Adobe Connect Chat Transcript: CCT Engagement Session@ICANN60
29 October 2017 @ 08:15 – 09:15 UTC

-- (10/29/2017 03:24) --

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: Hello, my name is Jean-Baptiste Deroulez and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are "in-room" participants. Please note that I will only be able to read your comment/question within the time set by the Chair of this session; I will not be able to read your comment or question on the mic if they are sent after the session/subject is closed by the Chair.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: The chat rooms are the virtual meetings' for everyone, in-room and remote. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please start with a <QUESTION> and end with a "</QUESTION>". Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: <question>Has the paper been updated to replace WIN with TOP as a Chinese gTLD?</question>

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Thanks.

Edmon: <question>curious why "parking" and "domain forwarding /redirection" is considered within the same "category"</question>

Edmon: oops typed too slow :-D

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Edmon, They didn't understand what they were doing. Redirects are not parking.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: o	Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards/

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Approx 3% of websites in legacy gTLDs redirect to a HTTPS version.

Edmon: "parking" and "domain forwarding" are vastly different... and cannot be constructively considered together in this exercise
 -- (10/29/2017 03:30) --

Edmon: it seems to me the analysis is about "abusive activities happening on domain names" and not "dns abuse"...

Edmon: not against the study and think its important, but think we should name it properly...

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: It is actually quite a good study.

Edmon: increased? the number shows decreased per TLD abuse?... it seems to me...

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: It picked up a shift from legacy gTLD to new gTLDs for some kinds of abuse.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (from what I remember)
-- (10/29/2017 03:34) --
Edmon: if the total number of abuse remains constant and there are more TLDs added... then the per TLD abuse has dropped?.. :-)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: But some domains get deleted. :)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Zero sum? :)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: The renewal rates on some discounted gTLDs is <10% and some around hovering around 5% or so. (One year regs)
-- (10/29/2017 03:42) --
John McCormac - HosterStats.com: <question>Will ICANN take action against abusive registrars based on this report/paper? Will compliance pressure be brought to bear on registries that facilitate such abusive registrars and activity?</question>
-- (10/29/2017 03:44) --
Edmon: why is DGA an abuse?

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: thank you for your question John. It will be asked at the end of the DNS Abuse section of this presentation.
-- (10/29/2017 03:46) --
John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Edmon, most of these DGA domain names were low quality landers, lottery landing, video streaming websites, adult landing pages/streamers in addition to iffy activity.
-- (10/29/2017 03:47) --
Kal Feher: low quality domains are not abusive. important to avoid conflating content we don't like with abusive domains
-- (10/29/2017 03:49) --
John McCormac - HosterStats.com: <comment>Thanks, Jonathan. You've done the hard work and ICANN should make use of it.</comment>
-- (10/29/2017 03:50) --

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Kal, this might be a hypothetical issue for some but some of these domain names, especially involving trademarks are infringing and abusive. Some of these abusive doms scrape legitimate content and then add monetisation links.
-- (10/29/2017 03:52) --
Lori Schulman: The findings already show that trademark infringement is used as a vehicle for abuse. I don't see how they can be separated. Trademark abuse is severe in the DNS.
-- (10/29/2017 03:55) --
John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Basically you look at the domain name for an infringing term and then at the website content for abusive activity. It is very hard work.
-- (10/29/2017 04:02) --
Lori Schulman: Lori Schulman: I am confused by NCUC's continued resistance to recognize consumer fraud and abuse in the form intellectual property infringement as a legitimate concern of ICANN. Would like to remind the room that intellectual property abuse in the DNS results in toxic products, funding of terrorism and human trafficking. That should be everyone's concerns.

Lori Schulman: Agree with David. Study indicates there could be trend but not the trend itself. Thank you.
-- (10/29/2017 04:07) --
Kathy Kleiman: Does the RT report expressly reflect some of the limitations of the INTA Study -- that there were only 33 responses of 1096 questionnaires send out and that 52% of responses were from companies with revenues over $5 Billion. This raised many questions by others reviewing it. It would seem that those questions should be raised by CCTRT as well. Will it?

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: As a kind reminder, when submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please start with a <QUESTION> and end with a "</QUESTION>". Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic.

Kathy Kleiman: Jean-Baptiste - that was a question
-- (10/29/2017 04:09) --
Kathy Kleiman: Question: "Does the RT report expressly reflect some of the limitations of the INTA Study -- that there were only 33 responses of 1096 questionnaires send out and that 52% of responses were from companies with revenues over $5 Billion. This raised many questions by others reviewing it. It would seem that those questions should be raised by CCTRT as well. Will it?"

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: thank you

Kathy Kleiman: Question: "On what basis was the idea that the URS should be used more? Just wondering what the evidentiary basis was for this recommendation." Question
-- (10/29/2017 04:13) --
Lori Schulman: <question> My question is more of a comment. The URS remedy is not satisfactory to brand owners which is why it may not be used.>

-- (10/29/2017 04:14) --

Kathy Kleiman: People seem to like the UDRP better.

Lori Schulman: UDRP is more effective than URS

Lori Schulman: Kathy: you and I should talk about this.

Kathy Kleiman: Tx for the presentation.

Lori Schulman: Thank you.

Kathy Kleiman: Lori and Kathy agree!

Lori Schulman: lol

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: Best CCT presentation yet. Well done all.

Jean-Baptiste Deroulez: thank you all for attending the CCTRT engagement session, the presentation is posted on the official schedule:
https://schedule.icann.org/event/CbJm/competition-consumer-trust-and-consumer-choice-review-team-cct-engagement-session