


**Q1 Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls) If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so. Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.**

Answered: 25 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Vicky Sheckler	11/25/2017 8:26 AM
2	Steve Metalitz	11/24/2017 7:27 PM
3	Alex Deacon	11/24/2017 2:37 PM
4	Maxim Alzoba	11/24/2017 11:08 AM
5	Laura Margolis	11/24/2017 8:33 AM
6	Marco Schmidt	11/24/2017 7:43 AM
7	Sam Lanfranco	11/24/2017 7:19 AM
8	Volker Greimann	11/23/2017 7:51 AM
9	Chuck Gomes	11/23/2017 4:08 AM
10	Greg Shatan	11/22/2017 8:08 PM
11	Kris Seeburn	11/22/2017 9:25 AM
12	Andrew Sullivan	11/22/2017 4:52 AM
13	René J. STEINER	11/22/2017 2:16 AM
14	Rob Golding	11/21/2017 11:09 PM
15	Juan Manuel Rojas	11/21/2017 7:29 PM
16	Tomslin Samme-Nlar	11/21/2017 5:56 PM
17	Michael Hammer	11/21/2017 4:22 PM
18	Kal Feher	11/21/2017 4:20 PM
19	Tim Chen	11/21/2017 4:20 PM
20	Michael Peddemors	11/21/2017 4:18 PM
21	Carlton Samuels	11/21/2017 3:25 PM
22	Roger Carney	11/21/2017 2:19 PM
23	Greg Aaron	11/21/2017 2:16 PM
24	Chris Wilson	11/21/2017 2:11 PM
25	Nathalie Coupet	11/21/2017 2:07 PM

**Q2 Technical Issue Resolution:** Based on the following definition of Technical Issue Resolution: Information collected to enable contact of the relevant contacts to facilitate tracing, identification and resolution of incidents related to services associated with the domain name by persons who are affected by such issues, or persons tasked (directly or indirectly) with the resolution of such issues on their behalf. Those on the 21 November WG call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call: Technical Issue Resolution is a legitimate purpose for AT MINIMUM resolving issues with domain name resolution. Please indicate below whether you agree or disagree with this statement.

Answered: 24 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Agree	91.67% 22
Disagree	8.33% 2
TOTAL	24

#	IF YOU DISAGREE, PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR RATIONALE OR A PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE	DATE
1	Please note that my agreement only applies for the bare minimum of contact details, not the whole gamut of registrant contact details.	11/23/2017 7:51 AM
2	No one since the 90's has used contact data on domains for anything technical, and many many different potential technical issues, you'd need dozens of "contacts" on a domain for the data to make any sense	11/21/2017 11:09 PM
3	I find the wording of that statement a circular and confusing. There doesnt appear to be any meaningful action for the RDS described in that statement	11/21/2017 4:20 PM
4	It seems that the definition of Technical Issue Resolution and this purpose could be interpreted much wider than just technical as neither say "technical" in their language. Maybe add "technical operations" before "incidents" and "issues" to make this more clear?	11/21/2017 2:19 PM