AC Attendance - 33 Members

Alex Deacon	Kal Feher	Steve Metalitz
Andrew Sullivan	Klaus Stoll	Susan Kawaguchi
Bastiaan Goslings	Laura Margolis	Vicky Sheckler
Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria)	Marc Anderson	Vlad Dinculescu
Chris Wilson	Maxim Alzoba(FAITID)	Volker Greimann
Chuck Gomes	Michael Palage	
David Cake	Nathalie Coupet	
Fabien Betremieux	Phil Marano	
Fabricio Vayra	Roger Carney	
Farell Folly	Sam Lanfranco	
Greg Aaron	Sara Bockey	
Greg Shatan	Scott Hollenbeck (Verisign)	
Griffin Barnett	Sivasubramanian M	
GZ Kabir	Stephanie Perrin	

On Audio Only: Evan Smith

Apologies: Rubens Kuhl, Michele Neylon, James Galvin, Tomslin Samme-Nlar, Kris Seeburn, Benny Samuelsen, Tim O'Brien

Staff: Lisa Phifer, Marika Konings, Terri Agnew, Berry Cobb, Julie Bisland

AC Chat transcript:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the GNSO Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group teleconference on Tuesday, 5 December 2017 at 17:00 UTC
Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_x_MAByB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=MUOCF-sryRd7jPomcXlRIniz2cydi-OPCDl10C3nZq0&s=5ByR-oJGORP-JbkPjaATstyzrncWpM54Q_a9TXpvtXw&e=
Nathalie Coupet:yes
Chuck Gomes:Hello
Lisa Phifer:Call Handout: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__community.icann.org_download_attachments_74580016_Handout-2D5Dec-2DRDSWGCall.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=MUOCF-sryRd7jPomcXlRIniz2cydi-OPCDl10C3nZq0&s=djdgwMuSPBQH31ybd3dL1IlTSzUewPN01WQ_3VJu8tI&e=
Lisa Phifer:We are on slide 4, poll results
Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):Hello All
Sam Lanfranco:Hi all!
Vicky Sheckler:understood, but i would think that postal address woudl be useful for investigation to help resolve the technical issue. but again, I'm not an expert here and defer to the experts
andrew sullivan:If you had a technical problem, I can't imagine solving it through the post
Sivasubramanian M:true
andrew sullivan:The location of the contact is not the location of the servers

David Cake:I am once again having the disconcerting issue that peoples voices are pitch sifted. Perfectly understandable, but peiople do not sound like themselves.

Marika Konings:For the purpose of collection, the focus has been on what is absolutely necessary for the purpose, not what is nice to have (which is probably more relevant for the access question?)

andrew sullivan:I for instance have servers in Singapore

Sivasubramanian M:I experience frequent disconnection,

Lisa Phifer:@David, audio sounds find to me

Vicky Sheckler:@andrew - i understand that you would contact them via a more direct means, but would knowing where they are located help figure out what the issue is / what possible solutions may be before you reach out to the contact via some other means?

David Cake:Pitch shifted. You sound rather more bass than usual.

Marika Konings:@David - have you tried reconnecting to Adobe Connect?

Lisa Phifer:@Vicky, where the Tech Contact is located?

Greg Shatan:If you don't collect it, you can't access it. So I think that reasoning is backwards.

David Cake:No, Greg as well.

andrew sullivan:@Vicky: knowng where I am is not going to help you know anything about my technology

Lisa Phifer:@GregS, it is possible that data is collected for another purpose and helpful for this purpose, but the question before us is whether it is essential for THIS purpose?

Greg Shatan:That is my question....

Marika Konings:@Greg - it may be necessary to collect for another valid purpose, but maybe not this one?

Lisa Phifer:Reminder, WG Agreement 28. Registrant Country must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.

Kal Feher:solving a technical issue via snail mail seems unlikely. solving a technical issue by learning where someone lives also seems a little unlikely.

Julie Bisland:@David: You may want to check you plug in's: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__tinyurl.com_icannactest&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=MUOCF-sryRd7jPomcXlRIniz2cydi-OPCDl10C3nZq0&s=GtDlAkhu--EE_DefDArFBdJdEnIHydTpvtKr8C39fMk&e=

Greg Shatan:Rather, the question is whether it can sometimes be necessary (not merely helpful) to have the physical location of the contact in order to resolve a technical issue.

