## **RDS-WHOIS2 - Law Enforcement Needs Subgroup**

**Print** 

## **Law Enforcement Needs Survey**

This survey aims to identify uses of the WHOIS. It seeks to collect evidence on whether WHOIS meets the legitimate needs of law enforcement agencies and to assess the impact of changes in the context of current adaptations to data protection laws.

Please participate in this survey if you are currently using WHOIS in performance of your duties or have used WHOIS in

This survey was drafted and sent by the RDS-WHOIS2-RT Law Enforcement Needs Subgroup. More information is available

Please complete this survey no later than 23:59 UTC on 25 July 2018 (NOW EXTENDED TO 23:59 UTC ON 6 AUGUST 2018) so data may be processed in time for release of the RDS-WHOIS2 draft report and recommendations (if any). We are aware that exact figures may not be always available; where this is not the case, please use your best estimate.

Ry clicking on submit, you agree that your personal data will be processed in accordance with the ICANN Privacy Policy, and

Please indicate the country	of your duty station:
[Please Select]	<b>\$</b>
2. Please indicate your Unit/D	epartment/Organization:
3 By which means do you or	our agency look up WHOIS data?
Multiple choices possible	our agency look up Whole data:
Third party commercial se	ervice, e.g. DomainTools
☐ ICANN WHOIS lookup po	rtal (https://whois.icann.org/)
☐ The Internet's Network In	formation Center (InterNIC, https://www.internic.net/whois.html)
Portal provided by Regist	rar, e.g. Godaddy
Portal provided by Regist	ry, e.g. Verisign
Port 43 interface	
Other open source tools :	
1. What are the issues you ide	entified when using WHOIS data? (if any)
Multiple choices possible	millied when using writing data: (II any)
☐ No issues	
	e (no registrant's email address and telephone number)
WHOIS data is inaccurate	
☐ Hard to tell whether the W	/HOIS data is accurate or not
☐ WHOIS data is protected	by Privacy/Proxy service
☐ Inconsistent lookup result	S
☐ No central authority for W	HOIS data lookup
5. Do you rely on third-party s	ervices provided by private companies in relation to WHOIS, e.g. DomainTools or others?
☐ Yes	, ,, ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
□ No	

1 don't know
6. To what extent do you rely on these external services?
For all lookups
☐ Frequently
Occasionally
Rarely
7. Which data fields do you rely on most or are most helpful to your investigation(s)?
Choose category - Multiple choices possible
Registrant
Admin
☐ Tech
Billing
Registrar
Creation & updated date
☐ Name server and other related technical information (such as domain status)
8. Do you use cross-referencing/reverse lookup of WHOIS data fields, e.g. to identify other domains that were registered using the same information?
Yes
□ No
☐ Not available
Not available
9. How often is this used?
Always or close to always
Frequently
From time to time
Rarely
Never or close to never
10. Please provide any comment(s) you may have on cross-referencing/reversed look-up.
11. How important is WHOIS for law enforcement activities?
□ Very important
Important
□ Neutral □ Neu
□ Not very important
Unimportant
12. Are there alternative data sources that you could use or already use to fulfill the same investigative needs?
☐ Yes
□ No
☐ I don't know
13. Which data source(s) do you or could you use alternatively?

14. Have you come across any issues when requesting data behind privacy and proxy services in your use of the WHOIS?
Yes
□ No
☐ I don't know
_ room know
15. If yes, please specify below
16. In what percentage of lookups (approximately) do you encounter privacy/proxy services?
O-10%
□ 10-20%
20-40%
40-60%
60-80%
80-100%
17. Were you able to obtain data on the registrant?
Yes
□ No
18. Did cooperation with the privacy/proxy service function well?
Yes
□ No
19. Was the data obtained in time to allow the investigation to proceed?
Yes
□ No
20. Do you have experience using gated access systems, e.g. on the basis of credentials assigned to you personally or to your
organisation? Which requirements exist for your organisation?
21. Where WHOIS access to the name and address of the registrant is discontinued, how would you conduct your investigations?
☐ By going to the ISPs
By relying on direct cooperation with registrars and registries on the basis of a request form or other individualized request
By obtaining a legal instrument and going to the registrars or registries
Other means (Please explain) :
22. Where WHOIS information is not available on a public query basis, how does this usually affect an investigation?
Other means are pursued
The investigation is delayed
☐ The investigation is discontinued
Other (please explain):

23. Please specify if possible:	
As you may be aware, the WHOIS to be publicly available for lookup	asks about your usage of WHOIS prior to May 2018. Saccess policy was changed at the end of May 2018, and a number of data elements that user are now redacted, in particular information on the registrant and other personal data. For more case see <a href="https://example.com/here-see/by-nc-4">here</a> . The following set of questions seeks your input on your use of the
O4 Dianta May 0040 have record	WILLOUG In allower distances are smaller and the same and the
_	WHOIS lookups did you personally make per month?
<10	
Between 10 and 100	
Between 100 and 1000	
Between 1000 and 10000	
>10000	
None	
25. Prior to May 2018, how many l make?	lookups did your unit or other units or agencies in your jurisdiction whose use you are aware of
<10	
between 10 and 100	
between 100 and 1000	
between 1000 and 10000	
>10000	
☐ I don't know	
_	he percentage of WHOIS lookup results, generally speaking, that helped your investigation?
<10%	
10%	
20%	
30%	
40%	
50%	
60%	
70%	
80%	
90%	
<b>100%</b>	
☐ I don't know	
27. Prior to May 2018, did the WH enforcement investigations?	IOIS lookup functionality (anonymous & public access) meet your needs for the purposes of law
Yes	
Partially	
□ No	
20 Drian to May 2040 have did to	pot most vous poods?
28. Prior to May 2018, how did it n  Inaccurate data	iot meet your needs?
_	
No data available	
O	

Other :			
	y the WHOIS did inswer refers to yo	eds. Icludes other units c	or agencies.

The next set of questions asks about your usage of WHOIS post May 2018.

30. Has your usage of WHOIS changed since May 2018?
○ Yes
○ No
O I don't know
31. How many WHOIS lookups do you personally make per month as of June 2018?
Same as before/no change
□ <10
Between 10 and 100
☐ Between 100 and 1000
☐ Between 1000 and 10000
>10000
None
32. How many lookups does your unit or other units or agencies in your jurisdiction whose use you are aware of make as of June 2018?
Same as before/no change
<10 <10
between 10 and 100
between 100 and 1000
□ between 1000 and 10000
>10000
☐ I don't know
33. Generally speaking, what is the percentage of WHOIS lookup results that help your investigation?
Same as before/no change
<10%
<u> </u>
20%
30%
<u>40%</u>
<u> </u>
□ 60%
80%
90%
<u> </u>
☐ I don't know

34. Does the current WHOIS lookup functionality (personal data, e.g. name, email address, phone number, postal address being redacted by some registrars) meet your needs for the purposes of law enforcement investigations?
☐ Yes
Partially
□ No
35. How does it not meet your needs?
Lack of personal data hinders the attribution of malicious domains
○ Inaccurate data
Other:
36. Please specify in what way the WHOIS does not meet your needs. Please specify whether your answer refers to your unit or also includes other units or agencies.
37. Are there any other comments you would like to share with the review team should be aware of?

Thank you for your input!