

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the CCWG New gTLD Auction Proceeds call on Thursday 16 November 2017 at 14:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_eQJyB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrIBmF6l_4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a_b_G2W38&s=eHY3Ajuk9JFle7sIZqszcl-nVXP9U-CHP7kUGG89rD4&e=

Julie Bisland:Hello Kavouss! Will you be needing a dial out today?

Erika Mann:Can we check the mike Julie?

Sylvia Cadena:I can hear you typing Erika, so your mike should be fine ;)

Erika Mann:Sylvia - hi ... your're great!

Julie Bisland:@Erika: lets do another sound check , please

Erika Mann:Okay, ready!

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Dear All,

Kavouss Arasteh 3:I will quit at 15,00 UTC

Joke Braeken:thanks for letting us know Kavouss

Jonathan Robinson:I am in an airport lounge so am likely to keep audio comments to a minimum

Sylvia Cadena:No updates from me.

Nadira AL-Araj:Hi Kavouss, you have 3 sessions connected to the room, That might cause some problems at your end.

Julf Helsingius:My SOI has of course changed as a result of my new position in the GNSO council, but it doesn't change my conflict of issue situation

Ching Chiao:Thanks for let us know, Julf

Alan Greenberg:URL for the doc?

Marilyn Cade:I am not in support of creating products, as that is not within ICANN's core mission.

Joke Braeken:hi Alan, the document was previously circulated by Marika

Sylvia Cadena:Can staffmove the screen to example 12? the scroll does not let me do it

Alan Greenberg:SCrolling rights please

Joke Braeken:the document is now scrollable

Marilyn Cade:I do not think that we should be promoting gTLDs.

Marilyn Cade:We should focus on the core mission.

Vanda Scartezini:I was at phone tilla dobe allowed me to connect. sorry

Sylvia Cadena:I do not agree to support example 12

Erika Mann:Marilynn!

Erika Mann:Marilyn, I come back to you in a second.

Marilyn Cade:I hope I will now be able to speak.

judith hellerstein:I agree with Alan on this issue

judith hellerstein:on number 8

Maureen Hilyard:+1 Alan re 8 and 12

Julf Helsingius:I agree with the out of scope / marketing comments

judith hellerstein:I also agree with Alan on 12 and do not support either of them

Julf Helsingius:I don't agree with excluding something just because of business / financial risk

Marilyn Cade:We are not about creating new business creation. The ccNSO might want to think that also promoting their visibiity is important.

enoss:[sorry for being late] +1 re: 12; with 8, if it is work being done by a respected non-profit org

Jon Nevett:whether it is worthwhile, is irrelevant -- the issuse is whether it is within the mission related to the DNS. 8 is out, but an education campaign maybe contemplated in 12 should be ok

Alan Greenberg:@Julf, I added that as an aside. I di not think 8 is anywhere near our mission.

Julf Helsingius:Alan: I agree

Ching Chiao:<question> For "8", can we say that the CCWG will not support any commercial businesses / activities i.e. fund goes only to non-for-profit use

enoss:are we discussing only these two right now or others as well?

judith hellerstein:I think just these 2 right now

Julf Helsingius:isn't promoting domains and names "supporting commercial businesses / activities"?

Ching Chiao:Hi Elliott, just 8 and 12 for now

Sylvia Cadena:I think we are discussing the ones that got comments on the file about "not being supported" and the others were considered consistent

judith hellerstein:I have a question on 15 but will wait until we get there

Jon Nevett:"grants to support new gTLD applications" is contained in the Applicant Guidebook as a potential use of auction proceeds -- again let's not look at the specific merits of an example, but whether the category might be ok

Julie Bisland:please mute your line when not speaking

enoss:@ching +1

Jon Nevett:For the record, I don't agree Erika

Vanda Scartezini:in other words may be...

Julf Helsingius:No, I was just agreeing

Marilyn Cade:We are not agreeing. we can park for later discussion. I do not support doing marketing for gTLDs.

Vanda Scartezini:Jon I believe this campaign shall be supported by ICANN itself

enoss:but then so are a near unlimited number of things

enoss:I think it is implied that this list has some relationship to the type/category of projects we view as appropriate

Erika Mann:Julf - can you hear us?

Julf Helsingius:I was simply agreeing

Hadia Elminiawi:hello all, sorry for joining late

Sylvia Cadena:I am ok with not support example 8 in particular, but I do not agree with the idea to exclude projects that have a sustainability plan which might imply commercial use.

enoss:both sylvia and I had a qualifier to 8

enoss:that made it ok I thought

Jon Nevett:The example is awareness not marketing -- or let's change the example to make it clear

Nadira AL-Araj:In fact, I suggested to keep those suggested project examples and list them in a new category as an example how they don't match ICANN mission.

judith hellerstein:Hi Jon, Awareness is a better term than marketing

Maureen Hilyard:I agree with Marilyn about the marketing intent within #12 being unacceptable

Alan Greenberg:I had a strong objection to 8 and I thought I heard the same from others.

judith hellerstein:I am against marketing but if they are going to revise the question to frame it as an awareness I am willing to take another look at it

Joke Braeken:As a reminder, it is not the objective to cover every possible project that could be considered consistent with ICANN's mission. The focus is on ensuring that examples are sufficiently clear to provide guidance on what types of projects are considered consistent with ICANN's mission and which ones are not considered consistent.