David Cake:I agree with Andrew - it is hard to think of a technical issue where postal address would be more useful than almost any other contact method.

andrew sullivan:I'm having a peculiarly funny image of a raspberry pi in a PO box :)

Greg Shatan:@Kal, I'm asking the question to understand whether it is used or useful (not as a contact point, but as an informational point).

Kal Feher:what technical problem can be solved by knowing tany contact's address?

Kal Feher:@greg. to me the answer would be none.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@andrew, you still need a sparate electricuity socket contract with the postal ofiice

Nathalie Coupet:raspberry pie is a type of self-made network?

andrew sullivan:It's a tiny machine

andrew sullivan:you could run a server on it

Volker Greimann:Greg: And that is the problem

andrew sullivan:Personally, I cannot think of an occasion where I have used postal address in solving a problem

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):infrastructure is 3rd level mostly and address on 2nd does not help

Volker Greimann:@Greg: The fact that they serve as a piece of identifying information is exactly the problem from a data protection perspective.

andrew sullivan:But I've used whois lots to contact people

Lisa Phifer:If anyone familiar with tech issue resolution thinks location of the Tech Contact is needed, please raise your hand

Lisa Phifer:WG Agreement 28. Registrant Country must be included in RDS data elements; it must be mandatory to collect for every domain name registration.

andrew sullivan:I guess sometimes knowing what country someone is in will allow me to guage when I'm likely to get a response

andrew sullivan:s/country/time zone, really

Greg Shatan:@Volker, this is not a data protection question at this point in our discussions.

Sam Lanfranco:There are tonnes of Open Access Journal scams in the academic and science publication world. This is non-technical but address is useful for helping identify such scams.

David Cake:Andrew, only at best statistically.

Volker Greimann:true, but we should still bear it in mind

andrew sullivan:@David: yes

Sivasubramanian M:with the Adobe audio problem, Chuck Gomez almost sounds like Jay Baruchel in the Sorcerer's Apprentice

David Cake:I once worked fora New York compan on more or less New York hours, despite living in Western Australia. A common enugh pheneomenon Cory Doctorow wrote a book about it

David Cake:I once worked fora New York compan on more or less New York hours, despite living in Western Australia. A common enugh pheneomenon Cory Doctorow wrote a book about it'

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):Also there is not way to establish if the address is still relevant (of phone) in express manner

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):*or phone

andrew sullivan:Sure, and if you used my address as my time zone proxy, you'd be wrong often :)

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@David +1 I did the same working on LA time in Europe (sometimes my day ended on 04AM local time)

Terri Agnew:for anyone experience audio issues, please check you plug in's: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__tinyurl.com_icannactest&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=MUOCF-sryRd7jPomcXlRIniz2cydi-OPCDl10C3nZq0&s=GtDlAkhu--EE_DefDArFBdJdEnIHydTpvtKr8C39fMk&e=, change browsers, or contact me if a dial out on telephone is needed

Sivasubramanian M:how about the name of one person who accepts responsibility for technical matters concerning the dom?

steve metalitz:+1 to the Gregs re collecting registrant contact

Sivasubramanian M:that was @ Greg.

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):+1 @Greg - for inclusion of "other" in addition of yes/no

Greg Aaron:+1 to Greg Shatan. There were aspects to the poll that were ambiguous.

steve metalitz:What if technical contact is provided but non-functional in terms of contactability?

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@steve , then it is an issue of the registrant

Marc Anderson:+1 Kal. Using the role based nominclature would have been better.

Lisa Phifer:@Kal, you are looking for something like "party serving in Tech Contact role" ?

Lisa Phifer:@Kal or "contact information for party serving in Tech Contact role" ?

Sivasubramanian M:Wouldn't it be more desirable to have the name of the person who "owns" or directs technical functions over the domain space?

Lisa Phifer:@SM, that would be Registrant

Lisa Phifer:@Marc, contact information for Tech Contact Role?

Kal Feher:I think Marc put it more succinctly than I can at my late hour

Lisa Phifer:I'm thinking you need to indicate what data you need about the Tech Contact Role

Volker Greimann:/me nods to Greg

steve metalitz:@Maxim, but the formulation approved in the poll does not provide for that.

Sivasubramanian M:@Lisa, yes, but inRDS separately looks for a technical contact as distinct from the Registrant. Where we have multiple technical persons spread over multiple locations, what we are looking for is the name of the person who directs technical operations over the domain space

Lisa Phifer:Now on slide 6

Sivasubramanian M:...but RDS ...

