

RDS PDP WG Poll - 21 November

During our [21 November meeting](#), the RDS PDP WG began deliberation on Technical Issue Resolution as a possible legitimate purpose, working from the [purpose document produced by drafting team 1](#). Following discussion to enhance understanding, the WG deliberated on the scope of technical issues that fall within ICANN's remit and thus might be resolved through registration data legitimately collected for this purpose. In an effort to confirm points of agreement while pinpointing areas of disagreement for further consideration, several possible WG agreements were tested with a show of hands.

This poll gives all WG members an opportunity to consider and express their views about one proposed WG agreement that surfaced during this call.

Note that we are using a building-block approach to reach points of rough consensus on our charter question of "Purpose:"
As such, any agreement on the legitimacy of this one purpose does not preclude additional purposes being agreed as legitimate for the same or other data. Poll results will be used to inform deliberation during future WG meetings and on-list.

Any WG member who did not attend the 261 November WG meeting is expected to catch up on WG discussion before taking this poll. Meeting notes and materials, including transcripts and recordings, can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/LAByB>

This poll will close at COB Saturday 25 November.

As [previously announced](#), by submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results. Responses submitted by WG members are not assumed to reflect the views of any organization with which they may be affiliated.

*** 1. Your name (must be RDS PDP WG Member - not WG Observer - to participate in polls)**

If you are a WG Observer and wish to participate in polls, you must upgrade to WG Member to do so.

Please do NOT participate in this poll if you are a WG Observer who has not upgraded to WG Member.

2. Technical Issue Resolution:

Based on the following definition of Technical Issue Resolution:

Information collected to enable contact of the relevant contacts to facilitate tracing, identification and resolution of incidents related to services associated with the domain name by persons who are affected by such issues, or persons tasked (directly or indirectly) with the resolution of such issues on their behalf.

Those on the 21 November WG call expressed support for the following statement; no objections were raised on the call:

Technical Issue Resolution is a legitimate purpose for AT MINIMUM resolving issues with domain name resolution.

Please indicate below whether you agree or disagree with this statement.

Agree

Disagree

If you disagree, please provide your rationale or a proposed alternative

Please click the Submit button below to record your responses.

By submitting a response to this poll, you are granting permission for your entire response - including WG member name and response timestamp - to be included in published poll results.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on charter questions. Thank you for participating in this poll.