Vanda Scartezini:Judith Yes. that is my point. have general awareness is quite important for developing regions, but promoting new rounds for instance is for ICANN directly to support not this auction

Joke Braeken:As a reminder, it is not the objective to cover every possible project that could be considered consistent with ICANN's mission. The focus is on ensuring that examples are sufficiently clear

to provide guidance on what types of projects are considered consistent with ICANN's mission and which ones are not considered consistent.

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Joke. I have sent an email regarding this point..

Marilyn Cade:No, I need to speak on this.

Marilyn Cade:on point 22.

enoss:I agree

judith hellerstein:I agree with you on example 22

Erika Mann:Marilyn

Sylvia Cadena:I agree with Erika. I do not think the reserve replenishment should be listed as an example

Maureen Hilyard:Yes we hear you Marilyn

Sylvia Cadena:Yes, but it will not be a project that the community will submit. It will a board request, so it should not be on this list

Sylvia Cadena:Exactly. It is not a project.

judith hellerstein:I am against double dipping

judith hellerstein:I agree it is not a project

Marilyn Cade:I can not agree, the community could strongly support this.

Sylvia Cadena:A project will have a beginning and an end. A project will require ICANN to report back how it has manage its funds

Marilyn Cade:can someone describe what double dipping is? I have a long history of legal engagement, so perhaps someone can describe their view of double dipping, from a legal perspective?

Maureen Hilyard:We are keeping this list specifically to projects, so #22 can be deleted from the list although not totally from our other discussions

Vanda Scartezini:agree with Erika. we need the demand first

Sylvia Cadena:Exactly Maureen. That is the idea.

Jon Nevett:I agree that we delete 22 as an example, but not delete the concept

Marilyn Cade:perhaps Elliot can contact me off line?

Joke Braeken:Here is the link to the public comment period regarding the reserved fund:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_news_announcement-2D3-2D2017-2D10-2D12-2Den&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrlBmF6l 4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a b G2W38&s=hcVJcl818jsu8dB8zdJMLXrS8urar5ayNauX0PntZ6M&e=

Julie Bisland:Kavouss, your audio is muffled

Vanda Scartezini:thanks Joke

Joke Braeken:Kavouss, we cannot hear you

Erika Mann:Marilyn - I will let Elliot know

Elliot Noss:still in adobe

Elliot Noss:yes thanks

Kavouss Arasteh 3:Delete it from the list but maintain the objective

Marilyn Cade:I am available at marilynscade@hotmail.com or 1 202 360 1196.

Joke Braeken:will do so Erika

judith hellerstein:So are we discussing 20?

judith hellerstein:I have a question on 15

Kavouss Arasteh 3:I am sure if we need to retain 15

Elliot Noss:[staff please note, I am trying to switch to phone and have been on hold (a second try) for a while (> 5 min now)]

Julie Bisland:I'll alert the operator

Kavouss Arasteh 3:I am NOT sure why 15 should be maintained

Marilyn Cade:I so nor auppoer 21. and 20 needs further clarification. Right now, I do not support. 19 needs more clarification but seems promisioning.

Elliot Noss:on now

Elliot Noss:(phone only for me)

Julie Bisland:great, thanks Elliot, sorry for your hold time

Sylvia Cadena:It is qualified where it says "areas of common interest" anyway. It will not be WC3 in full

Joke Braeken:Link to the google document: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1zQ66hCxrboAJPKeuU6nHwzHQwmU6g-5F3kS0JUSSC-5FtSk_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrlBmF6l_4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a_b_G2W38&s=jnqB79TXY1Y71GeE7ZJf1QJ8EB6ImCo9Qnn7Ae1_EBM&e=

Hadia Elminiawi:Sorry back again

Jonathan Robinson:I am not expecting to discuss the document

Sylvia Cadena:We can all add our comments to the document as suggestions and continue to structure our comments. There were a few instructions between the 2 tables (page 3) with the 3 numbers that we needed to provide forqualify each example. It is a good exercise to analyze the examples with those 3 numbers.

Jonathan Robinson:I am on the hook to give it a final proof-read / edit

Sylvia Cadena:scrolling rights please

Joke Braeken:the document is now scrollable.

Sylvia Cadena:thanks

Jonathan Robinson:No thnak you

judith hellerstein:OK I made changes to the Google Doc for #15

Erika Mann:Thank you Judith!

Jonathan Robinson:Good point Marilyn. Overarching guidance not strict guidelines

Sylvia Cadena:Just for the sake of language use, I will say that the application will certainly include the project proposal, which is quite different from the actual project itself. Most times there are differences from proposal to implementation.

Nadira AL-Araj:+1 Marilyn, yes, the guidance are just to provide the concept to be considered.

Sylvia Cadena:Agree with Alan.

