

Annotated Results from 12 Dec Poll

Name	Domain Name	Registrant Name	Registrant Organization	Registrant Postal Address	Registrant Phone	Registrant Email	Registrar Name	Registrar Abuse Contact	Original Registration Date	Creation Date	Updated Date	Registrar Expiration Date	Nameservers	Technical Contact	Administrative Contact	Domain Status	
																	Use this box to provide rationale for your responses above or any other comments
Michele Neylon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	
Rob Golding	Y	Y	Y				Y			Y	Y					Y	
Rod Rasmussen	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Given the operational realities on domain transfers, the admin contact can in-effect control a domain name.
Alex Deacon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Farell FOLLY	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	
Griffin Barnett	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Paul Keating	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Andrew Sullivan	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Note that at least some of this need not be _collected_ as such during registration. I remain implacable about original registration date, which I think is a very bad idea for reasons I've already outlined. I am not sure about nameservers -- sort of depends on whether
Vicky Sheckler	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Tomslin Samme-Nlar	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Considering that collecting this information doesn't necessarily assume public access, a registrant should be able to opt to assign another contact or authorized party as administrative contact.
Benjamin Akinmoyeje	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Kal Feher	Y	Y				Y											slightly pedantic, but authinfo or whatever may take its place in the future should also be supplied in the context of providing enough information to manage the domain name immediately.
Scott Hollenbeck	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	As a registrant, I want to be able to confirm that my registrar is accurately capturing the information I provide when I register or update a domain name. I also want to be able to see and validate derived information, such as the creation date and expiration date. I
Michael Hammer	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Chuck Gomes	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	I suggest in the future we use the term 'collection for the RDS' instead of simply saying 'collection' to avoid the confusion with collection by registries or registrars and accommodate situations where data elements are derived or otherwise supplied by registries
Greg Aaron	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Maxim Alzoba	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	I do believe that Technical Contact, Administrative Contact fields are required for domain management, these fields are not required on a registration stage in case of "no delegation", where no name servers are added. But the later stages of domain name
David Cake	Y	Y	Y			Y	Y			Y	Y	Y			Y	Y	Any in information directly related to registration date or status, and the information needed for active use of the domain name itself, is relevant, but should not need to be public. I am not sure what administrative contact is used for, if not for domain name
Benny Samuelson	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	
Sam Lanfranco	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y			Y		I support one of Registrant name or Registrant Organization (i.e., one "name" identifier, even if just a numbered LLC) along with one stable contact (email, phone or proxy). In my view "management" of the domain name should include reachability....to promote good
Nathalie Coupet	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y	
Laura Margolis	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
	100%	100%	95%	77%	73%	95%	91%	59%	59%	91%	86%	86%	82%	45%	77%	86%	Dark Green >90% -- Light Green > 80% -- Yellow > 70% -- Orange < 70%

Rod Rasmussen	Given the operational realities on domain transfers, the admin contact can in-effect control a domain name.
Andrew Sullivan	Note that at least some of this need not be _collected_ as such during registration. I remain implacable about original registration date, which I think is a very bad idea for reasons I've already outlined. I am not sure about nameservers -- sort of depends on whether they remain for other reasons.
Tomslin Samme-Nlar	Considering that collecting this information doesn't necessarily assume public access, a registrant should be able to opt to assign another contact or authorized party as administrative contact.
Kal Feher	slightly pedantic, but authinfo or whatever may take its place in the future should also be supplied in the context of providing enough information to manage the domain name immediately.
Scott Hollenbeck	As a registrant, I want to be able to confirm that my registrar is accurately capturing the information I provide when I register or update a domain name. I also want to be able to see and validate derived information, such as the creation date and expiration date. I want to be able to see and validate contact information provided or authorized by me that is published by registrar or registry directory services. I do not need to see the registrar's abuse contact to manage my domains because I already have a business relationship with the registrar and I know how to contact them directly if needed.
Chuck Gomes	I suggest in the future we use the term 'collection for the RDS' instead of simply saying 'collection' to avoid the confusion with collection by registries or registrars and accommodate situations where data elements are derived or otherwise supplied by registries or registrars.
Maxim Alzoba	I do believe that Technical Contact, Administrative Contact fields are required for domain management, these fields are not required on a registration stage in case of "no delegation", where no name servers are added. But the later stages of domain name management, when name servers are added require someone to be able to answer technical questions (Tech) and someone who is able to answer administrative questions (Admin). I think that Registrar Expiration Date is not based on domain name cycle of the TLD (unlike registry expiration date), and thus does not belong here. In case where a registrar does not have enough money on account, auto renewal might not work and thus Registry expiration date would be the one ruling the outcome. The Original Registration date is not required for domain name management (Registrant does not have to know it, registry might not have information about it, at least many current domains does not have this information stored in registries or registrars and we will not have true/accurate information if such idea comes into RDS as a separate field). Registrars have obligation to publish registrar abuse contacts on their website (RAA 2013 requirement), and thus I am not sure multiple records of the same field would help. Registrar URL would be better option to prevent search on IANA / ICANN website in a search of a website of a registrar. P.s: I suggest we have not only "yes"/"no" fields but also "other opinion" field
David Cake	Any in information directly related to registration date or status, and the information needed for active use of the domain name itself, is relevant, but should not need to be public. I am not sure what administrative contact is used for, if not for domain name management.
Sam Lanfranco	I support one of Registrant name or Registrant Organization (i.e., one "name" identifier, even if just a numbered LLC) along with one stable contact (email, phone or proxy). In my view "management" of the domain name should include reachability....to promote good management (-:!