

During our [9 January 2018 meeting](#), the RDS PDP WG completed deliberation on Domain Name Certification as a legitimate purpose, based on [results from last week's poll](#). The WG then started deliberation on **Criminal Investigation/DNS Abuse Investigation** as a legitimate purpose, working from the [purpose document produced by drafting team 7](#).

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about two proposed WG agreements that surfaced during this call.

Note that we are using a building-block approach to reach points of rough consensus on our charter question of "Purpose:" As such, any agreement on the legitimacy of collecting data for this one purpose does not preclude additional purposes being agreed as legitimate for the same or other data. Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during future WG meetings and on-list.

Any WG member who did not attend the 9 January 2018 WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/QgByB>

This poll will close at COB Saturday 13 January 2018.

As [previously announced](#), by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

*** 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

2. Domain Name Certification:

Last week's poll and discussion during this WG call was based on the following draft definition of Domain Name Certification produced by the drafting team:

Information collected by a certificate authority to enable contact between the registrant, or a technical or administrative representative of the registrant, to assist in verifying that the identity of the certificate applicant is the same as the entity that controls the domain name.

Following discussion of this purpose, last week's poll results, and refinement of possible agreement text, most of those on the 9 January call expressed support for the following possible WG agreement:

Domain Name Certification is NOT a legitimate purpose for requiring collection of registration data, but may be a legitimate purpose for allowing some data to be collected, and/or for using some data collected for another purpose.

- In this poll, the term "collection" includes not only data collected from registrants, but also data derived or implied or otherwise supplied by the registrar or registry.
- Do not assume that collection implies public or non-public access to that data or who will have access; this will be deliberated separately later.

Please indicate below which statement below best reflects your level of agreement. Simply skip this question if you wish to abstain.

- a) Domain Name Certification is NOT a legitimate purpose for requiring collection of registration data, but may be a legitimate purpose for allowing some data to be collected, and/or for using some data collected for another purpose.
- b) Domain Name Certification is NOT a legitimate purpose for requiring collection of registration data, but I wish to propose an alternative statement in the comment box below.
- c) Domain Name Certification IS a legitimate purpose for requiring collection of some registration data. (Please provide rationale and essential data in the comment box below.)

Please provide your rationale for disagreeing with the possible WG agreement or proposed alternative:

3. Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse Investigation:

Discussion during this WG call was based on the following draft definition of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse Investigation produced by the drafting team:

Information to be made available to regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders for the purpose of enabling identification of the nature of the registration and operation of a domain name linked to abuse and/or criminal activities to facilitate the eventual mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified:

- o Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.)*
- o Registrant contact information*
- o Registrar contact Information*
- o DNS contact, etc.*





Following discussion of this purpose and refinement of possible agreement text, most of those on the 9 January call expressed support for the following possible WG agreement:

Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse – Investigation is NOT a legitimate purpose for requiring collection of registration data, but may be a legitimate purpose for using some data collected for other purposes.

A few on the call supported an alternative version of this possible WG agreement which allows for optional data collection, similar to the possible WG agreement reached for Domain Name Certification.

- In this poll, the term "collection" includes not only data collected from registrants, but also data derived or implied or otherwise supplied by the registrar or registry.
- Do not assume that collection implies public or non-public access to that data or who will have access; this will be deliberated separately later.
- Note this purpose is limited to Investigation of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse. Purposes associated with Notification and Reputation will be deliberated separately later.

Please rank the statement(s) below to reflect views on this possible agreement, with "1" indicating your top choice and "2" indicating your second choice (if any). Choose N/A for any statement that you do not support. Simply skip this question if you wish to abstain.

	<input type="text" value="1"/>	a) Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse – Investigation is NOT a legitimate purpose for <u>requiring</u> collection of registration data, but may be a legitimate purpose for using some data collected for other purposes.	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="text" value="2"/>	b) Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse – Investigation is NOT a legitimate purpose for <u>requiring</u> collection of registration data, but may be a legitimate purpose for allowing some data to be collected, and/or for using some data collected for another purpose.	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="text" value="1"/>	c) Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse – Investigation is NOT a legitimate purpose for <u>requiring</u> collection of registration data, but I wish to propose an alternative statement in the comment box below.	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
	<input type="text" value="1"/>	d) Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse – Investigation IS a legitimate purpose for <u>requiring</u> collection of some registration data. (Please provide rationale and essential data in the comment box below.)	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A

If applicable, please provide your rationale for disagreeing with the possible WG agreement or proposed alternative:

Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.