCWG-2DAccountability-2DWS2-

2DDiversity-2DFinalRecommendations-2DRedLine.pdf-3Fversion-3D1-26modificationDate-

3D1518990598000-26api-

<u>3Dv2&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloD</u>

LY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M&s=8Cq0Kgk1vJk0DW Exhy_yNGU9d_lZsij6VL9Jdmgx19E&e=

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:10) wiki of the group is this https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org display WEIA Diversity&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6s

Jms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M&s=6hfz1HtCFpk2thJX1 3FMQM_-VBCTf_HTHIStM_yoGHM&e=

Brenda Brewer: (11:10) scroll is now on

Kavouss Arasteh: (11:11) The first change from would to should seems ok with me but the composite term should agree seems awkward Isuggest to replace should agree by should take into account the following 7....

Jordan Carter: (11:11) All - staff have confirmed that lunch will be available in this room.

Kavouss Arasteh: (11:12) BON APETIT

MM: (11:16) Does all the presentation material in this F2F meeting post to the website for reference ???

Brenda Brewer: (11:16) Yes, you may find the documents posted on wiki

here; https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org x 9wJyB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M&s=N0F-OcgtoNOwBF9-oC-FJNT4a2IakbzNmAbqbBApews&e=

MM: (11:17) thansk a lot

Kavouss Arasteh: (11:17) IGeneral comment ,From the very begining I was of the opinion that the implementation of these Recommendations would be extermly difficut due to the subjective nature of criteria suggested

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:18) Chris Gift - Universal registration project - for data collection upon participant registration to a meeting

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:20) The discussion on SO/AC data collection for implementation of recs should be indeed updated

Kavouss Arasteh: (11:24) General comment ,From the very begining I was of the opinion that the implementation of these Recommendations would be extermly difficut due to the subjective nature of criteria suggested. These criteria are mostly of theoretical and idealistic nature and may not be implementable since many other entities try hard to take the same course of action but were faced with certain difficulties

Jordan Carter: (11:24) folks I will just remind you that this is a second reading

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) That is not what the detailed analysis of our PC comments showd it showed that there was *not* support for such an office

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) That is not what the detailed analysis of our PC comments showd it showed that there was *not* support for such an office\

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:29) perhaps we can link that analysis paper here for the record

Thomas Rickert: (11:31) Hi all, we have an audio issue...

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:31) lost audio at the dais

Thomas Rickert: (11:31) there is no sound in the room

Thomas Rickert: (11:31) tech folks working on it

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:32) no audio here too

Kavouss Arasteh: (11:32) Pls include my General Comment in the Report of this meeting

Brenda Brewer: (11:32) Techs are working on audio, please stand by

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:32) all microphones in the room are down - tech working on it

Niels ten Oever: (11:33) :clapclap:

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:33) we are back

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:33) thanks for restoring the sound

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:34) also rec 8 highlights external expertise can be brought

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:34) sorry Jordan

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:38) Would probably be interesting, in the future, see how the recs intertwine as well with the review processes of SO/ACs

Fiona Asonga: (11:40) +1 Renata

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (11:40) no sound

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (11:41) It is unfortunate that the notion of a potential external/independent review is not included - rec 8 does IMO not cover that, at least at the level of what is in the text

Sebastien (ALAC): (11:41) @Renata yes but "only" by staff

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:43) Sebastien I'm guessing either way procurement will be involved moved by ICANN.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (11:43) Procurement for diversity assessment is not simple so certainly more discussion for implementation later.

Robin Gross: (11:49) I support Farzi's objection

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (11:55) Back soon

Brenda Brewer: (11:56) Lunch break. Will resume in 1 hour. Thank you!

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (12:59) we should be starting shortly

Bernard Turcotte - ICANN: (13:02) AFTERFNOON SESSION STARTING

Brenda Brewer: (13:03) Welcome all to the PM Session of WS2 CCWG Accountability Face to Face Plenary

at ICANN61!!

Brenda Brewer: (13:04) You may find douments on the WS2 CCWG ACCT wiki

here; https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A community.icann.org x 9wJyB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiODH54980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

6F24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=WqFL59Vq56D1hicr5_qyxSu0rFEhNjZYY7HtCC_Ou2M&s=N0F-

OcgtoNOwBF9-oC-FJNT4a2IakbzNmAbqbBApews&e=

David McAuley (RySG): (13:06) I like this approach

Robin Gross: (13:11) Yes, this sounds like a good approach going forward

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (13:14) it was clear that Thomas Rickert would not allow the CCWG Acc to disappear altogether;-P

Jordan Carter: (13:17);)

Niels ten Oever: (13:19) The implementation cannot only be done by ICANN org, but parts also need to be done by the SOs and ACs

Jordan Carter: (13:19) Priorities, Dependencies, Complexity

David McAuley (RySG): (13:19) Bylaw 27.1 addresses some of this and even implies CCWG continuing on post June to dialogue with Board over recommendations the board rejects etc - with budget support perhaps Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (13:20) good point, David

Steve DelBianco: (13:20) If the CCWG can agree on priorities and dependencies, I think we should add that as a statement to our final report

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (13:26) +1 Niels

Niels ten Oever: (13:27) I cannot imagine any subgroup deprioritizing their work

Niels ten Oever: (13:29) We should deprioritize prioritization and prioritize implementation;)

Robin Gross: (13:29) completely agree with Jordan on who / how to go forward

Niels ten Oever: (13:29) Agree with Jordan and Robin

Steve DelBianco: (13:30) I am saying we (CCWG) should indicate the order of implementation, BASED

UPON agreed priorities and/or dependencies. If we can agree on Order of Implementation, then that should be in our report that is approved by chartering orgs.

