The draft work plan below outlines a proposed sequence as well as approach with regards to addressing the CCWG charter questions, based on the initial discussions of the CCWG. Note that the outline below is for discussion purposes only and will be updated to reflect the input from the CCWG. The current outline is a best case scenario approach –additional time may need to be built in to address the different charter questions and/or consult with CCWG and/or external experts. The CCWG may want to discuss what date it would like to set as a target for the publication of its Initial Report to determine whether to extend the overall timeline or consider other ways to speed up the work such as an increase in meetings (e.g. change from meeting every two weeks to meeting every week or increasing the duration of the call from 90 to 120 minutes). Note, there is no specific deadline that is expected to be met, either as the result of external events or charter organizations directions, so it is up to the CCWG to determine the pace and duration of its deliberations.

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
CCWG Conference Call – 23	Review CCWG expertise	CCWG	
February 2017	Review draft work plan		
	High level review of charter questions		
	& determine order to address		
	questions		
CCWG Conference Call – 2 March	Briefing on legal & fiduciary constraints	Samantha Eisner / CCWG	
2017	as well as ICANN's mission		
	Review updated work plan		
CCWG F2F Meeting at ICANN58 –	Continue briefing on legal & fiduciary	CCWG	
15 March 2017	constraints as well as ICANN's mission		
	Review responses to survey		
CCWG Conference Call – 30	Continue review of survey responses	CCWG	
March 2017	Discuss proposed approach for tackling		
	charter questions		
CCWG Conference Call – 13 April	Phase 1: Initial run through of charter	CCWG	
2017	questions 1 and 3		
CCWG Conference Call – 27 April	Phase 1: Initial run through of charter	CCWG	
2017	questions 5 and 7		

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
CCWG Conference Call – 11 May	Phase 1: Initial run through of charter	CCWG	
2017	questions 11 and 2		
CCWG Conference Call – 25 May	Phase 1: Initial run through of charter	CCWG	
2017	questions 4, 6 and 8		
CCWG Conference Call – 8 June	Phase 1: Initial run through of charter	CCWG	
2017	questions 9 and 10		
CCWG Conference Call – 15 June	Briefing on audit requirements by	CCWG/Xavier Calvez	
2017	Xavier Calvez		
ICANN59 / 26-29 June – CCWG	Phase 2: Address any charter questions	CCWG	Meeting scheduled for Tuesday 27
meeting	that have been identified requiring a		June from 13.30 – 15.00 local time.
	response before commencing the next		
	phase		
CCWG Conference Call - 13 July	Phase 2: Address any charter questions	CCWG	
2017	that have been identified requiring a		
	response before commencing the next		
	phase		
CCWG Conference Call - 27 July	Phase 2: Address any charter questions	CCWG	
2017	that have been identified requiring a		
	response before commencing the next		
	phase		
CCWG Conference Call - 10	<b>Phase 2</b> : Address any charter questions		
August 2017	that have been identified requiring a		
	response before commencing the next		
	phase		
CCWG Conference Call - 24	Phase 2: Address any charter questions		Preliminary agreement reached on
August 2017	that have been identified requiring a		charter question #4
	response before commencing the next		
	phase		

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
CCWG Conference Call - 7 September 2017	Phase 2: Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase		Preliminary Agreement reached on charter question #2
CCWG Conference Call - 21 September 2017	Phase 2: Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase		Consider input from ICANN Finance/Legal re. internal/external option to inform deliberations re. charter question #7 Consider input from ICANN Board re. potential use of auction proceeds to replenish reserve fund to help inform deliberations re. charter question #10 Finalize review of examples & definition of Open Internet
CCWG Conference Call – 5 October 2017	Phase 3: Compile list of possible mechanisms that could be considered by CCWG	CCWG	Work on this could potentially commence in parallel with phase 2? This stage would include:  Detail main characteristics of each mechanism  Conduct strength / weakness assessment for each mechanism  Review from the perspective of legal, fiduciary and audit constraints  Consultation with identified experts to obtain input / briefing on different mechanisms and confirm