Jonathan Robinson:Yes, but we do not want to water it down so much as to be pointless.

Marilyn Cade:maybe ideas and suggestions to guide... ?

judith hellerstein:I have also add comments to 19 and 20 on the google doc

Marilyn Cade:Yes, to Jonathan.

Jonathan Robinson:This has been crafted to provide and overarching guidance for the selection of projects

Sylvia Cadena:Thanks to the group that worked on this.

Marilyn Cade:With apologies. I will need to abandon you, for another call that starts at 10 a.m. My deep apologies. but I think tht we are making good progress!!!!

Julie Bisland:Thank you, Marilyn

Mary Uduma:+1

Erika Mann:Thank you Joke

Sylvia Cadena:No sound

Vanda Scartezini:not hearing Mary

Erika Mann:Mary!

Mary Uduma:It seems you cannot hear me

Julie Bisland:Mary, we aren't able to hear you, please let me know if you'd like a dialout

judith hellerstein:yes mary we cannot hear you

Vanda Scartezini:no

Sylvia Cadena:Not me

Mary Uduma:I post my idea then

Nadira AL-Araj:No we don't hear Mary

Mary Uduma:Yes I will post

Joke Braeken:thanks for putting your question in the chat Mary. could you please lower your hand?

Maureen Hilyard:Sorry my Adobe Connect crashed for a while so this is a bit late- but from my take of what Hadia said it was not so much about our intent to provide guidance or guidelines but focusing the purpose of this group on the allocation of funds (rather than on applications)Sorry my Adobe Connect crashed for a while so this is a bit late- but from my take of what Hadia said it was not so much about our intent to provide guidance or guidelines but focusing the purpose of this group on the allocation of funds (rather than on applications)

Maureen Hilyard:my AC is really playing up at the moment, sorry

Mary Uduma:I just wanted to support the context with which the paragraph we were debating on. since the Chair gave a good summary. I will comment on the Google doc when recirculated.

judith hellerstein:@maureen, please file this issue on the TTF Adome connect page,

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_atlarge_At-2DLarge-2BTechnology-2BIssues-23At-2DLargeTechnologyIssues-2DAdobeConnectIssues&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrlBmF6l 4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a b G2W38&s=XpL t5v5htkeXMwEkxZIPKsIsHIDt7GASDtVL0ukaO4&e=

Joke Braeken:the google document can be found here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_document_d_1ilXNBowHooDiR1AyxF9ckA8ZRO1Gphx9rQLBZcXgMo_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QIF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrlBmF6l 4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a b G2W38&s=wp6g2rpZxZrCDDFWgFX5ReKSc6dffCFzGdYn0VhxEA&e=

judith hellerstein:We are working on having a separate form created for people to file their issues

Jonathan Robinson:Apologies. I have to leave now.

judith hellerstein:bye Jonathan

Marilyn Cade:I must drop off, but I do only support an external mechanism, as otherwise we will have a very conflictual situation within ICANN. I was not able to meet during the first session, so my views are not included. We have to be careful tthat wee even have full engagement from the designated members and participants. I have not been able to fully contribute. apologies to drop off but we can't do this, Erika, unless we have a dedicated call on this.

Marilyn Cade:I ask that we postpone this untile we have a call that is very focused just on this topic.

Marilyn Cade:I have to drop off now, as does also Jonathan.

Marilyn Cade:I will do online comments.

Erika Mann:Understood Marilyn! Thank you!

Sylvia Cadena:Many of external experts might request an NDA. They might mot discuss operational procedures with such a large group.

Vanda Scartezini:@sylvia. agree experts need to have no conflict

enoss:[back in adobe]

Joke Braeken:absolutely, will do so. I will clean up the live notes after the call

judith hellerstein:Seems like a good idea

Sylvia Cadena:Agree.

Sylvia Cadena:Not screaming ;)

Mary Uduma:No screaming:

Joke Braeken:it is scrollable

Sylvia Cadena:pge 4

Sylvia Cadena:page 4

Joke Braeken:will do so.

Joke Braeken:the expertise-related information on the Wiki :

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_display_CWGONGAP_CCWG-2BExpertise&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= Kh2eTzrlBmF6l 4IxnU1z2GR5JQUxjq18a b G2W38&s=-xMqP7Btnv MDn_jhB7FDfNCJylcZTkGvLWLYeL0ahQ&e=

enoss:no comment. that would be a fine accomplishment

Maureen Hilyard:No just agreeing

judith hellerstein:yes that is a good idea

Sylvia Cadena:It will be great to be able to get this done ahead of ICANN 61. Just not sure if Christmas period will allow for weekly calls

judith hellerstein:@sylvia, calls are bi-weekly

judith hellerstein:twice a month

Nadira AL-Araj:Thank you

judith hellerstein:bye all

enoss:bye all and thanks

Erika Mann:Thanks everyone

Sylvia Cadena:There was a suggestion of weekly calls with the experts

Maarten Botterman:thanks!

Julf Helsingius:Thanks!

Joke Braeken:thank you all, goodbye

Maureen Hilyard:thank you everyone