



SubPro WT 4: IDN / Technical / Operations

Meeting #21 2000 UTC 30 November 2017

Agenda

1

Welcome, Opening
Remarks and
SOI updates

2

Recap of pending
issues

3

AOB and closing

1. Welcome, Opening Remarks and SOI updates

2. Recap of pending issues

- Consensus to support continuing having IDN TLDs
- Consensus to support allowing 1-char IDN TLDs in specific situations
- Consensus to use then-current version of RZ-LGR (RZ-LGR-2 at this point)
- Consensus to automate RZ-LGR compliance checking as much as feasible (algorithmically) in the application system
- Consensus to support IDN Variant TLDs if operated by same RO
 - Still pending: policy requirements for such
- All consensus calls on this topic still require confirmation of language

Universal Acceptance

- Consensus to support mentioning UASG to applicants
- Consensus to not create additional requirements regarding UA
- All consensus calls on this topic still require confirmation of language

Technical Evaluation

- Consensus to support aggregating technical evaluation as much as feasible
 - Including between procedures, which enables the RSP Program
- Consensus to support staff recommendation for technical questions only being pass or fail, not 0-1-2 points in some cases
- Drill-down into CQs still pending information from GDD
 - Number of CQs at 2012 deemed excessive, suggesting improvements to questions language
- Other than language and scoring improvements, Technical Evaluation model deemed to be used by SubPro, probably as RSP Program Criteria

Registry Services

- Consensus to support a list of pre-approved services
- Consensus to define RSEP as the tool to evaluate new registry services
- Still to define:
 - Whether applicants needs to specify which pre-approved services would be provided
 - Pre-approved services list, or a minimum list and a process to expand such list in implementation
 - Processing of applications suggesting new registry services

Financial Evaluation

- Consensus to rebuild it from scratch
- Consensus to not evaluate business-model but look into “marketplace health”
- Nuanced interpretation of how 2012 evaluation was not a business-model evaluation still pending
- 2 straw models already presented, 2 new straw models yet to be presented
- Consideration of whether to include third-party certification

Name Collisions in legacy and 2012 gTLDs

- Consensus on keeping the procedures for 2012-round gTLDs as they are
- Discussions on name collisions in legacy gTLDs still pending

Name Collisions for Subsequent Procedures

- Consensus on expanding 2012 Framework with categorization of low, aggravated and high risk
- Consensus on elaborating “do not apply” and “exercise care” lists
- Consensus on keeping readiness requirement for life-threatening collisions
- For low-risk strings, consensus on starting controlled interruption ASAP and delegate execution to ICANN
- Still pending:
 - Guidelines, or guidance to make guidelines, for categorization and list creation, including possible applicant opinion and collision framework
 - Definition of SLA for collision readiness
 - Interaction with Board-requested SSAC guidance

Root Zone Scaling

- Consultation sent to SSAC, RSSAC and OCTO
- Consensus on separating application processing and contracting capacity from root zone scalability
- WT apparently leaning towards removing hard ceilings, replacing with continued monitoring

3. AOB and closing

AOB and closing

- AOB ?
- Which pending topics require calls and which can be dealt through mailing-list and surveys ?