Lisa Phifer:@SM, I see what you mean, there might be multiple contacts reached by attempting to reach the Tech Contact Role

Marika Konings:See slide 11 for some possible criteria for determining legitimacy

Sivasubramanian M:the issue of multiple persons in different locations in corporatios was raised by Greg as an argument over the infeasibility of a tech contact.

Sivasubramanian M:my response was to that

Sivasubramanian M:the name of the person who "owns" tech functions

Lisa Phifer:@Kal, we will get to DN Certification purpose and ask the same question about that purpose

Kal Feher:@Lisa DN Certification tests DN control only so they are effectively the same thing

Volker Greimann:As long as we can still get away ;-)

Kal Feher:@greg to allay your fears, there are practical and mechanised methods to prove domain control without the RDS. no underwear required

Lisa Phifer:Slides 7-11 present the definition of Domain Name Control produced by Drafting Team 2 (DT2)

Lisa Phifer:@Marc is your hand up with a question?

Kal Feher:I feel like this is conflating domain ownership and domain control.

Marc Anderson:yes, I can get back to it thoiugh

Vicky Sheckler:think it is legitimate

Lisa Phifer:We are now on slide 11

Lisa Phifer:ICANN's mission is on slides 19-20

Lisa Phifer:@Marc is your question maybe HOW does this purpose support ICANN's mission?

David Cake:Kal is right, proving Domain name control for the Certification purposes does not require the RDS. Though some methods of DN certification may.

David Cake:I think Kal is right about conflating ownership and control, but both possibly being legitimate.

Marc Anderson:@Lisa - yes that's part of it. I feel like it does, but if someone puts me on the spot (as Chuck did) I'd have trouble justifying it.

Marika Konings:Note that ICANN's mission statement is on slide 19, the other slides include other sections of the ICANN Bylaws that the WG may consider relevant.

andrew sullivan:Given that one is not allowed to "own" domain names, the difference is not plain to me. The meaning of having a domain name is to have it under control, I think

Alex Deacon:@andrew +1 - not sure how you legitimatley manage a domain without controlling it.

Vicky Sheckler:+1 andrew

steve metalitz:@ Kal -- As Andrew notes, "ownership" may not be the right label here

Lisa Phifer:@Marika, slides 20-21 are Annexes from Bylaws referred to by the Mission statement, correct

Vicky Sheckler:not sure how the fact that delegating some management functiosn to another makes a difference here

Marika Konings:For those interested in reviewing the full text of the mission, please see https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_resources_pages_governance_bylaws-2Den_-23article1&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=MUOCF-sryRd7jPomcXlRIniz2cydi-OPCDl10C3nZq0&s=IuaqRXGpTb5QJ4Giv4shDuxK7UTkl9ka_kUI1mOTkfo&e=

steve metalitz:With apologies: does the list of criteria for "legitimacy" on slide 11 reflect a "rough consensus" or some other decision of the WG? I am having trouble recalling that we had a poll to approve this list.   But I may well have missed that.....

Lisa Phifer:That hyperlink to the Bylaws appears on slide 19 "ICANN's MIssion"

Vicky Sheckler:and for genreeral domain name management, I think on would need access to all of the various contact details

Lisa Phifer:@Steve M, re criteria - no they are raised as questions the WG might consider to test legitimacy but we did not deliberate or agree on the criteria

Susan Kawaguchi:+1 Vicky

Vicky Sheckler:apologies - need to run to another meeting

Marc Anderson:sorry, what's the question?

Vicky Sheckler:i don't think its necessary either

Lisa Phifer:Chuck's question: Should this be split into domain name registration vs. domain name control

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):could we have it typed?

Lisa Phifer:this purpose

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):it is hard

Greg Shatan:We can always split topics in to subtopics, but why declare them to be separate here?

Griffin Barnett:doesn't really seem necessary to me

David Cake:andrew, many registrars or hosting companies control names that are registered to their clients, the clients are surprisingly often unaware of who is actually performing effective control over their domain name.

Lisa Phifer:Domain Name Registration: Informatino collected to create a new domain name registration. versus...

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):tech contact can lead legal contact into a disaster , a registrar can do something with a domain , a registr can do something ... all of it is control

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):*registry

Lisa Phifer:Domain Name Control: Infromatino collected to ensure that the domain registration records are under the control of the authorized party and that no unauthorized changes are made.

Lisa Phifer:If you split this into two purposes, you would need those two purpose definitions.