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes	1	
			whether list compiled is		
			complete and accurate		
CCWG Conference Call - 19	Phase 3: Compile list of possible	CCWG			
October 2017	mechanisms that could be considered				
	by CCWG				
ICANN60 – 28 October – 3	Phase 3: Compile list of possible		Depending on time available and	-	Formatted Table
November 2017	mechanisms that could be considered		members / participants able to		
	by CCWG		participate, additional topics could		
			be covered during the F2F meeting		
			at ICANN60.		
			Currently, F2F meeting time has		
			been planned for Thursday from		
			10.30 – 12.00 and 13.30 – 15.00.		
CCWG Conference Call - 16	Complete phase 2		Finalise review of examples and		
November 2017			open and interoperable Internet		
			description		
	Continue phase 3: Compile list of		Review and refine questions for		
	possible mechanisms that could be		experts		
	considered by CCWG				
CCWG Conference Call – 30	Phase 3: Finalize Review list for experts				
November 2017	Small group of volunteers formed to				
	develop proposed approach and				
	<u>finalise questions for external experts</u>				
<u>CCWG</u> Conference Call – 14	Phase 3: Meeting of small group of				Formatted: Strikethrough
December 2017	volunteers to develop proposed				
	approach and finalise questions for				
	external experts.				Formatted: Font: Not Bold
CCWG Conference Call – 11	Phase 3: Presentation of proposed				
January 2018	approach and questions for external				
	<u>experts</u>				Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
By 19 January 2018	Phase 3: Outreach messages to be sent	VVIIOIII	Status / Notes
By 19 January 2018	to external experts identified		
CCWG Conference Call – <del>14-</del> 25	Phase 3: Consultation with identified	CCWG	Depending on # of experts
<del></del>		CCWG	identified (see current list of
January 2018 (TBC) December 2017	experts to obtain input / briefing on different mechanisms and confirm		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<del>2017</del>			possible experts to consult) as well
	whether list compiled is complete and		as their availability additional time
	accurate (Category D Experts – TBC)		may need to be allocated.
CCWG Conference Call – 8	CANCELLED (?)		
February 2018			
CCWG Conference Call – week of	Phase 3: Consultation with identified		Dependent on availability of
<u>19 Feb</u>	experts to obtain input / briefing on		<u>experts</u>
	different mechanisms and confirm		
	whether list compiled is complete and		
	accurate (Category A Experts – TBC)		
CCWG Conference Call – week of	Phase 3: Consultation with identified		Dependent on availability of
<u>19 Feb</u>	experts to obtain input / briefing on		<u>experts</u>
	different mechanisms and confirm		
	whether list compiled is complete and		
	accurate (Category B Experts – TBC)		
CCWG Conference Call – week of	Phase 3: Consultation with identified		Dependent on availability of
<u>19 Feb</u>	experts to obtain input / briefing on		<u>experts</u>
	different mechanisms and confirm		
	whether list compiled is complete and		
	accurate (Category C Experts – TBC)		
CCWG Conference Call – week of	Phase 3: Consultation with identified		Dependent on availability of
26 Feb	experts to obtain input / briefing on		experts
<del></del>	different mechanisms and confirm		
	whether list compiled is complete and		
	accurate (Category E Experts – TBC)		

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
CCWG Conference Call – week of	Phase 3: Consultation with identified		Dependent on availability of
26 Feb 2018	experts to obtain input / briefing on		<u>experts</u>
	different mechanisms and confirm		·
	whether list compiled is complete and		
	accurate (Category F Experts – TBC)		
Week of 5 March 2018	Phase 3: Leadership team to		
	summarise input obtained from		
	external experts and identify whether		
	any gaps remain and/or further follow		
	up is needed. Prep for F2F meeting and		
	community session at ICANN61.		
ICANN61 – 10 March – 15 March	Phase 4: Based on input provided by		
2018 – F2F meeting (10 March)	external experts, determine which		
	mechanism(s) demonstrates most		
	potential to meet CCWG expectations		
	as well as conform with legal &		
	fiduciary constraints		
CCWG Conference Call – 11	Phase 4: Determine which	CCWG	
January 201829 March 2018	mechanism(s) demonstrates most		
	potential to meet CCWG expectations		
	as well as conform with legal &		
	fiduciary constraints		
CCWG Conference Call – 25	Phase 4: Determine which		
January 201812 April	mechanism(s) demonstrates most		
	potential to meet CCWG expectations		
	as well as conform with legal &		
	fiduciary constraints		


Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Meeting / Target Date	Proposed Topics	Whom	Status / Notes
CCWG Conference Call – 8	Phase 5: Answer charter questions (as	CCWG	Duration of phase 5 will be
February 2018 26 April 2018	organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that		dependent on # of mechanisms
	demonstrated the most potential		that have demonstrated the most
			potential. As this work is iterative,
			it is also possible that CCWG may
			need to go back to phase 4 if it is
			determined that the mechanism(s)
			initially identified for this phase are
			not suitable based on the charter
			question responses.
CCWG Conference Call – 22	Phase 5: Answer charter questions (as	CCWG	
February 2018 10 May 2018	organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that		
	demonstrated the most potential		
CCWG Conference Call – 24 May	Phase 6: Following consensus on	Staff	Exact timing dependent on
2018 Prior to ICANN61	mechanism and responses to charter		duration of phase 5.
	questions, meeting legal, fiduciary		
	and audit constraints, publish draft		
	Initial Report for CCWG Review		
ICANN61 – 10 March – 15 March	Phase 6: CCWG F2F meeting to review	CCWG	
<del>2018</del> CCWG Conference Call – 7	draft Initial Report and finalize report		
<u>June 2018</u>	for publication		
Publish Initial Report for Public	Phase 6: Publish Initial Report for	CCWG	
Comment 23 March 2018 15 June	public comment		
<u>2018</u>			
ICANN62 – 25 – 29 June 2018	Present Initial Report for community		If time is available on the schedule
Policy Forum	input		as there will be limited time for
			non-policy topics.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

## Proposed Approach for Dealing with Charter Questions (dated 18 April 2017)



## CHARTER QUESTION APPROACH Stage 2 - Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase #2. As part of this framework, what will be the limitations of fund allocation, factoring in that the funds need to be used in line with ICANN's mission while at the same time recognising the diversity of communities that ICANN servers? This should include recommendations on how to assess whether the proposed use is aligned with ICANN's Mission. Furthermore consideration is expected to be given to what sateguards, if any, need to be in place. #4. What aspects should be considered to define a timeframe, if any, for the funds allocation mechanism to operate as well as the disturtements of funds? E.g. The timeframe for the operation of this new mechanism may provide the opportunity for long term support, or for funding to be released in transhes linked to milestone achievements, single or multiple disbursements. Stage 3 - Compile list of possible mechanisms that could be considered by CCWG Detail main characteristics of each mechanism Conduct strength/weakness assessment for each mechanism Review from the perspective of legal, fiduciary and audit constraints Consultation with identified experts to obtain input / briefing on different mechanisms and confirm whether list compiled is compiled and accurate #1. What harnework (structure, process ansfor partnership) should be designed and implemented to allow for the disbursement of new gTLD Auction Proceeds, taking into account the legal and flouciary constraints outlined above as well as the existing memo on legal and flouciary principles? As many details as possible should be provided, including any implementation guidance the CDWG may have in relation to the establishment of this framework as well as orderia for the selection / ranking of potential funding requests. Stage 4 - Determine which mechanism(e) demonstrates most potential to meet CCWG expectations as well as conform with legal & fiduciary constraints Stage 5 - Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential #3. What safeguards are to be put in place to ensure that the creation of the #5. What conflict of interest provisions and procedures need to be put in place as part of this framework for fund allocations? #11. Should a review mechanism be put in place to address possible adjustments to the humawork following the completion of the CCWGs work and implementation of the transwork should changes occur that affect the original recommendations (for example, changes to legal and fiduciary requirements and/ or changes to ICANN's mission)? #8. What aspects should be considered to determine an appropriate level of overhead that supports the principles outlined in this charter? #9. What is the governance framework that should be followed to guide distribution of the proceeds? The issues addressed by a governance framework could include (but does not a. What are the specific measures of success that should be reported upon? b. What are the criteria and mechanisms for measuring success and performance? c. What level of evaluation and reporting should be implemented to keep the community informed about how the funds are ultimately used? 10. To what extent (and, if so, how) could ICANN, the Organization or a constituent part thereof, be the beneficiary of some of the auction funds? Stage 6 - Following concensus on mechanism and responses to charter questions, meeting legal, fiductory and sudit constraints, publish initial Report for public comment