Renata Aquino Ribeiro: (13:32) yes, the community is having trouble prioritizing as it is w/ fy19 looming

Jordan Carter: (13:33) Impact / Complexity / Dependencies

Jordan Carter: (13:33) these are all factors for implementation

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (13:34) Agree @Michael

Robin Gross: (13:34) Me too

Robin Gross: (13:38) We need to include the minority report on the transparency recommendations also. Please don't exclude that minority report. Thanks.

Jordan Carter: (13:40) Good point Robyn

Michael Karanicolas: (13:41) Minority report will absolutely be included with the transparency recommendations.

Jordan Carter: (13:50) I personally want to invite my SO (ccNSO) to approve the report at Panama

Jordan Carter: (13:50) I'd like to see this closed out.

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (13:51) Hello from Argentina. An honor

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (13:51) Hi

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (13:51) hi

Niels ten Oever: (14:01) Yes

Niels ten Oever: (14:01) Some mic issues

Niels ten Oever: (14:01) but don't see any issues

Niels ten Oever: (14:02) I fully concur with Greg

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:04) Interesting the subject of online human rights and jurisdiction

Steve DelBianco: (14:05) Apologies that I had to leave the room as we entered this discussion of

dependencies. I have a call that I had previously scheduled. Be back soon as I can!

Thomas Rickert: (14:06) Thanks for letting uns know, Steve.

Olga Cavalli: (14:09) Hi I am also following remotely for a while, will come back later

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:10) Hi Dear Olga, an honor.

Niels ten Oever: (14:21) Did Sebastian just propose to refactor the report?

Greg Shatan: (14:22) I suggest we translate the entire report into German, and then back again.

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:22) We should deepen the transparency and neutrality of the network

Greg Shatan: (14:24) H/t to Mark Twain: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A_www.cs.utah.edu_-

<u>7Egback_awfgrmlg.html&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=kbiQDH5</u>4980u4nTPfwdloDLY6-

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:24) We need to be at Agenda 10

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC): (14:25) Time/Date of the meeting?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:25) Gee havingan ICal or advance knowledge of this meeting would have been nice!!!!!!

Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC): (14:26) Unless it's closed...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:26) Too darn late for me to request changes to other Agenda's so people like me can make it GRRRRRR

Michael Karanicolas: (14:27) Yes - when's the meeting happening?

Jordan Carter: (14:27) I believe Bernie said 10.30 Wednesday, but I also don't have anything in writing about it that I can find

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) Wed 14th 1030/1100 I gather

Michael Karanicolas: (14:28) Ah. I am leading the open data session then.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) YUP

Michael Karanicolas: (14:28) *which you all should attend*

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:28) and it is against the part 2 of the Budget FY19 session as well:-(

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:29) thanks

Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:29) All, I just sent a reply to Greg's email to the list, in which I pasted the text that was agreed on the plenary, which is not exactly the one that appears in Greg's latest version of the report.

Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:29) Feel free to check the transcript and the chat of our earlier exchange.

Julie Hammer (SSAC): (14:31) Greg's document was only to the Jurisdiction sub-group. Would someone kindly send to the other CCWG-A members please.

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:31) thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:32) Thiago I have not yet received you message to my inbox...

Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:33) Let me paste it here then:

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:33) thx

Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:33) Dear Greg, Dear All, I notice that the text you added is slightly different from the version that was agreed on the plenary. The text that was agreed to be added to the last part of that session was as follows: The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged. Feel free to check the transcript. Best, Thiago

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:34) P 17 if your scrolling in AC

Thiago Jardim (Brasil): (14:37) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jordan Carter: (14:37) THE SUGGESTION ADDED TO THE REPORT THAT FURTHER DISCUSSIONS OF JURISDICTION-RELATED CONCERNS ARE NEEDED WAS ECHOED IN SEVERAL COMMENTS SUBSEQUENTLY RECEIVED. THESE COMMENTS DID NOT BRING ANY CHANGES TO THE REPORT RECOGNISING THAT THE NEED FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS TO ADDRESS ANY

UNRESOLVED CONCERNS INCLUDING IN OTHER FORA HAD ALREADY BEEN ACKNOWLEDGED.

Jordan Carter: (14:38) Thiago's version has the right capitalisation and punctuation

Jordan Carter: (14:39) The suggestion added to the report that "Further Discussions of Jurisdiction-Related Concerns" are needed was echoed in several comments subsequently received. These comments did not bring any changes to the report, recognizing that the need for "further discussions" to address unresolved concerns, including in other fora, had already been acknowledged.

Jorge Cancio (GAC Switzerland): (14:39) thanks Jordan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:41) p 25 if scrolling

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:44) p 34 if scrolling

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:45) P 41

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - ALAC-AP Regional Member): (14:53) Great Work everyone!!!

Jordan Carter: (14:53) All done!

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:53) thanks all

Romina Florencia Cabrera: (14:53) by

Rosalía Morales: (14:53) Thanks everyone!

Niels ten Oever: (14:53) Thanks all

Niels ten Oever: (14:53) Bye!

Brenda Brewer: (14:55) Thank you all for joining today's meeting. Enjoy ICANN61!