Alex Deacon:splitting them doesn't seem to add much value here IMO

Maxim Alzoba(FAITID):@Lisa , technical management can do almost anything, if controlling party does not understand DNS

Alex Deacon:@susan - perhaps not harmful but not a great use of time.

Lisa Phifer:@Maxim, I think you are referring to Registrant vs. Admin Contact and both can be required for this one purpose

Susan Kawaguchi:agree Alex

Stephanie Perrin:Apologies for being so late.

Marc Anderson:I don't want to unnecissarily hold us up, but I don't think we've answered the question of "what makes this purpose legitimate".

Lisa Phifer:We are now on slide 12

Greg Aaron:Look in the EWG report for the answer to that.

Lisa Phifer:Just as reminder, the definition we're working with: Information collected to create a new domain name registration and ensuring that the domain registration records are under the control of the authorized party and that no unauthorized changes, transfers are made in the record.

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria):I believe that the details on the whois should be updated ... phone, fax, etc...seems not to be uptodate way of contacting an entity in this present day.

Lisa Phifer:DT2's definition, it comes from slide 11

Greg Shatan:Slide 11

Greg Shatan:+1 Greg A. You put your finger on what was bothering me with this definition.

Lisa Phifer: Information collected to create a new domain name registration, enabling authorized changes to the record by the controlling party, and ensuring that the domain registration records are under the control of the authorized party and that no unauthorized changes, transfers are made in the record.

Lisa Phifer:Above is a proposed change to track Greg A's suggestion

andrew sullivan:@Benjamin: apart from killing off the useless fax field, what would be the ways you propose?

Greg Aaron:What is missing from the definition of "management" is the "management" part!

Lisa Phifer:Alternative: Information collected to create a new domain name registration, enabling management of the domain name registration, and ensuring that the domain registration records are under the control of the authorized party and that no unauthorized changes, transfers are made in the record.

Greg Shatan:@Greg. Exactly.

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria):@Andrew , it is faster to get to an establishment via their socialmedia handle.

Benjamin Akinmoyeje (Nigeria):That is more pratical now.

Greg Aaron:Actually, that wording is not doing it for me yet.

Alex Deacon:i'm good...sorry

Lisa Phifer:EWG Report: Creating, managing and monitoring a Registrant's own domain name (DN), including creating the DN, updating information about the DN, transferring the DN, renewing the DN, deleting the DN, maintaining a DN portfolio, and detecting fraudulent use of the Registrant's own contact information.

Greg Shatan:@Kal, what are you thinking of as being excluded?

Fabricio Vayra:Always in favor of using existing community work product -- EWG work.

Volker Greimann:Chuck: The answer to that is called May 25, 2018

Lisa Phifer:Note that DT2 did start from the EWG Report definition and produced the defintion we have been deliberating for the past hour of this call.

Stephanie Perrin:That was not community work proeduct Fab....

Kal Feher:@greg shatan. I think Lisa's revised purpose excludes technical management/control proof. if that is a deliberate choice, then I am happy. I think the legal and technical management purposesshould be discussed seperately. both legitimate in my mind, but both have different needs in terms of data elements

Sam Lanfranco:One thing that might help is a glossary build so that terms have a fixed meeting in discussions.

Sam Lanfranco:Pace is a major issue here, for several reasons

Lisa Phifer:@Sam, this WG would have to agree on term definitions in a glossary...

Nathalie Coupet:For each use, we could have all participants indicate which data is not required, and we can discuss only the contentious data sets

Sara Bockey:i need to drop for another meeting. thanks all

Kal Feher:actually the DT groups were good at developing text in between meetings. we may wish to revisit some derivative of that process on an ongoing basis

Sam Lanfranco:@Lisa We explore where we agree, and examine (in drafting teams) why we disagree when we disagree (that might help)

Bastiaan Goslings:When doing the poll: can the EWG definition that Lisa referred to be included? Even if just for reference?

Lisa Phifer:@Bastiaan, sure

Bastiaan Goslings:'EWG Report: Creating, managing and monitoring a Registrant's own domain name (DN), including creating the DN, updating information about the DN, transferring the DN, renewing the DN, deleting the DN, maintaining a DN portfolio, and detecting fraudulent use of the Registrant's own contact information'

thanks ;-)

Julie Bisland 2:Next meeting: Tuesday, 12 December 2017 at 17:00 UTC

Sam Lanfranco:bye

Nathalie Coupet:bye

andrew sullivan:bye all

Bastiaan Goslings:Thanks all, ciao

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks bye