


Q1 Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls) If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so. Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Michele Neylon	12/2/2017 12:29 PM
2	Marina A. Lewis	12/1/2017 2:01 PM
3	Patrick Klos	12/1/2017 9:47 AM
4	Benny Samuelson	12/1/2017 9:41 AM
5	Maxim Alzoba	12/1/2017 9:09 AM
6	Farell FOLLY	12/1/2017 9:08 AM
7	Susan Kawaguchi	12/1/2017 9:05 AM
8	Vicky Sheckler	12/1/2017 8:49 AM
9	Klaus Stoll	12/1/2017 8:46 AM
10	Bastiaan Goslings	12/1/2017 8:37 AM
11	Greg Shatan	11/30/2017 10:35 PM
12	Sam Lanfranco	11/30/2017 10:19 AM
13	Ayden Férdeline	11/30/2017 8:40 AM
14	Rod Rasmussen	11/29/2017 11:13 PM
15	Kal Feher	11/29/2017 8:23 PM
16	Greg Aaron	11/29/2017 1:38 PM
17	Chuck Gomes	11/29/2017 12:53 PM
18	Michael Hammer	11/29/2017 12:15 PM
19	Tomslin Samme-Nlar	11/29/2017 11:40 AM
20	Sara Bockey	11/29/2017 11:27 AM
21	Jeremy Malcolm	11/29/2017 11:24 AM
22	Kris Seeburn	11/29/2017 11:19 AM
23	Nathalie Coupet	11/29/2017 11:17 AM
24	John Bambenek	11/29/2017 11:05 AM

Q2 Registration Data needed for Technical Issue Resolution: Based on the following agreed definition of Technical Issue Resolution for issues associated with Domain Name Resolution: Information collected to enable contact of the relevant contacts to facilitate tracing, identification and resolution of incidents related to issues associated with domain name resolution by persons who are affected by such issues, or persons tasked (directly or indirectly) with the resolution of such issues on their behalf. Those on the 29 November WG call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call: The following information is to be collected for the purpose of Technical Issue Resolution associated with Domain Name Resolution: Technical Contact(s) or (if no Technical Contact is provided) Registrant Contact(s), Nameservers, Domain Status, Expiry Date and Time, Sponsoring Registrar. Please indicate your level of agreement with the above statement by checking every box below where you agree that the associated registration data is needed and should be collected for the purpose of Technical Issue Resolution for Domain Name Resolution. Leaving any box below unchecked means that you do not agree with collecting the associated registration data for this purpose. The comment box below should be used to give rationale for any boxes left unchecked, or for any other comments you wish to make about the above statement. Note: Do not assume collection implies public or non-public access to that data or who will have access; this will be deliberated separately later.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Technical Contact(s) (optionally provided by the registrant, to include at least one method for real-time contact, excluding postal address)	95.83% 23
Registrant Contact(s) (only if no Technical Contact is provided, to include at least one method for real-time contact, excluding postal address)	87.50% 21
Nameservers	100.00% 24
Domain Status (as defined by EPP)	100.00% 24
Expiry Date and Time	95.83% 23
Sponsoring Registrar (IANA ID, Name, and website URL)	100.00% 24
Total Respondents: 24	

#	IF YOU DISAGREE, PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR RATIONALE AND A PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE STATEMENT	DATE
1	NOTE - I don't understand why postal address is excluded. While I am not an expert in this area, I would think that having postal address would be helpful in investigating the technical issue as well. In addition, I don't understand why only the registrant contact information is provided if there is no technical contact. I would think that knowing the registrant organization is helpful to resolve the technical issue, and that the registrant organization may not be listed as part of the technical contact. ALSO, I would ask the security experts if other information is needed/useful as well. I note that in the technical issues purpose statement, it calls for "all fields really" under the data elements needed, and that isn't reflected in this survey.	12/1/2017 8:49 AM
2	I disagree with the contention that the Registrant Contact is needed and should be collected "only if no Technical Contact is provided." There may be a variety of circumstances where both the Technical Contact and the Registrant Contacts will be used or useful in resolving technical contacts. Treating the Registrant Contact info as de facto optional would be a mistake.	11/30/2017 10:35 PM
3	The registrar will have the contact details for their customers; they can forward legitimate requests on to their customer if need be.	11/30/2017 8:40 AM

4	As I and others said on the call, a contact provided in the context of a technical resolution _is_ the technical contact. The registrant may choose to have the same details or not. We should focus on roles rather than current RDS labels, so I think it is appropriate to exclude Registrant from this list at this time.	11/29/2017 8:23 PM
5	The following information should also be collected for the purpose of Technical Issue Resolution associated with Domain Name Resolution: Registrant Contact.	11/29/2017 1:38 PM
6	I don't disagree with the above but would include updated date and created date as well. Both of these fields can be useful in troubleshooting technical problems. Neither of these two fields contain anything that would be considered PI, so that shouldn't be an issue.	11/29/2017 12:15 PM
