

Michelle DeSmyter: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team - Track 3 - String Contention, Objections & Disputes call on Tuesday, 14 November 2017 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_qZlEB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrw1l3mSVz_gfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QjF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=54EUBAZFDLRZK7IIqWf7c02Y1L7cBWsdFHp8XKVMxK0&s=FneYL6BFo1k6XVfBD_8IfKFf8zshqe3G6YGwb1Qaqxs&e=

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):Hi all

Gg Levine (NABP):I will switch to audio only in 25 minutes.

Tijani BEN JEMAA:Hi everyone

Aslam G Mohamed:Hi everyone.

Tijani BEN JEMAA:my first call

Karen Day:Hi all, a gentle reminder to please mute if you are not speaking

Jeff Neuman:i defer to Cheryl

Jeff Neuman:Having mic issues

Jeff Neuman:nope

Aslam G Mohamed 2:there is an echo

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):ah that's a technical issue not a defer then Jeff ð

Jeff Neuman:its both

Jeff Neuman:Yes we will have to be proactive and aggressive

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):That comes more naturally to some of us than others. ;-)

Alan Greenberg:;-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):perhaps reaching out to the wider community

Jeff Neuman:@Kristina - I resemble that remark

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):offer briefing and webinars watch.,

Krishna Seeburn - Kris:+1 jamie and alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):yes Jamie

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):important to try that again

Krishna Seeburn - Kris:i guess the word is outreach

Jeff Neuman:We have been trying, but it would help if you all know of people that are no longer participating that you can direct us to

Karen Day:@Jamie we tried to socialize CC@ questions to Community applicanants but as Robin notes many are reluctant to speak until the review is complete

Karen Day:sorry CC2 questions

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:i think that the CPE website lists all those who went through the process and their contact info should still be listed on the Applicant website.

Jeff Neuman:@Jamie - True, but we are reluctant to use that website to reach out to those in an unsolicited manner. Thus, if anyone knows them and can facilitate the communication, the better

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I'm happy to reach out to those I may have met along the way. Who should I ask them to reach back to?

Jeff Neuman:If we were to have categories of communities, would we have different criteria?

Krishna Seeburn - Kris:perhaps we can get a list of previous people and talk to them

Gg Levine (NABP):How would the priveleges differ by category?

Robin Gross:Gg, that would be for us to decide.

Donna Austin, Neustar:What's the distinction between a community category and other categories?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair):yet to be determined I guess Donna

Kurt Pritz 2:I think Anne's comments demonstrates the unworkability of this group trying to determine a priori how to accommodate the purposes of yet-to-be borne TLDs. Rather than create categories before the round, it'd be better to create a process for forgiving contractual conditions depending on the purpose of the application (and not the identity of the applicant).

Emily Barabas:@Jaime, I just sent you a private message about points of contact regarding feedback on CPE. Thanks!

Donna Austin, Neustar:agree with Kurt's comment

Jeff Neuman:@Kurt - I dont believe we are talking about contractual forgiveness, but rather forgiveness of rigid criteria in the application process

Jeff Neuman:and for that, we cannot adopt a wait and see approach

Jeff Neuman:But perhaps there needs to be a closer association between the string and the community

Kurt Pritz 2:@ Jeff: As an example, Aren't brands forgiven from the Code of Conduct contractual condition? Haven't we spoken about reduced registry fees for brands or not-for-profits?

Jeff Neuman:I think Jon Nevett made a good comment during one of the sessions which stuck with me

Jeff Neuman:Its not that we are trying to make it difficult for communities....but perhaps the string should be closer related to the community

Kurt Pritz 2:The American Bowlers Association might object to the American Bar Association getting .ABA - it is very difficult

to fix

Jeff Neuman:Kurt, we can always think of those examples, but both could apply for those TLDs as communities and then work through contention

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:@Jeff ... it sounds good in theory, but in practice it got completely twisted in practice. When the world knows and refers to the "gay community" in every aspect of reality as the "gay community", but that community cannot secure .GAY in CPE then serious questions are raised.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Isn't that what CPE was designed for?

Jon Nevett:I think that the registries recommended that a community that meets a certain level of criteria, it gets some benefit (e.g. some multiplier at auction) and if they meet a higher level, they get the TLD outright.

Jon Nevett:this way Navajo nation gets .navajo outright, but ABA doesn't necessarily get .law, but maybe gets some benefit

Jeff Neuman:We have that option

Jeff Neuman:Lets be precise

Jeff Neuman:Can someone get the 2008 Final report which was passed by the GNSO

Steve Chan:@Jeff, here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_issues_new-2Dgtlds_pdp-2Ddec05-2Dfr-2Dparta-2D08aug07.htm&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=54EUBAZFDLRZK7IIqWf7c02Y1L7cBWsdFHp8XKVMxK0&s=0zWYhydc69D9Dp05NmgSpGydrCcPh11GPnHRIYNW7xQ&e=

Jeff Neuman:Right....the GNSO did not define which communities it was targeting

Donna Austin, Neustar:Only in terms of going through CPE

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:that is correct Robyn

ken stubbs:definitions are still vague here. especiall if you have groups of "groups"

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):We (GNSO Council at the time) intentionally interpreted community broadly.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):IG P: "community should be interpreted broadly and will include, for example, an economic sector, a cultural community, or a linguistic community. It may be a closely related community which believes it is impacted."

Jeff Neuman:The GNSO defined a community only for purposes of filing objections

Jeff Neuman:but not in terms of what would qualify as a community for purposes of priority

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Time to dust off the AGB

redlines.

Jeff Neuman:To clarify, the GAC did not support the recommendations of the report. Infact, I think I asked them to be specific identify which recommendations of the report they supported and which they did not

Tom Dale:Jeff, that is correct. What GAC agreed was that the recommendations should go to the PDP as an input/resource.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Council of Europe refers to this definition: "Anky groups of individuals or any legal entities brought together in order to collectively act, express, promote, pursue or defend a filed of common interests".

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair) 2:Co-Chair hat off I prefer the variable approach over the "one size fits all" one....

ken stubbs:key here is the definition of what constitutes a "community" under the terms of the guidebook (incredibly political here)

Donna Austin, Neustar:a variable approach gets tricky when you have two applicants from different community sectors applying for the same string

ken stubbs:+1 donna

ken stubbs:example =

INTA a. https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_inta.gob.ar_&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=54EUBAZFDLRZK7IIqWf7c02Y1L7cBWsdFHp8XKVMxK0&s=Fqvj9jyonMvOkDcHGz8wSqwUoDwDSooqGR7gaN0xCeY&e= b. inta.org

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Problems with evaluators could be why we have problems. In other words, it may be the panel process, not the definition of Community.

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I agree with Robin that a lack of direction was a problem

Jon Nevett:I agree with Robin -- we need to have a clear definition

Jeff Neuman:Rather than thinking of it as a "variable approach" could we think of it as a sliding scale

Donna Austin, Neustar:i think the implementation of CPE and some of the difficult to understand decisions was what created the problems.

Paul McGrady:Thanks Robin. Well run!

ken stubbs:adios folks !

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:+1 Donna

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO - PDP Co-Chair) 2:thanks Robin, thanks everyone.. bye ð for now

Karen Day:Thanks, All.

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Agree with Donna re CPE evalution

Anne Aikman-Scalese (IPC):Agree with Donna re CPE evalution'